Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Iowa Energy Efficiency Statewide Technical Reference Manual

Volume 2: Residential Measures

Final: August 1, 2016

Effective: January 1, 2017

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

[INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Table of Contents

Volume 1: Overview and User Guide

Volume 2: Residential Measures 5

2.1 Appliances 5

2.1.1 Clothes Washer 5

2.1.2 Clothes Dryer 14

2.1.3 Refrigerator 18

2.1.4 Freezer 25

2.1.5 Refrigerator and Freezer Recycling 35

2.1.6 Room Air Conditioner 43

2.1.7 Room Air Conditioner Recycling 47

2.2 Consumer Electronics 50

2.2.1 Tier 1 Advanced Power Strip (APS) 50

2.2.2 Tier 2 Advanced Power Strips (APS) – Residential Audio Visual 53

2.3 Hot Water 56

2.3.1 Gas Water Heater 56

2.3.2 Heat Pump Water Heaters 61

2.3.3 Water Heater Temperature Setback 67

2.3.4 Low Flow Faucet Aerators 71

2.3.5 Low Flow Showerheads 89

2.3.6 Domestic Hot Water Pipe Insulation 101

2.3.7 Water Heater Wrap 105

2.4 Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning (HVAC) 109

2.4.1 Central Air Source Heat Pump 109

2.4.2 Central Air Conditioner 117

2.4.3 Boiler 125

2.4.4 Furnace 129

2.4.5 Furnace Blower Motor 135

2.4.6 Geothermal Source Heat Pump 140

2.4.7 Ductless Heat Pumps 149

2.4.8 Energy Recovery Ventilator 154

2.4.9 Gas Fireplace 158

2.4.10 Whole House Fan 160

2.4.11 Central Air Source Heat Pump Tune-Up 162

2.4.12 Central Air Conditioner Tune-Up 165

2.4.13 Boiler Tune-up 168

2.4.14 Furnace Tune-Up 171

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.4.15 Geothermal Source Heat Pump Tune-Up 175
2.4.16 Duct Sealing 179
2.4.17 Programmable Thermostats 191
2.4.18 Advanced Thermostats 196
2.5 Lighting 203
2.5.1 Compact Fluorescent Lamp - Standard 203
2.5.2 Compact Fluorescent Lamp - Specialty 210
2.5.3 LED Lamp - Standard 219
2.5.4 LED Lamp - Specialty 227
2.5.5 LED Exit Signs 236
2.6 Shell 241
2.6.1 Infiltration Control 241
2.6.2 Attic/Ceiling Insulation 250
2.6.3 Rim/Band Joist Insulation 256
2.6.4 Wall Insulation 262
2.6.5 Insulated Doors 268
2.6.6 Floor Insulation Above Crawlspace 273
2.6.7 Basement Sidewall Insulation 279
2.6.8 Efficient Windows 288
2.6.9 Window Insulation Kits 294
Volume 3: Nonresidential Measures

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Volume 2: Residential Measures

2.1 Appliances

2.1.1 Clothes Washer

DESCRIPTION

This measure relates to the installation of a clothes washer meeting the ENERGY STAR (CEE Tier1), ENERGY STAR Most Efficient (CEE Tier 2) or CEE Tier 3 minimum qualifications. Note if the Domestic Hot Water (DHW) and dryer fuels of the installations are unknown (for example through a retail program) savings are based on a weighted blend using RECS data (the resultant values (kWh, therms and gallons of water) are provided). The algorithms can also be used to calculate site specific savings where DHW and dryer fuels are known.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

Clothes washer must meet the ENERGY STAR (CEE Tier1), ENERGY STAR Most Efficient (CEE Tier 2), or CEE Tier 3 minimum qualifications (provided in the table below), as required by the program.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is a standard-sized clothes washer meeting the minimum federal baseline as of March 2015[1].

Efficiency Level		Top loading >2.5 Cu ft	Front Loading >2.5 Cu ft
Baseline	Federal Standard	≥1.29 IMEF, ≤8.4 IWF	≥1.84 IMEF, ≤4.7 IWF
Efficient	ENERGY STAR, CEE Tier 1	≥2.06 IMEF, ≤4.3 IWF	≥2.38 IMEF, ≤3.7 IWF
	ENERGY STAR Most Efficient, CEE Tier 2	≥2.76 IMEF, ≤3.5 IWF	≥2.74 IMEF, ≤3.2 IWF
	CEE Tier 3	≥2.92 IMEF, ≤3.2 IWF	

The Integrated Modified Energy Factor (IMEF) includes unit operation, standby, water heating, and drying energy use, with the higher the value the more efficient the unit; *"The quotient of the cubic foot (or liter) capacity of the clothes container divided by the total clothes washer energy consumption per cycle, with such energy consumption expressed as the sum of the machine electrical energy consumption, the hot water energy consumption, the energy required for removal of the remaining moisture in the wash load, and the combined low-power mode energy consumption."*

The Integrated Water Factor (IWF) indicates the total water consumption of the unit, with the lower the value the less water required; *"The quotient of the total weighted per-cycle water consumption for all 67 wash cycles in gallons divided by the cubic foot (or liter) capacity of the clothes washer."* [2].

[1] See http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/product.aspx/productid/39.
[2] Definitions provided in ENERGY STAR v7.1 specification on the Energy star website.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 14 years[3].

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost assumptions are provided below[4]:

Efficiency Level	Incremental Cost
ENERGY STAR, CEE Tier 1	$32
ENERGY STAR Most Efficient, CEE TIER 2	$393
CEE TIER 3	$454

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE01 - Residential Clothes Washer

Loadshape G01 - Flat (gas)

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \left[\left(Capacity * \frac{1}{IMEFbase} * Ncycles\right) * \left(\%CWbase + (\%DHWbase * \%Electric_{DHW}) + (\%Dryerbase * \%Electric_{Dryer})\right)\right] - \left[\left(Capacity * \frac{1}{IMEFeff} * Ncycles\right) * \left(\%CWeff + (\%DHWeff * \%Electric_{DHW}) + (\%Dryereff * \%Electric_{Dryer})\right)\right]$$

Where:

Capacity = Clothes Washer capacity (cubic feet)

= Actual - If capacity is unknown, assume 3.45 cubic feet [5]

IMEFbase = Integrated Modified Energy Factor of baseline unit

[3] Based on DOE Chapter 8 Life-Cycle Cost and Payback Period Analysis.

[4] Based on weighted average of top loading and front loading units (based on available product from the California Energy Commission (CEC) Appliance database; https://cacertappliances.energy.ca.gov/Pages/ApplianceSearch.aspx) and cost data from Life-Cycle Cost and Payback Period Excel-based analytical tool. See '2015 Clothes Washer Analysis.xls' for details.

[5] Based on the average clothes washer volume of all units that pass the new Federal Standard on the CEC database of Clothes Washer products (accessed on 08/28/2014). If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area, then they should be used.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Efficiency Level	IMEFbase		
	Top loading >2.5 Cu ft	Front Loading >2.5 Cu ft	Weighted Average[6]
Federal Standard	1.29	1.84	1.66

IMEFeff = Integrated Modified Energy Factor of efficient unit

= Actual. If unknown, assume average values provided below.

Efficiency Level	IMEFeff		
	Top loading >2.5 Cu ft	Front Loading >2.5 Cu ft	Weighted Average[7]
ENERGY STAR, CEE Tier 1	2.06	2.38	2.26
ENERGY STAR Most Efficient, CEE Tier 2	2.76	2.74	2.74
CEE Tier 3	2.92		2.92

Ncycles = Number of Cycles per year

= 262[8]

%CW = Percentage of total energy consumption for Clothes Washer operation (different for baseline and efficient unit – see table below)

%DHW = Percentage of total energy consumption used for water heating (different for baseline and efficient unit – see table below)

%Dryer = Percentage of total energy consumption for dryer operation (different for baseline and efficient unit – see table below)

[6] Weighted average IMEF of Federal Standard rating for Front Loading and Top Loading units. Weighting is based upon the relative top v front loading percentage of available non-ENERGY STAR product in the CEC database (accessed 08/28/2014). The relative weightings are as follows, see more information in "2015 Clothes Washer Analysis.xlsx":

Efficiency Level	Front	Top
Baseline	67%	33%
ENERGY STAR, CEE Tier 1	62%	38%
ENERGY STAR Most Efficient, CEE Tier 2	98%	2%
CEE Tier 3	100%	0%

[7] Weighting is based upon the relative top v front loading percentage of available product in the CEC database (accessed 08/28/2014).

[8] Weighted average of 262 clothes washer cycles per year (based on 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) national sample survey of housing appliances section, Midwest Census Region for states "IA, MN, ND, SD"): http://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2009/. See '2015 Clothes Washer Analysis.xls' for details.
If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for single-family or multi-family homes, in a particular market, or geographical area then that should be used.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

	Percentage of Total Energy Consumption[9]		
	%CW	%DHW	%Dryer
Federal Standard	8%	31%	61%
ENERGY STAR, CEE Tier 1	8%	23%	69%
ENERGY STAR Most Efficient, CEE Tier 2	14%	10%	76%
CEE Tier 3	14%	10%	76%

$\%Electric_{DHW}$ = Percentage of DHW savings assumed to be electric

DHW fuel	$\%Electric_{DHW}$
Electric	100%
Natural Gas	0%
Unknown	35.9%[10]

$\%Electric_{Dryer}$ = Percentage of dryer savings assumed to be electric

Dryer fuel	$\%Electric_{Dryer}$
Electric	100%
Natural Gas	0%
Unknown	76%[11]

Using the default assumptions provided above, the prescriptive savings for each configuration are presented below[12]:

Front Loaders:

	ΔkWH			
	Electric DHW Electric Dryer	Gas DHW Electric Dryer	Electric DHW Gas Dryer	Gas DHW Gas Dryer
ENERGY STAR, CEE Tier 1	164.8	61.6	104.7	1.5
ENERGY STAR Most Efficient, CEE Tier 2	214.6	76.7	133.6	-4.3
CEE Tier 3	234.9	95.1	138.3	-1.4

[9] The percentage of total energy consumption that is used for the machine, heating the hot water, or by the dryer is different depending on the efficiency of the unit. Values are based on a weighted average of top loading and front loading units based on data from DOE Life-Cycle Cost and Payback Analysis. See '2015 Clothes Washer Analysis.xls' for details.

[10] Default assumption for unknown fuel is based on EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the states of IA, MN, ND, SD. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area, then they should be used

[11] Default assumption for unknown is based on percentage of homes with clothes washers that use an electric dryer from EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the states of IA, MN, ND, SD. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area, then they should be used.

[12] Note that the baseline savings for all cases (Front, Top and Weighted Average) is based on the weighted average baseline IMEF (as opposed to assuming Front baseline for Front efficient unit and Top baseline for Top efficient unit). The reasoning is that the support of the program of more efficient units (which are predominately front loading) will result in some participants switching from planned purchase of a top loader to a front loader.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Top Loaders:

	ΔkWH			
	Electric DHW Electric Dryer	Gas DHW Electric Dryer	Electric DHW Gas Dryer	Gas DHW Gas Dryer
ENERGY STAR, CEE Tier 1	105.9	80.6	48.4	23.1
ENERGY STAR Most Efficient, CEE Tier 2	217.0	142.4	102.1	27.5
CEE Tier 3	234.9	155.1	108.0	28.3

Weighted Average:

	ΔkWH			
	Electric DHW Electric Dryer	Gas DHW Electric Dryer	Electric DHW Gas Dryer	Gas DHW Gas Dryer
ENERGY STAR, CEE Tier 1	144.2	68.2	85.1	9.1
ENERGY STAR Most Efficient, CEE Tier 2	214.6	78.2	132.9	-3.6
CEE Tier 3	234.9	95.1	138.3	-1.4

If the DHW and dryer fuel is unknown the prescriptive kWH savings based on defaults provided above should be:

	ΔkWH		
Efficiency Level	Front Loaders	Top Loaders	Weighted Average
ENERGY STAR, CEE Tier 1	84.1	75.7	81.2
ENERGY STAR Most Efficient, CEE Tier 2	106.6	141.3	107.4
CEE Tier 3	121.9	153.0	121.9

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh}{Hours} * CF$$

Where:

ΔkWh = Energy Savings as calculated above

Hours = Assumed Run hours of Clothes Washer

= 262 hours[13]

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure

= 0.036[14]

Using the default assumptions provided above, the prescriptive savings for each configuration are presented below:

Front Loaders:

	ΔkW			
	Electric DHW Electric Dryer	Gas DHW Electric Dryer	Electric DHW Gas Dryer	Gas DHW Gas Dryer
ENERGY STAR, CEE Tier 1	0.0227	0.0085	0.0144	0.0002
ENERGY STAR Most Efficient, CEE Tier 2	0.0295	0.0106	0.0184	-0.0006
CEE Tier 3	0.0323	0.0131	0.0190	-0.0002

[13] Based on a weighted average of 262 clothes washer cycles per year assuming an average load runs for one hour.
[14] Calculated from Itron eShapes, 8760 hourly data by end use for Missouri, using IA definition of summer peak period.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Top Loaders:

	ΔkW			
	Electric DHW Electric Dryer	Gas DHW Electric Dryer	Electric DHW Gas Dryer	Gas DHW Gas Dryer
ENERGY STAR, CEE Tier 1	0.0146	0.0111	0.0067	0.0032
ENERGY STAR Most Efficient, CEE Tier 2	0.0299	0.0196	0.0141	0.0038
CEE Tier 3	0.0323	0.0214	0.0149	0.0039

Weighted Average:

	ΔkW			
	Electric DHW Electric Dryer	Gas DHW Electric Dryer	Electric DHW Gas Dryer	Gas DHW Gas Dryer
ENERGY STAR, CEE Tier 1	0.0199	0.0094	0.0117	0.0012
ENERGY STAR Most Efficient, CEE Tier 2	0.0295	0.0108	0.0183	-0.0005
CEE Tier 3	0.0323	0.0131	0.0190	-0.0002

If the DHW and dryer fuel is unknown, the prescriptive kW savings should be:

	ΔkW		
Efficiency Level	Front Loaders	Top Loaders	Weighted Average
ENERGY STAR, CEE Tier 1	0.0116	0.0104	0.0112
ENERGY STAR Most Efficient, CEE Tier 2	0.0147	0.0195	0.0148
CEE Tier 3	0.0168	0.0211	0.0168

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta Therms = \left[\left[\left(Capacity * \frac{1}{IMEFbase} * Ncycles\right) * \left((\%DHWbase * \%Natural\ Gas_{DHW} * R_eff) + (\%Dryerbase * \%Gas_{Dryer}\%Gas_Dryer)\right)\right] - \left[\left(Capacity * \frac{1}{IMEFeff} * Ncycles\right) * \left((\%DHWeff * \%Gas_{DHW}\%Natural\ Gas_DHW * R_eff) + (\%Dryereff * \%Gas_{Dryer}\%Gas_Dryer)\right)\right]\right] * Therm_convert$$

Where:

$\%Gas_{DHW}$ = Percentage of DHW savings assumed to be Natural Gas

DHW fuel	$\%Gas_{DHW}$
Electric	0%
Natural Gas	100%
Unknown	64.1%[15]

R_eff = Recovery efficiency factor

[15] Default assumption for unknown fuel is based EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the states of IA, MN, ND, SD. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

= 1.26[16]

$\%Gas_{Dryer}$ = Percentage of dryer savings assumed to be Natural Gas

Dryer fuel	$\%Gas_{Dryer}$
Electric	0%
Natural Gas	100%
Unknown	21.2%[17]

Therm_convert = Conversion factor from kWh to Therm

= 0.03412

Other factors as defined above.

Using the default assumptions provided above, the prescriptive savings for each configuration are presented below:

Front Loaders:

	ΔTherms			
	Electric DHW Electric Dryer	Gas DHW Electric Dryer	Electric DHW Gas Dryer	Gas DHW Gas Dryer
ENERGY STAR, CEE Tier 1	0.0	4.4	2.0	6.5
ENERGY STAR Most Efficient, CEE Tier 2	0.0	5.9	2.8	8.7
CEE Tier 3	0.0	6.0	3.3	9.3

Top Loaders:

	ΔTherms			
	Electric DHW Electric Dryer	Gas DHW Electric Dryer	Electric DHW Gas Dryer	Gas DHW Gas Dryer
ENERGY STAR, CEE Tier 1	0.0	1.1	2.0	3.1
ENERGY STAR Most Efficient, CEE Tier 2	0.0	3.2	3.9	7.1
CEE Tier 3	0.0	3.4	4.3	7.8

Weighted Average:

	ΔTherms			
	Electric DHW Electric Dryer	Gas DHW Electric Dryer	Electric DHW Gas Dryer	Gas DHW Gas Dryer
ENERGY STAR, CEE Tier 1	0.0	3.3	2.0	5.3
ENERGY STAR Most Efficient, CEE Tier 2	0.0	5.9	2.8	8.7
CEE Tier 3	0.0	6.0	3.3	9.3

If the DHW and dryer fuel is unknown, the prescriptive Therm savings should be:

[16] To account for the different efficiency of electric and Natural Gas hot water heaters (gas water heater: recovery efficiencies ranging from 0.74 to 0.85 (0.78 used), and electric water heater with 0.98 recovery efficiency (http://www.energystar.gov/ia/partners/bldrs_lenders_raters/downloads/Waste_Water_Heat_Recovery_Guidelines.pdf). Therefore a factor of 0.98/0.78 (1.26) is applied.

[17] Default assumption for unknown fuel is based EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the states of IA, MN, ND, SD. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used. Note that the electric dryer percentage (76%) plus the gas dryer percentage (21.2%) equals 97.2%. The remaining 2.8% accounts for those homes without dryers.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

	ΔTherms		
Efficiency Level	Front Loaders	Top Loaders	Weighted Average
ENERGY STAR, CEE Tier 1	3.3	1.1	2.5
ENERGY STAR Most Efficient, CEE Tier 2	4.4	2.9	4.4
CEE Tier 3	4.6	3.1	4.6

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

Savings for this measure is assumed to be evenly spread across the year. The Peak Gas Savings is therefore assumed to be:

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \frac{\Delta Therms}{365.25}$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above

365.25 = Days per year

Using the default assumptions provided above, the prescriptive savings for each configuration are presented below:

Front Loaders:

	ΔPeakTherms			
	Electric DHW Electric Dryer	Gas DHW Electric Dryer	Electric DHW Gas Dryer	Gas DHW Gas Dryer
ENERGY STAR, CEE Tier 1	0.0000	0.0122	0.0056	0.0178
ENERGY STAR Most Efficient, CEE Tier 2	0.0000	0.0162	0.0076	0.0238
CEE Tier 3	0.0000	0.0165	0.0090	0.0255

Top Loaders:

	ΔPeakTherms			
	Electric DHW Electric Dryer	Gas DHW Electric Dryer	Electric DHW Gas Dryer	Gas DHW Gas Dryer
ENERGY STAR, CEE Tier 1	0.0000	0.0030	0.0054	0.0084
ENERGY STAR Most Efficient, CEE Tier 2	0.0000	0.0088	0.0107	0.0195
CEE Tier 3	0.0000	0.0094	0.0119	0.0212

Weighted Average:

	ΔPeakTherms			
	Electric DHW Electric Dryer	Gas DHW Electric Dryer	Electric DHW Gas Dryer	Gas DHW Gas Dryer
ENERGY STAR, CEE Tier 1	0.000	0.0089	0.0055	0.0145
ENERGY STAR Most Efficient, CEE Tier 2	0.000	0.0161	0.0076	0.0237
CEE Tier 3	0.000	0.0165	0.0090	0.0255

If the DHW and dryer fuel is unknown the prescriptive Therm savings should be:

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

	ΔPeakTherms		
Efficiency Level	Front Loaders	Top Loaders	Weighted Average
ENERGY STAR, CEE Tier 1	0.0090	0.0030	0.0069
ENERGY STAR Most Efficient, CEE Tier 2	0.0120	0.0079	0.0119
CEE Tier 3	0.0125	0.0085	0.0125

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

$$\Delta Water\ (gallons) = Capacity * (IWFbase - IWFeff) * Ncycles$$

Where:

IWFbase = Integrated Water Factor of baseline clothes washer

= 5.92[18]

IWFeff = Water Factor of efficient clothes washer

= Actual - If unknown assume average values provided below

Using the default assumptions provided above, the prescriptive water savings for each efficiency level are presented below:

	IWF[19]			ΔWater (gallons per year)		
Efficiency Level	Front Loaders	Top Loaders	Weighted Average	Front Loaders	Top Loaders	Weighted Average
Federal Standard	4.7	8.4	5.92	N/A		
ENERGY STAR, CEE Tier 1	3.7	4.3	3.93	2,001	1,460	1,794
ENERGY STAR Most Efficient, CEE Tier 2	3.2	3.5	3.21	2,453	2,182	2,447
CEE Tier 3	3.2		3.20	2,453	2,453	2,453

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-APL-CLWA-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2021

[18] Weighted average IWF of Federal Standard rating for Front Loading and Top Loading units. Weighting is based upon the relative top v front loading percentage of available non-ENERGY STAR product in the CEC database.

[19] IWF values are the weighted average of the new ENERGY STAR specifications. Weighting is based upon the relative top v front loading percentage of available ENERGY STAR and ENERGY STAR Most Efficient product in the CEC database. See "2015 Clothes Washer Analysis.xls" for the calculation.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.1.2 Clothes Dryer

DESCRIPTION

This measure relates to the installation of a residential clothes dryer meeting the ENERGY STAR criteria. ENERGY STAR qualified clothes dryers save energy through a combination of more efficient drying and reduced runtime of the drying cycle. More efficient drying is achieved through increased insulation, modifying operating conditions such as air flow and/or heat input rate, improving air circulation through better drum design or booster fans, and improving efficiency of motors. Reducing the runtime of dryers through automatic termination by temperature and moisture sensors is believed to have the greatest potential for reducing energy use in clothes dryers[20]. ENERGY STAR provides criteria for both gas and electric clothes dryers.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

Clothes dryer must meet the ENERGY STAR criteria, as required by the program.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is a clothes dryer meeting the minimum federal requirements for units manufactured on or after January 1, 2015.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 12 years[21].

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for an ENERGY STAR clothes dryer is assumed to be $40

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE01 - Residential Clothes Washer

Loadshape G01 - Flat (gas)

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The coincidence factor for this measure is 4.31%[22]

[20] ENERGY STAR Market & Industry Scoping Report. Residential Clothes Dryers. Table 8. November 2011. http://www.energystar.gov/ia/products/downloads/ENERGY_STAR_Scoping_Report_Residential_Clothes_Dryers.pdf

[21] Based on an average estimated range of 12-16 years. ENERGY STAR Market & Industry Scoping Report. Residential Clothes Dryers. November 2011. http://www.energystar.gov/ia/products/downloads/ENERGY_STAR_Scoping_Report_Residential_Clothes_Dryers.pdf

[22] Developed using coincident peak information from March 2015 NEEP, "Residential Electric Clothes Dryer Baseline Study" conducted by Energy Resource Solutions. http://www.neep.org/sites/default/files/resources/ NEEP_EMV_Summary%20Report_Dryer%20Baseline%20Finale%204-01-15.pdf

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \left(\frac{Load}{CEFbase} - \frac{Load}{CEFeff}\right) * Ncycles * \%Electric$$

Where:

Load = The average total weight (lbs) of clothes per drying cycle. If dryer size is unknown, assume standard.

Dryer Size	Load (lbs)[23]
Standard	8.45
Compact	3

CEFbase = Combined energy factor (CEF) (lbs/kWh) of the baseline unit is based on existing federal standards energy factor and adjusted to CEF as performed in the ENERGY STAR analysis[24]. If product class unknown, assume electric, standard.

Product Class	CEFbase (lbs/kWh)
Vented Electric, Standard (≥ 4.4 ft^3)	3.11
Vented Electric, Compact (120V) (< 4.4 ft^3)	3.01
Vented Electric, Compact (240V) (<4.4 ft^3)	2.73
Ventless Electric, Compact (240V) (<4.4 ft^3)	2.13
Vented Gas	2.84[25]

CEFeff = CEF (lbs/kWh) of the ENERGY STAR unit based on ENERGY STAR requirements.[26] If product class unknown, assume electric, standard.

Product Class	CEFeff (lbs/kWh)
Vented or Ventless Electric, Standard (≥ 4.4 ft^3)	3.93
Vented or Ventless Electric, Compact (120V) (< 4.4 ft^3)	3.80
Vented Electric, Compact (240V) (< 4.4 ft^3)	3.45
Ventless Electric, Compact (240V) (< 4.4 ft^3)	2.68
Vented Gas	3.48[27]

Ncycles = Number of dryer cycles per year. Use actual data if available. If unknown, use 262 cycles per year.[28]

[23] Based on ENERGY STAR test procedures. https://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=clothesdry.pr_crit_clothes_dryers
[24] ENERGY STAR Draft 2 Version 1.0 Clothes Dryers Data and Analysis
[25] Federal standards report CEF for gas clothes dryers in terms of lbs/kWh. To determine gas savings, this number is later converted to therms.
[26] ENERGY STAR Clothes Dryers Key Product Criteria. https://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=clothesdry.pr_crit_clothes_dryers
[27] Federal standards report CEF for gas clothes dryers in terms of lbs/kWh. To determine gas savings, this number is later converted to therms.
[28] Weighted average of 262 clothes washer cycles per year, consistent with Clothes Washer measure and based on 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) national sample survey of housing appliances section, Midwest Census Region

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

%Electric = The percent of overall savings coming from electricity

= 100% for electric dryers, 5% for gas dryers[29]

Using defaults provided above:

Product Class	kWh
Vented Electric, Standard (≥ 4.4 ft^3)	148.5
Vented Electric, Compact (120V) (< 4.4 ft^3)	54.3
Vented Electric, Compact (240V) (<4.4 ft^3)	60.1
Ventless Electric, Compact (240V) (<4.4 ft^3)	75.7
Vented Gas	7.2

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh}{Hours} * CF$$

Where:

ΔkWh = Energy Savings as calculated above

Hours = Annual run hours of clothes dryer. Use actual data if available. If unknown, use 209 hours per year.[30]

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure

=4.31%[31]

Using defaults provided above:

Product Class	kW
Vented Electric, Standard (≥ 4.4 ft^3)	0.0306
Vented Electric, Compact (120V) (< 4.4 ft^3)	0.0112
Vented Electric, Compact (240V) (<4.4 ft^3)	0.0124
Ventless Electric, Compact (240V) (<4.4 ft^3)	0.0156
Vented Gas	0.0015

for states "IA, MN, ND, SD". A field evaluation completed by NEEA in 50 homes in the Northwest found a higher number of annual dryer cycles (337) than currently represented in the RECS data. Federal standard employs a 0.91 field use factor, based on RECS 2009 survey data suggesting not all clothes washer loads are dried. However, NEEA found a higher number of dryer loads, noting users may not have consolidated their loads to the extent EPA assumed. http://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/specs//ENERGY%20STAR%20Dryer%20Specification%20NEEA%20Amended%20comments%20Mar%2026%202013.pdf. Page 7.

[29] %Electric accounts for the fact that some of the savings on gas dryers comes from electricity (motors, controls, etc). 5% was determined using a ratio of the electric to total savings from gas dryers given by ENERGY STAR Draft 2 Version 1.0 Clothes Dryers Data and Analysis. Value reported in 2015 EPA EnergySTAR appliance calculator.

[30] Assume 262 cycles and 48 minutes per dryer cycle according to March 2015 NEEP "Residential Electric Clothes Dryer Baseline Study"conducted by Energy Resource Solutions. http://www.neep.org/sites/default/files/resources/ NEEP_EMV_Summary%20Report_Dryer%20Baseline%20Finale%204-01-15.pdf

[31] Developed using coincident peak information from March 2015 NEEP, "Residential Electric Clothes Dryer Baseline Study" conducted by Energy Resource Solutions. http://www.neep.org/sites/default/files/resources/ NEEP_EMV_Summary%20Report_Dryer%20Baseline%20Finale%204-01-15.pdf

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

NATURAL GAS ENERGY SAVINGS

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Natural gas savings only apply to ENERGY STAR vented gas clothes dryers.

$$\Delta Therm = \left(\frac{Load}{CEFbase} - \frac{Load}{CEFeff}\right) * Ncycles * Therm_convert * \%Gas$$

Where:

Therm_convert = Conversion factor from kWh to Therm

= 0.03413

%Gas = Percent of overall savings coming from gas

= 0% for electric units and 84% for gas units[32]

Using defaults provided above:

ΔTherm = (8.45/2.84 – 8.45/3.48) * 262 * 0.03413 * 0.84

= 4.11 therms

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

Savings for this measure is assumed to be evenly spread across the year. The Peak Gas Savings is therefore assumed to be:

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \frac{\Delta Therms}{365.25}$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above

365.25 = Days per year

Using defaults provided above:

ΔPeakTherms = 4.11/365.25

= 0.0113 therms

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-APL-ESDR-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2021

[32] %Gas accounts for the fact that some of the savings on gas dryers comes from electricity (motors, controls, etc). 84% was determined using a ratio of the gas to total savings from gas dryers given by ENERGY STAR Draft 2 Version 1.0 Clothes Dryers Data and Analysis.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.1.3 Refrigerator

DESCRIPTION

A refrigerator meeting either Energy Star/CEE Tier 1 specifications or the higher efficiency specifications of CEE Tier 2, or CEE Tier 3 is installed instead of a new unit of baseline efficiency. The measure applies to time of sale and early replacement programs.

This measure also includes a section accounting for the interactive effect of reduced waste heat on the heating and cooling loads.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, EREP. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The high efficiency level is a refrigerator meeting Energy Star specifications effective September 15th, 2014 (10% above federal standard), a refrigerator meeting CEE Tier 2 specifications (15% above federal standard), or meeting CEE Tier 3 specifications (20% above federal standards).

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

Baseline efficiency is a new refrigerator meeting the minimum federal efficiency standard for refrigerators effective September 15th, 2014.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

17 years[33]

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The full cost of a baseline unit is $742.[34]

The incremental cost to the Energy Star level is $11, to CEE Tier 2 level is $20 and to CEE Tier 3 is $59.[35]

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE16 - Residential Refrigeration

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Savings by model may be pulled directly from ENERGY STAR data. Alternatively, savings by product class may be

[33] Mean from Figure 8.2.3, DOE, 2011-08-23 Technical Support Document for Energy Conservation Standards for Residential Refrigerators, Refrigerator-Freezers, and Freezers, http://www.regulations.gov/contentStreamer?objectId=0900006480f0c7df&disposition=attachment&contentType=pdf

[34] Configurations weighted according to table under Energy Savings. Values inflated 8.9% from 2009 dollars to 2015. Table 8.1.1, DOE, 2011-08-23 Technical Support Document for Energy Conservation Standards for Residential Refrigerators, Refrigerator-Freezers, and Freezers, http://www.regulations.gov/contentStreamer?objectId=0900006480f0c7df&disposition=attachment&contentType=pdf

[35] Configurations weighted according to table under Energy Savings. Values inflated 8.9% from 2009 dollars to 2015. Table 8.2.2, DOE, 2011-08-23 Technical Support Document for Energy Conservation Standards for Residential Refrigerators, Refrigerator-Freezers, and Freezers, http://www.regulations.gov/contentStreamer?objectId=0900006480f0c7df&disposition=attachment&contentType=pdf

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

calculated according to the algorithm below.

$$\Delta kWh_{Unit} = kWh_{\text{base}} - (kWh_{\text{base}} * (1 - \%Savings))$$

Where:

kWh_{base} = Baseline consumption,[36] assuming 22.5 ft^3 adjusted volume[37]

= Calculated using algorithms in table below, or using defaults provided based on 22.5 ft^3 adjusted volume[38]

%Savings = Specification of energy consumption below Federal Standard:

Tier	%Savings
Energy Star and CEE Tier 1	10%
Energy Star Most Efficient and CEE Tier 2	15%
CEE Tier 3	20%

Additional Waste Heat Impacts

For units in conditioned spaces in the home (if unknown, assume unit is in conditioned space).

$$\Delta kWh_{WasteHeat} = \Delta kWh * (WHFeHeatElectric + WHFeCool)$$

Where:

ΔkWh = kWh savings calculated from either method above

WHFeHeatElectric= Waste Heat Factor for Energy to account for electric heating increase from removing waste heat from refrigerator/freezer (if fossil fuel heating – see calculation of heating penalty in that section).

= - (HF / $\eta Heat_{Electric}$) * %ElecHeat

HF = Heating Factor or percentage of reduced waste heat that must now be heated

= 59% for unit in heated space or unknown [39]

= 0% for unit in unheated space

$\eta Heat_{Electric}$ = Efficiency in COP of Heating equipment

= Actual - If not available, use[40]:

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Esitmate	ηHeat (COP Estimate)
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	2.00
	2006-2014	7.7	2.26
	2015 on	8.2	2.40

[36] According to Federal Standard effective 9/15/14
[37] DOE Building Energy Data Book, http://buildingsdatabook.eren.doe.gov/TableView.aspx?table=5.7.5
[38] DOE Building Energy Data Book, http://buildingsdatabook.eren.doe.gov/TableView.aspx?table=5.7.5
[39] Based on 217 days where HDD 60>0, divided by 365.25.
[40] These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 and 2015 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Esitmate	ηHeat (COP Estimate)
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00
Unknown	N/A	N/A	1.38[41]

%ElecHeat = Percentage of home with electric heat

Heating Fuel	%ElecHeat
Electric	100%
Fossil Fuel	0%
Unknown	15%[42]

WHFeCool = Waste Heat Factor for Energy to account for cooling savings from removing waste heat from refrigerator/freezer.

= (CoolF / ηCool) * %Cool

CoolF = Cooling Factor or percentage of reduced waste heat that no longer needs to be cooled

= 34% for unit in cooled space or unknown [43]

= 0% for unit in uncooled space

ηCool = Efficiency in COP of Cooling equipment

= Actual - If not available, assume 2.8 COP[44]

%Cool = Percentage of home with cooling

Home	%Cool
Cooling	100%
No Cooling	0%
Unknown	64%[45]

Algorithms for the most common refrigerator configurations, kWh_{base}, $\Delta kWh_{WasteHeat}$ for unknown building characteristics and resulting deemed ΔkWh savings is provided below:

Product Class	Algorithm from Federal Standard	Baseline Usage kWh_{base}	Unit ΔkWh			$\Delta kWh_{WasteHeat}$			Total ΔkWh		
			ENERGY STAR / CEE Tier 1	CEE Tier 2	CEE Tier 3	ENERGY STAR / CEE Tier 1	CEE Tier 2	CEE Tier 3	ENERGY STAR / CEE Tier 1	CEE Tier 2	CEE Tier 3
Top Freezer (PC 3)	8.40AV + 385.4	574	57.4	86.1	114.8	0.8	1.2	1.6	58.2	87.3	116.4

[41] Calculation assumes 33% Heat Pump and 67% Resistance, which is based upon data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls". Average efficiency of heat pump is based on the assumption that 50% are units from before 2006 and 50% 2006-2014.

[42] Based on data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls".

[43] Based on 123 days where CDD 65>0, divided by 365.25.

[44] Starting from standard assumption of SEER 10.5 central AC unit, converted to 9.5 EER using algorithm (-0.02 * $SEER^2$) + (1.12 * SEER) (from Wassmer, M. (2003); A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder), converted to COP = EER/3.412 = 2.8COP).

[45] Based on 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Midwest Region.xls"

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Product Class	Algorithm from Federal Standard	Baseline Usage kWh_{base}	Unit ΔkWh			$\Delta kWh_{WasteHeat}$			Total ΔkWh		
			ENERGY STAR / CEE Tier 1	CEE Tier 2	CEE Tier 3	ENERGY STAR / CEE Tier 1	CEE Tier 2	CEE Tier 3	ENERGY STAR / CEE Tier 1	CEE Tier 2	CEE Tier 3
Side-by-Side w/ TTD (PC 7)	8.54AV + 432.8	625	62.5	93.75	125	0.8	1.3	1.7	63.3	95.0	126.7
Bottom Freezer (PC 5)	8.85AV + 317.0	516	51.6	77.4	103.2	0.7	1.1	1.4	52.3	78.5	104.6
Bottom Freezer w/ TTD (PC 5A)	9.25AV + 475.4	684	68.4	102.6	136.8	0.9	1.4	1.9	69.3	104.0	138.7

If product class is unknown, the following table provides a market weighting that is applied to give a single deemed savings for each efficiency level:

Product Class	Market Weight[46]	Total ΔkWh			$\Delta kWh_{WasteHeat}$			Total ΔkWh		
		Energy Star/ CEE Tier 1	CEE Tier 2	CEE Tier 3	Energy Star/ CEE Tier 1	CEE Tier 2	CEE Tier 3	Energy Star/ CEE Tier 1	CEE Tier 2	CEE Tier 3
Top Freezer (PC 3)	52%	59.2	88.8	118.4	0.8	1.2	1.6	60.0	90.0	120.0
Side-by-Side w/ TTD (PC 7)	22%									
Bottom Freezer (PC 5)	13%									
Bottom Freezer w/ TTD (PC 5A)	13%									

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \left(\frac{\Delta kWh_{Unit}}{HOURS}\right) * WHFdCool * CF$$

Where:

ΔkWh_{Unit} = gross customer connected load kWh savings for the measure (not including $\Delta kWh_{wasteheat}$)

HOURS = Equivalent Full Load Hours

= 5280[47]

WHFdCool = Waste heat factor for demand to account for cooling savings from removing waste heat.

Refrigerator Location	WHFdCool
Cooled space	1.22[48]
Uncooled	1.0

[46] Personal Communication from Melisa Fiffer, ENERGY STAR Appliance Program Manager, EPA 10/26/14

[47] Based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.

[48] The value is estimated at 1.22 (calculated as 1 + (0.61 / 2.8)). See footnote relating to WHFe for details. Note the 61% factor represents the Residential cooling coincidence factor calculated using the average load during the peak period (as opposed to the peak hour), consistent with the lighting peak hours.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Refrigerator Location	WHFdCool
Unknown	1.14[49]

CF = Summer Peak Coincident Factor

= 0.709 [50]

Default values for each product class and unknown building characteristics are provided below:

Product Class	ΔkW		
	Energy Star/ CEE Tier 1	CEE Tier 2	CEE Tier 3
Top Freezer (PC 3)	0.0088	0.0132	0.0176
Side-by-Side w/ TTD (PC 7)	0.0096	0.0144	0.0191
Bottom Freezer (PC 5)	0.0079	0.0118	0.0158
Bottom Freezer w/ TTD (PC 5A)	0.0105	0.0157	0.0209

If product class is unknown, the following table provides a market weighting that is applied to give a single deemed savings for each efficiency level:

Product Class	Market Weight[51]	ΔkW		
		Energy Star/ CEE Tier 1	CEE Tier 2	CEE Tier 3
Top Freezer (PC 3)	52%	0.0091	0.0136	0.0181
Side-by-Side w/ TTD (PC 7)	22%			
Bottom Freezer (PC 5)	13%			
Bottom Freezer w/ TTD (PC 5A)	13%			

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Heating penalty for reduction in waste heat, only for units from conditioned space in gas heated home (if unknown, assume unit is from conditioned space).

$$\Delta Therms = \Delta kWh_{Unit} * WHFeHeatGas * 0.03412$$

Where:

ΔkWh_{Unit} = kWh savings calculated from either method above, not including the $\Delta kWh_{WasteHeat}$

WHFeHeatGas = Waste Heat Factor for Energy to account for gas heating increase from removing waste heat from refrigerator/freezer

= - (HF / $\eta Heat_{Gas}$) * %GasHeat

HF = Heating Factor or percentage of reduced waste heat that must now be heated

= 59% for unit in heated space or unknown [52]

= 0% for unit in unheated space

[49] The value is estimated at 1.14 (calculated as 1 + (0.64 * 0.61 / 2.8)). See footnote relating to WHFe for details. Note the 61% factor represents the Residential cooling coincidence factor calculated using the average load during the peak period (as opposed to the peak hour), consistent with the lighting peak hours. The 64% is the percentage of homes have central cooling (based on 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Midwest Region.xls").

[50] Based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.

[51] Personal Communication from Melisa Fiffer, ENERGY STAR Appliance Program Manager, EPA 10/26/14

[52] Based on 217 days where HDD 60>0, divided by 365.25.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

$\eta Heat_{Gas}$ = Efficiency of heating system

=74%[53]

%GasHeat = Percentage of homes with gas heat

Heating Fuel	%GasHeat
Electric	0%
Gas	100%
Unknown	85%[54]

0.03412 = Converts kWh to Therms

Default values for each product class and unknown building characteristics are provided below:

Product Class	ΔTherms		
	Energy Star/ CEE Tier 1	CEE Tier 2	CEE Tier 3
Top Freezer (PC 3)	-1.33	-1.99	-2.65
Side-by-Side w/ TTD (PC 7)	-1.45	-2.17	-2.89
Bottom Freezer (PC 5)	-1.19	-1.79	-2.39
Bottom Freezer w/ TTD (PC 5A)	-1.58	-2.37	-3.16

If product class is unknown, the following table provides a market weighting that is applied to give a single deemed savings for each efficiency level:

Product Class	Market Weight[55]	ΔTherms		
		Energy Star/ CEE Tier 1	CEE Tier 2	CEE Tier 3
Top Freezer (PC 3)	52%	-1.37	-2.05	-2.74
Side-by-Side w/ TTD (PC 7)	22%			
Bottom Freezer (PC 5)	13%			
Bottom Freezer w/ TTD (PC 5A)	13%			

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

Heating penalty for reduction in waste heat, only for units from conditioned space in gas heated home (if unknown, assume unit is from conditioned space).

For ease of application, savings for this measure is assumed to be evenly spread across the year. The Peak Gas Savings is therefore assumed to be:

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \frac{(\Delta Therms)}{HeatDays}$$

[53] This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes - based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows: ((0.60*0.92) + (0.40*0.8)) * (1-0.15) = 0.74.

[54] Based on data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls".

[55] Personal Communication from Melisa Fiffer, ENERGY STAR Appliance Program Manager, EPA 10/26/14

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Where:

ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above

HeatDays = Heat season days per year

= 217[56]

Default values for each product class and unknown building characteristics are provided below:

Product Class	ΔPeakTherms		
	Energy Star/ CEE Tier 1	CEE Tier 2	CEE Tier 3
Top Freezer (PC 3)	-0.0061	-0.0092	-0.0122
Side-by-Side w/ TTD (PC 7)	-0.0067	-0.0100	-0.0133
Bottom Freezer (PC 5)	-0.0055	-0.0082	-0.0110
Bottom Freezer w/ TTD (PC 5A)	-0.0073	-0.0109	-0.0146

If product class is unknown, the following table provides a market weighting that is applied to give a single deemed savings for each efficiency level:

Product Class	Market Weight[57]	ΔPeakTherms		
		Energy Star/ CEE Tier 1	CEE Tier 2	CEE Tier 3
Top Freezer (PC 3)	52%	-0.0063	-0.0095	-0.0126
Side-by-Side w/ TTD (PC 7)	22%			
Bottom Freezer (PC 5)	13%			
Bottom Freezer w/ TTD (PC 5A)	13%			

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-APL-REFR-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2021

[56] Number of days where HDD 60 >0.

[57] Personal Communication from Melisa Fiffer, ENERGY STAR Appliance Program Manager, EPA 10/26/14

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.1.4 Freezer

DESCRIPTION

A freezer meeting the efficiency specifications of ENERGY STAR is installed in place of a model meeting the federal standard (NAECA). Energy usage specifications are defined in the table below (note, AV is the freezer Adjusted Volume and is calculated as 1.73*Total Volume):

Product Category	Volume (cubic feet)	Federal Baseline Maximum Energy Usage in kWh/year[58]	ENERGY STAR Maximum Energy Usage in kWh/year[59]
Upright Freezers with Manual Defrost	7.75 or greater	5.57*AV + 193.7	5.01*AV + 174.3
Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost without an automatic icemaker	7.75 or greater	8.62*AV + 228.3	7.76*AV + 205.5
Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost with an automatic icemaker	7.75 or greater	8.62*AV+312.3	7.76*AV+289.5
Built-In Upright freezeres with automatic defrost without an automatic icemaker	7.75 or greater	9.86*AV+260.9	8.87*AV+234.8
Built-In Upright freezeres with automatic defrost with an automatic icemaker	7.75 or greater	9.86*AV+344.9	8.87*AV+318.8
Chest Freezers and all other Freezers except Compact Freezers	7.75 or greater	7.29*AV + 107.8	6.56*AV + 97.0
Chest Freezers with automatic defrost	7.75 or greater	10.24*AV+148.1	9.22*AV+133.3
Compact Upright Freezers with Manual Defrost	< 7.75 and 36 inches or less in height	8.65*AV + 225.7	7.79*AV + 203.1
Compact Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost	< 7.75 and 36 inches or less in height	10.17*AV + 351.9	9.15*AV + 316.7
Compact Chest Freezers	<7.75 and 36 inches or less in height	9.25*AV + 136.8	8.33*AV + 123.1

This measure also includes a section accounting for the interactive effect of reduced waste heat on the heating and cooling loads.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient equipment is defined as a freezer meeting the efficiency specifications of ENERGY STAR, defined as using at least 10% less measured energy than the minimum federal efficiency standards.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline equipment is assumed to be a model that meets the federal minimum standard for energy efficiency. The standard varies depending on the size and configuration of the freezer (chest freezer or upright freezer, automatic or manual defrost) and is defined in the table above.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life is assumed to be 12 years[60].

[58] http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/product.aspx/productid/43
[59] http://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/asset/document/appliance_calculator.xlsx
[60] 2012 EPA research on available models, as cited in the 2015 Energy Star Freezer Calculator;

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for this measure is $0[61].

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE15 - Residential Freezer

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS:

Savings by model may be pulled directly from ENERGY STAR data. Alternatively, savings by product class may be calculated according to the algorithm below.

$$\Delta kWh_{Unit} = kWh_{BASE} - kWh_{ESTAR}$$

Where:

kWh_{BASE} = Baseline kWh consumption per year as calculated in algorithm provided in table above.

kWh_{ESTAR} = ENERGY STAR kWh consumption per year as calculated in algorithm provided in table above.

For example for a 12.6 cubic foot Upright Freezers with Manual Defrost:

ΔkWh =(5.57*(12.6* 1.73)+193.7) – (5.01*(12.6* 1.73)+174.3)

= 315.1 – 283.5

= 31.6 kWh

Additional Waste Heat Impacts

For units in conditioned spaces in the home (if unknown, assume unit is from conditioned space).

$$\Delta kWh_{WasteHeat} = \Delta kWh * (WHFeHeatElectric + WHFeCool)$$

Where:

ΔkWh = kWh savings calculated from either method above

WHFeHeatElectric= Waste Heat Factor for Energy to account for electric heating increase from removing waste heat from refrigerator/freezer (if fossil fuel heating – see calculation of heating penalty in that section).

= - (HF / $\eta Heat_{Electric}$) * %ElecHeat

HF = Heating Factor or percentage of reduced waste heat that must now be heated

http://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/asset/document/appliance_calculator.xlsx

[61] 2014 EPA research on available models, as cited in the 2015 Energy Star Freezer Calculator; http://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/asset/document/appliance_calculator.xlsx

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

= 59% for unit in heated space or unknown [62]

= 0% for unit in unheated space

$\eta Heat_{Electric}$ = Efficiency in COP of Heating equipment

= Actual - If not available, use[63]:

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Esitmate	ηHeat (COP Estimate)
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	2.00
	2006-2014	7.7	2.26
	2015 on	8.2	2.40
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00
Unknown	N/A	N/A	1.38[64]

%ElecHeat = Percentage of home with electric heat

Heating Fuel	%ElecHeat
Electric	100%
Fossil Fuel	0%
Unknown	15%[65]

WHFeCool = Waste Heat Factor for Energy to account for cooling savings from removing waste heat from refrigerator/freezer.

= (CoolF / ηCool) * %Cool

CoolF = Cooling Factor or percentage of reduced waste heat that no longer needs to be cooled

= 34% for unit in cooled space or unknown [66]

= 0% for unit in uncooled space

ηCool = Efficiency in COP of Cooling equipment

= Actual - If not available, assume 2.8 COP[67]

%Cool = Percentage of home with cooling

Home	%Cool
Cooling	100%
No Cooling	0%

[62] Based on 217 days where HDD 60>0, divided by 365.25.

[63] These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 and 2015 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

[64] Calculation assumes 33% Heat Pump and 67% Resistance, which is based upon data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls". Average efficiency of heat pump is based on the assumption that 50% are units from before 2006 and 50% 2006-2014.

[65] Based on data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls".

[66] Based on 123 days where CDD 65>0, divided by 365.25.

[67] Starting from standard assumption of SEER 10.5 central AC unit, converted to 9.5 EER using algorithm $(-0.02 * SEER^2) + (1.12 * SEER)$ (from Wassmer, M. (2003); A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder), converted to COP = EER/3.412 = 2.8COP).

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Home	%Cool
Unknown	64%[68]

If volume and building characteristics are unknown, use the following default values:

Product Category	Volume Used[69]	kWh_{BASE}	kWh_{ESTAR}	Unit kWh Savings	$\Delta kWh_{WasteHeat}$	Total ΔkWh
Upright Freezers with Manual Defrost	21.8	315.1	283.5	31.6	0.4	32.0
Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost without an automatic icemaker	29.2	480.3	432.4	47.9	0.7	48.6
Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost with an automatic icemaker	29.2	564.3	516.4	47.9	0.7	48.6
Built-In Upright freezers with automatic defrost without an automatic icemaker	29.2	549.2	494.1	55.0	0.7	55.7
Built-In Upright freezers with automatic defrost with an automatic icemaker	29.2	633.2	578.1	55.0	0.7	55.7
Chest Freezers and all other Freezers except Compact Freezers	26.6	302.0	271.8	30.2	0.4	30.6
Chest Freezers with automatic defrost	26.6	420.9	378.9	42.0	0.6	42.6
Compact Upright Freezers with Manual Defrost	5.2	270.6	243.5	27.1	0.4	27.5
Compact Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost	9.2	445.1	400.6	44.6	0.6	45.2
Compact Chest Freezers	26.6	383.2	345.0	38.2	0.5	38.7

If product class is also unknown, the following table provides a market weighting to be applied to give a single deemed savings:

[68] Based on 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Midwest Region.xls"

[69] Volume is, converted to Adjusted Volume by multiplying by 1.73. Based on 2012 EPA research on available models, as cited in the 2015Energy Star Freezer Calculator; http://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/asset/document/appliance_calculator.xlsx

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Product Class	Market Weight[70]	Unit kWh Savings	$\Delta kWh_{WasteHeat}$	Total ΔkWh
Upright Freezers with Manual Defrost	19%	37.8	0.5	38.3
Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost without an automatic icemaker	33%			
Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost with an automatic icemaker	3%			
Built-In Upright freezers with automatic defrost without an automatic icemaker	0%			
Built-In Upright freezers with automatic defrost with an automatic icemaker	0%			
Chest Freezers and all other Freezers except Compact Freezers	31%			
Chest Freezers with automatic defrost	1%			
Compact Upright Freezers with Manual Defrost	2%			
Compact Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost	2%			
Compact Chest Freezers	9%			

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh_{Unit}}{Hours} * WHFdCool * CF$$

Where:

ΔkWh_{Unit} = Gross customer annual kWh savings for the measure (not including $\Delta kWh_{wasteheat}$)

Hours = Full Load hours per year

= 5895[71]

WHFdCool = Waste heat factor for demand to account for cooling savings from removing waste heat.

Freezer Location	WHFdCool
Cooled space	1.22[72]
Uncooled	1.0
Unknown	1.14[73]

[70] Weighted based on numbers of models available in the California Energy Commission Appliance Efficiency Program. https://cacertappliances.energy.ca.gov/Pages/Search/AdvancedSearch.aspx.

[71] Based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.

[72] The value is estimated at 1.22 (calculated as 1 + (0.61 / 2.8)). See footnote relating to WHFe for details. Note the 61% factor represents the Residential cooling coincidence factor calculated using the average load during the peak period (as opposed to the peak hour), consistent with the lighting peak hours.

[73] The value is estimated at 1.14 (calculated as 1 + (0.64 * 0.61 / 2.8)). See footnote relating to WHFe for details. Note the 61% factor represents the Residential cooling coincidence factor calculated using the average load during the peak period (as opposed to the peak hour), consistent with the lighting peak hours. The 64% is the percentage of homes have central cooling (based on 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Midwest Region.xls").

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

CF = Summer Peak Coincident Factor

= 0.953 [74]

For example for a 12.6 cubic foot Upright Freezers with Manual Defrost:

ΔkW = 31.6/5895 * 0.953

= 0.0051 kW

If volume and building characteristics are unknown, use the following default values:

Product Category	ΔkW
Upright Freezers with Manual Defrost	0.0058
Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost without an automatic icemaker	0.0088
Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost with an automatic icemaker	0.0088
Built-In Upright freezers with automatic defrost without an automatic icemaker	0.0101
Built-In Upright freezers with automatic defrost with an automatic icemaker	0.0101
Chest Freezers and all other Freezers except Compact Freezers	0.0056
Chest Freezers with automatic defrost	0.0077
Compact Upright Freezers with Manual Defrost	0.0050
Compact Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost	0.0082
Compact Chest Freezers	0.0070

If product class is unknown, the following table provides a market weighting to be applied to give a single deemed savings:

Product Class	Market Weight[75]	ΔkW
Upright Freezers with Manual Defrost	19%	0.0070
Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost without an automatic icemaker	33%	
Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost with an automatic icemaker	3%	
Built-In Upright freezers with automatic defrost without an automatic icemaker	0%	
Built-In Upright freezers with automatic defrost with an automatic icemaker	0%	
Chest Freezers and all other Freezers except Compact Freezers	31%	
Chest Freezers with automatic defrost	1%	
Compact Upright Freezers with Manual Defrost	2%	
Compact Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost	2%	
Compact Chest Freezers	9%	

[74] Based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.

[75] Weighted based on numbers of models available in the California Energy Commission Appliance Efficiency Program. https://cacertappliances.energy.ca.gov/Pages/Search/AdvancedSearch.aspx.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Heating penalty for reduction in waste heat, only for units from conditioned space in gas heated home (if unknown, assume unit is from conditioned space).

$$\Delta Therms = \Delta kWh_{Unit} * WHFeHeatGas * 0.03412$$

Where:

ΔkWh_{Unit} = kWh savings calculated from either method above, not including the $\Delta kWh_{WasteHeat}$

WHFeHeatGas = Waste Heat Factor for Energy to account for gas heating increase from removing waste heat from refrigerator/freezer

= - (HF / $\eta Heat_{Gas}$) * %GasHeat

HF = Heating Factor or percentage of reduced waste heat that must now be heated

= 59% for unit in heated space or unknown [76]

= 0% for unit in unheated space

$\eta Heat_{Gas}$ = Efficiency of heating system

=74%[77]

%GasHeat = Percentage of homes with gas heat

Heating Fuel	%GasHeat
Electric	0%
Gas	100%
Unknown	85%[78]

0.03412 = Converts kWh to Therms

If volume and building characteristics are unknown, use the following default values:

Product Category	ΔTherms
Upright Freezers with Manual Defrost	-0.73
Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost without an automatic icemaker	-1.11
Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost with an automatic icemaker	-1.11
Built-In Upright freezers with automatic defrost without an automatic icemaker	-1.27
Built-In Upright freezers with automatic defrost with an automatic icemaker	-1.27

[76] Based on 217 days where HDD 60>0, divided by 365.25.

[77] This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes - based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows: ((0.60*0.92) + (0.40*0.8)) * (1-0.15) = 0.74.

[78] Based on data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls".

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Product Category	ΔTherms
Chest Freezers and all other Freezers except Compact Freezers	-0.70
Chest Freezers with automatic defrost	-0.97
Compact Upright Freezers with Manual Defrost	-0.63
Compact Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost	-1.03
Compact Chest Freezers	-0.88

If product class is unknown, the following table provides a market weighting to be applied to give a single deemed savings:

Product Class	Market Weight[79]	ΔTherms
Upright Freezers with Manual Defrost	19%	-0.87
Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost without an automatic icemaker	33%	
Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost with an automatic icemaker	3%	
Built-In Upright freezers with automatic defrost without an automatic icemaker	0%	
Built-In Upright freezers with automatic defrost with an automatic icemaker	0%	
Chest Freezers and all other Freezers except Compact Freezers	31%	
Chest Freezers with automatic defrost	1%	
Compact Upright Freezers with Manual Defrost	2%	
Compact Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost	2%	
Compact Chest Freezers	9%	

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

Heating penalty for reduction in waste heat, only for units from conditioned space in gas heated home (if unknown, assume unit is from conditioned space).

For ease of application, savings for this measure is assumed to be evenly spread across the year. The Peak Gas Savings is therefore assumed to be:

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \frac{(\Delta Therms)}{HeatDays}$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above

HeatDays = Heat season days per year

= 217[80]

If volume and building characteristics are unknown, use the following default values:

[79] Weighted based on numbers of models available in the California Energy Commission Appliance Efficiency Program. https://cacertappliances.energy.ca.gov/Pages/Search/AdvancedSearch.aspx.

[80] Number of days where HDD 60 >0.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Product Category	ΔPeakTherms
Upright Freezers with Manual Defrost	-0.0034
Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost without an automatic icemaker	-0.0051
Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost with an automatic icemaker	-0.0051
Built-In Upright freezers with automatic defrost without an automatic icemaker	-0.0059
Built-In Upright freezers with automatic defrost with an automatic icemaker	-0.0059
Chest Freezers and all other Freezers except Compact Freezers	-0.0032
Chest Freezers with automatic defrost	-0.0045
Compact Upright Freezers with Manual Defrost	-0.0029
Compact Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost	-0.0048
Compact Chest Freezers	-0.0041

If product class is unknown, the following table provides a market weighting to be applied to give a single deemed savings:

Product Class	Market Weight[81]	ΔPeakTherms
Upright Freezers with Manual Defrost	19%	-0.0040
Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost without an automatic icemaker	33%	
Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost with an automatic icemaker	3%	
Built-In Upright freezers with automatic defrost without an automatic icemaker	0%	
Built-In Upright freezers with automatic defrost with an automatic icemaker	0%	
Chest Freezers and all other Freezers except Compact Freezers	31%	
Chest Freezers with automatic defrost	1%	
Compact Upright Freezers with Manual Defrost	2%	
Compact Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost	2%	
Compact Chest Freezers	9%	

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

[81] Weighted based on numbers of models available in the California Energy Commission Appliance Efficiency Program. https://cacertappliances.energy.ca.gov/Pages/Search/AdvancedSearch.aspx.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

MEASURE CODE: RS-APL-ESFR-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2021

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.1.5 Refrigerator and Freezer Recycling

DESCRIPTION

This measure describes savings from the retirement and recycling of inefficient but operational refrigerators and freezers. Savings are provided in two ways. First, a regression equation is provided that requires the use of key inputs describing the retired unit (or population of units) and is based on a 2013 workpaper provided by Cadmus that used data from a 2012 ComEd metering study and metering data from a Michigan study. The second methodology is a deemed approach based on 2011 Cadmus analysis of data from a number of evaluations[82].

The savings are equivalent to the Unit Energy Consumption of the retired unit and should be claimed for the assumed remaining useful life of that unit. A part-use factor is applied to account for those secondary units that are not in use throughout the entire year. The user should note that the regression algorithm is designed to provide an accurate portrayal of savings for the population as a whole and includes those parameters that have a significant effect on the consumption. The precision of savings for individual units will vary. This measure also includes a section accounting for the interactive effect of reduced waste heat on the heating and cooling loads.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: ERET.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

N/A

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The existing inefficient unit must be operational and have a capacity of between 10 and 30 cubic feet.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The estimated remaining useful life of the recycling units is 8 years [83].

DEEMED MEASURE COST

Measure cost includes the cost of pickup and recycling of the refrigerator and should be based on actual costs of running the program. If unknown, assume $120[84] per unit.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE09 - Residential Refrigerator

Loadshape RE02 – Residential Freezer

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ENERGY SAVINGS

Regression analysis; Refrigerators

[82] Cadmus, 2011; "2010 Residential Great Refrigerator Roundup Program – Impact Evaluation"
[83] KEMA "Residential refrigerator recycling ninth year retention study", 2004
[84] Based on similar Efficiency Vermont program.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Energy savings for refrigerators are based upon a linear regression model using the following coefficients[85]:

Independent Variable Description	Estimate Coefficient
Intercept	83.324
Age (years)	3.678
Pre-1990 (=1 if manufactured pre-1990)	485.037
Size (cubic feet)	27.149
Dummy: Side-by-Side (= 1 if side-by-side)	406.779
Dummy: Primary Usage Type (in absence of the program) (= 1 if primary unit)	161.857
Interaction: Located in Unconditioned Space x CDD/365.25	15.366
Interaction: Located in Unconditioned Space x HDD/365.25	-11.067

$$\Delta kWh_{Unit} = [83.32 + (Age * 3.68) + (Pre-1990 * 485.04) + (Size * 27.15) + (Side-by-side * 406.78) + (Primary\ Usage * 161.86) + (CDD/365.25 * unconditioned * 15.37) + (HDD/365.25 * unconditioned * -11.07)] * Part\ Use\ Factor$$

Where:

Age = Age of retired unit

Pre-1990 = Pre-1990 dummy (=1 if manufactured pre-1990, else 0)

Size = Capacity (cubic feet) of retired unit

Side-by-side = Side-by-side dummy (= 1 if side-by-side, else 0)

Single-Door = Single-door dummy (= 1 if Single-door, else 0)

Primary Usage = Primary Usage Type (in absence of the program) dummy

(= 1 if Primary, else 0)

CDD = Cooling Degree Days

= Dependent on location[86]:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	CDD 65	CDD/365.25
5 (Burlington)	1209	3.31
6 (Mason City)	616	1.69
Average/unknown (Des Moines)	1,068	2.92

Unconditioned = If unit in unconditioned space = 1, otherwise 0

HDD = Heating Degree Days

= Dependent on location:[87]

[85] Coefficients provided in July 30, 2014 memo from Cadmus: "Appliance Recycling Update no single door July 30 2014". Based on the specified regression, a small number of units may have negative energy and demand consumption. These are a function of the unit size and age, and should comprise a very small fraction of the population. While on an individual basis this result is counterintuitive, it is important that these negative results remain such that as a population the average savings is appropriate.

[86] National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 65°F.

[87] National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 60	HDD/365.25
5 (Burlington)	4,496	12.31
6 (Mason City)	6,391	17.50
Average/unknown (Des Moines)	5,052	13.83

Part Use Factor = To account for those units that are not running throughout the entire year. If available, part-use factor participant survey results should be used. If not available, assume 0.93.[88]

Deemed approach; Refrigerators

$$\Delta kWh_{Unit} = UEC * Part\ Use\ Factor$$

Where:

UEC = Unit Energy Consumption

= 1106 kWh[89]

Part Use Factor = To account for those units that are not running throughout the entire year. If available, part-use factor participant survey results should be used. If not available, assume 0.93.[90]

ΔkWh_{Unit} = 1106 * 0.93

= 1028.6 kWh

Regression analysis; Freezers:

Energy savings for freezers are based upon a linear regression model using the following coefficients[91]:

Independent Variable Description	Estimate Coefficient
Intercept	132.122
Age (years)	12.130
Pre-1990 (=1 if manufactured pre-1990)	156.181
Size (cubic feet)	31.839
Chest Freezer Configuration (=1 if chest freezer)	-19.709
Interaction: Located in Unconditioned Space x CDD/365.25	9.778
Interaction: Located in Unconditioned Space x HDD/365.25	-12.755

[88] Most recent refrigerator part-use factor from Ameren Illinois PY5 evaluation.

[89] This value is taken from the 2011 Cadmus evaluation analysis with 4 years of degradation (3.7%) as a reasonable estimate for 2015 and beyond.

[90] Most recent refrigerator part-use factor from Ameren Illinois PY5 evaluation.

[91] Coefficients provided in January 31, 2013 memo from Cadmus: "Appliance Recycling Update". Based on the specified regression, a small number of units may have negative energy and demand consumption. These are a function of the unit size and age, and should comprise a very small fraction of the population. While on an individual basis this result is counterintuitive it is important that these negative results remain such that as a population the average savings is appropriate.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

$$\Delta kWh_{Unit} = [132.12 + (Age * 12.13) + (Pre - 1990 * 156.18) + (Size * 31.84) + (Chest\ Freezer * -19.71) + (CDD/365.25 * unconditioned * 9.78) + (HDD/365.25 * unconditioned * -12.75)] * Part\ Use\ Factor$$

Where:

Age	= Age of retired unit
Pre-1990	= Pre-1990 dummy (=1 if manufactured pre-1990, else 0)
Size	= Capacity (cubic feet) of retired unit
Chest Freezer	= Chest Freezer dummy (= 1 if chest freezer, else 0)
CDD	= Cooling Degree Days (see table in refrigerator section)
Unconditioned	= If unit in unconditioned space = 1, otherwise 0
HDD	= Heating Degree Days (see table in refrigerator section)
Part Use Factor	= To account for those units that are not running throughout the entire year. If available, part-use factor participant survey results should be used. If not available, assume 0.85.[92]

Deemed approach; Freezers

$$\Delta kWh_{Unit} = UEC * Part\ Use\ Factor$$

Where:

$UEC_{Reitred}$	= Unit Energy Consumption of retired unit
	= 919 kWh[93]
Part Use Factor	= To account for those units that are not running throughout the entire year. If available, part-use factor participant survey results should be used. If not available, assume 0.85.[94]
ΔkWh_{Unit}	= 919 * 0.85
	= 781.2 kWh

Additional Waste Heat Impacts

Only for retired units from conditioned spaces in the home (if unknown, assume unit is from unconditioned space).

$$\Delta kWh_{WasteHeat} = \Delta kWh * (WHFeHeatElectric + WHFeCool)$$

Where:

ΔkWh_{unit}	= kWh savings calculated from either method above
WHFeHeatElectric	= Waste Heat Factor for Energy to account for electric heating increase from removing waste heat from refrigerator/freezer (if fossil fuel heating – see calculation of heating penalty in that section).
	= - (HF / $\eta Heat_{Electric}$) * %ElecHeat
	HF = Heating Factor or percentage of reduced waste heat that must now be heated

[92] Most recent freezer part-use factor from Ameren Illinois Company PY5 evaluation.
[93] This value is taken from the 2011 Cadmus evaluation analysis with 4 years of degradation (3.7%) as a reasonable estimate for 2015 and beyond.
[94] Most recent freezer part-use factor from Ameren Illinois Company PY5 evaluation.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

= 59% for unit in heated space[95]

= 0% for unit in unheated space or unknown

$\eta Heat_{Electric}$ = Efficiency in COP of Heating equipment

= Actual - If not available, use[96]:

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Esitmate	ηHeat (COP Estimate)
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	2.00
	2006-2014	7.7	2.26
	2015 on	8.2	2.40
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00
Unknown	N/A	N/A	1.38[97]

%ElecHeat = Percentage of home with electric heat

Heating Fuel	%ElecHeat
Electric	100%
Fossil Fuel	0%
Unknown	15%[98]

WHFeCool = Waste Heat Factor for Energy to account for cooling savings from removing waste heat from refrigerator/freezer.

= (CoolF / ηCool) * %Cool

If unknown, assume 0

CoolF = Cooling Factor or percentage of reduced waste heat that no longer needs to be cooled

= 34% for unit in cooled space[99]

= 0% for unit in uncooled space or unknown

ηCool = Efficiency in COP of Cooling equipment

= Actual - If not available, assume 2.8 COP[100]

%Cool = Percentage of home with cooling

Home	%Cool
Cooling	100%

[95] Based on 217 days where HDD 60>0, divided by 365.25.

[96] These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 and 2015 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

[97] Calculation assumes 33% Heat Pump and 67% Resistance, which is based upon data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls". Average efficiency of heat pump is based on the assumption that 50% are units from before 2006 and 50% 2006-2014.

[98] Based on data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls".

[99] Based on 123 days where CDD 65>0, divided by 365.25.

[100] Starting from standard assumption of SEER 10.5 central AC unit, converted to 9.5 EER using algorithm $(-0.02 * SEER^2) + (1.12 * SEER)$ (from Wassmer, M. (2003); A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder), converted to COP = EER/3.412 = 2.8COP).

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Home	%Cool
No Cooling	0%
Unknown	64%[101]

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh_{unit}}{HOURS} * WHFdCool * CF$$

Where:

ΔkWh_{unit} = Savings provided in algorithm above (not including $\Delta kWh_{wasteheat}$)

HOURS = Equivalent Full Load Hours as calculated using eShapes loadprofile

Refrigerators = 5280

Freezers = 5895

WHFdCool = Waste heat factor for demand to account for cooling savings from removing waste heat.

Refrigerator Location	WHFdCool
Cooled space	1.22[102]
Uncooled or unknown space	1.0

CF = Coincident factor as calculated using eShapes loadprofile

Refrigerators = 70.9%

Freezers = 95.3%

Deemed approach; Refrigerators

ΔkW = 1028.6/5280 * 1 * 0.709

= 0.1381 kW

Deemed approach; Freezers

ΔkW = 781.2/5895 * 1* 0.953

= 0.1263 kW

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Heating penalty for reduction in waste heat, only for retired units from conditioned space in gas heated home (if unknown, assume unit is from unconditioned space).

$$\Delta Therms = \Delta kWh_{Unit} * WHFeHeatGas * 0.03412$$

Where:

ΔkWh_{Unit} = kWh savings calculated from either method above, not including the $\Delta kWh_{WasteHeat}$

[101] Based on 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Midwest Region.xls"

[102] The value is estimated at 1.22 (calculated as 1 + (0.61 / 2.8)). See footnote relating to WHFe for details. Note the 61% factor represents the Residential cooling coincidence factor calculated using the average load during the peak period (as opposed to the peak hour), consistent with the lighting peak hours.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

WHFeHeatGas = Waste Heat Factor for Energy to account for gas heating increase from removing waste heat from refrigerator/freezer

= - (HF / $\eta Heat_{Gas}$) * %GasHeat

If unknown, assume 0

HF = Heating Factor or percentage of reduced waste heat that must now be heated

= 59% for unit in heated space[103]

= 0% for unit in heated space or unknown

$\eta Heat_{Gas}$ = Efficiency of heating system

=74%[104]

%GasHeat = Percentage of homes with gas heat

Heating Fuel	%GasHeat
Electric	0%
Gas	100%
Unknown	85%[105]

0.03412 = Converts kWh to Therms

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

Heating penalty for reduction in waste heat, only for retired units from conditioned space in gas heated home (if unknown, assume unit is from unconditioned space).

For ease of application, savings for this measure is assumed to be evenly spread across the year. The Peak Gas Savings is therefore assumed to be:

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \frac{(\Delta Therms)}{HeatDays}$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above

HeatDays = Heat season days per year

= 217[106]

[103] Based on 217 days where HDD 60>0, divided by 365.25.

[104] This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes - based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows: ((0.60*0.92) + (0.40*0.8)) * (1-0.15) = 0.74.

[105] Based on data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls".

[106] Number of days where HDD 60 >0.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-APL-RFRC-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2020

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.1.6 Room Air Conditioner

DESCRIPTION

This measure relates to the purchase and installation of a room air conditioning unit that meets the ENERGY STAR minimum qualifying efficiency specifications, in place of a baseline unit meeting minimum Federal Standard efficiency ratings presented below:[107]

Product Class (Btu/H)	Federal Standard CEERbase, with louvered sides, without reverse cycle[108]	Federal Standard CEERbase, without louvered sides, without reverse cycle	ENERGY STAR CEERee, with louvered sides	ENERGY STAR CEERee, without louvered sides
< 8,000	11.0	10.0	11.5	10.5
8,000 to 10,999	10.9	9.6	11.4	10.1
11,000 to 13,999		9.5		10.0
14,000 to 19,999	10.7	9.3	11.2	9.7
20,000 to 24,999	9.4	9.4	9.8	9.8
25,000-27,999	9.0			
>=28,000			9.5	

Casement	Federal Standard CEERbase	ENERGY STAR CEERee
Casement-only	9.5	10.0
Casement-slider	10.4	10.8

Reverse Cycle - Product Class (Btu/H)	Federal Standard CEERbase, with louvered sides	Federal Standard CEERbase, without louvered sides[109]	ENERGY STAR CEERee, with louvered sides[110]	ENERGY STAR CEERee, without louvered sides
< 14,000	N/A	9.3	N/A	9.7
>= 14,000	N/A	8.7	N/A	9.1
< 20,000	9.8	N/A	10.3	N/A
>= 20,000	9.3	N/A	9.7	N/A

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

[107]Side louvers that extend from a room air conditioner model in order to position the unit in a window. A model without louvered sides is placed in a built-in wall sleeve and are commonly referred to as "through-the-wall" or "built-in" models. Casement-only refers to a room air conditioner designed for mounting in a casement window of a specific size. Casement-slider refers to a room air conditioner with an encased assembly designed for mounting in a sliding or casement window of a specific size. Reverse cycle refers to the heating function found in certain room air conditioner models. https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Version%204.0%20Room%20Air%20Conditioners%20Program%20Requirements.pdf

[108] Federal standard air conditioner baselines. https://ees.lbl.gov/product/room-air-conditioners

[109] Federal standard air conditioner baselines. https://ees.lbl.gov/product/room-air-conditioners

[110] EnergyStar version 4.0 Room Air Conditioner Program Requirements. https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Version%204.0%20Room%20Air%20Conditioners%20Program%20Requirements.pdf.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure the new room air conditioning unit must meet the ENERGY STAR efficiency standards presented above.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline assumption is a new room air conditioning unit that meets the current minimum federal efficiency standards presented above.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life is assumed to be 9 years.[111]

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for this measure is assumed to be $50 for an ENERGY STAR unit.[112]

LOADSHAPE

Loadshapes RE02 -- Residential Multifamily Cooling, and RE07 – Residential Single Family Cooling

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \frac{(FLH_{RoomAC} * Btu/H * \left(\frac{1}{CEERbase} - \frac{1}{CEERee}\right)}{1000}$$

Where:

FLH_{RoomAC} = Full Load Hours of room air conditioning unit

= dependent on location:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Hours[113]
5 (Burlington)	330
6 (Mason City)	168
Average/unknown (Des Moines)	292

[111] Energy Star Room Air Conditioner Savings Calculator, http://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?fuseaction=find_a_product.showProductGroup&pgw_code=AC

[112] Energy Star Room Air Conditioner Savings Calculator, http://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?fuseaction=find_a_product.showProductGroup&pgw_code=AC

[113] The average ratio of FLH for Room AC (provided in RLW Report: Final Report Coincidence Factor Study Residential Room Air Conditioners, June 23, 2008: http://www.puc.nh.gov/Electric/Monitoring%20and%20Evaluation%20Reports/National%20Grid/117_RLW_CF%20Res%20RAC.pdf) to FLH for Central Cooling for the same locations (provided by AHRI: http://www.energystar.gov/ia/business/bulk_purchasing/bpsavings_calc/Calc_CAC.xls) is 31%. This factor was applied to the ENERGY STAR FLH for Central Cooling provided for Des Moines, IA to provide an assumption for FLH for Room AC, and adjusted by CDD for the other locations.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Btu/H = Size of unit

= Actual. If unknown assume 8500 Btu/hr [114]

CEERbase = Efficiency of baseline unit

= As provided in tables above

CEERee = Efficiency of ENERGY STAR unit

= Actual. If unknown assume minimum qualifying standard as provided in tables above

For example for an 8,500 Btu/H capacity ENERGY STAR unit, with louvered sides, in Burlington:

$\Delta kWH_{ENERGY\ STAR}$ = (330 * 8500 * (1/10.9 – 1/11.4)) / 1000

= 11.3 kWh

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{Btu/H * \left(\frac{1}{CEERbase * 1.01} - \frac{1}{CEERee * 1.01}\right)}{1000} * CF$$

Where:

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure

= 0.3[115]

1.01 = Factor to convert CEER to EER (CEER includes standby and off power consumption). [116]

Other variables as defined above

For example for an 8,500 Btu/H capacity ENERGY STAR unit, with louvered sides, in Burlington:

$\Delta kW_{ENERGY\ STAR}$ = (8500 * (1/10.9*1.01 – 1/11.4*1.01)) / 1000 * 0.3

= 0.0104 kW

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

N/A

[114] Based on maximum capacity average from the RLW Report: Final Report Coincidence Factor Study Residential Room Air Conditioners, June 23, 2008

[115] Consistent with coincidence factors found in: RLW Report: Final Report Coincidence Factor Study Residential Room Air Conditioners, June 23, 2008 (http://www.puc.nh.gov/Electric/Monitoring%20and%20Evaluation%20Reports/National%20Grid/117_RLW_CF%20Res%20RAC.pdf)

[116] Since the new CEER rating includes standby and off power consumption, for peak calculations it is more appropriate to apply the EER rating, but it appears as though new units will only be rated with a CEER rating. Version 3.0 of the ENERGY STAR specification provided equivalent EER and CEER ratings and for the most popular size band the EER rating is approximately 1% higher than the CEER. See 'ENERGY STAR Version 3.1 Room Air Conditioners Program Requirements'.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-APL-RMAC-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2020

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.1.7 Room Air Conditioner Recycling

DESCRIPTION

This measure describes the savings resulting from running a drop-off service taking existing residential, inefficient Room Air Conditioner units from service prior to their natural end of life. This measure assumes that a percentage of these units will be replaced with a baseline standard efficiency unit (note that if it is actually replaced by a new ENERGY STAR qualifying unit, the savings increment between baseline and ENERGY STAR will be recorded in the Efficient Products program).

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: ERET.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

N/A. This measure relates to the retiring of an existing inefficient unit.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is the existing inefficient room air conditioning unit.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The assumed remaining useful life of the existing room air conditioning unit being retired is 4 years[117].

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual implementation cost for recycling the existing unit should be used.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE11- Residential Single Family Cooling

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = kWhexist - (\%replaced * kWhnewbase)$$
$$= \frac{Hours * BtuH}{EERexist * 1000} - (\%replaced * \frac{Hours * BtuH}{EERNewBase * 1000})$$

Where:

Hours = Full Load Hours of room air conditioning unit

[117] One third of assumed measure life for Room AC.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Hours[118]
5 (Burlington)	330
6 (Mason City)	168
Average/unknown (Des Moines)	292

BtuH = Average size of rebated unit. Use actual if available - if not, assume 8500[119]

EERexist = Efficiency of recycled unit

= Actual if recorded - If not, assume 9.0[120]

%replaced = Percentage of units dropped off that are replaced

Scenario	%replaced
Customer states unit will not be replaced	0%
Customer states unit will be replaced	100%
Unknown	76%[121]

EERbase = Efficiency of baseline unit

= 10.9[122]

Results using defaults provided above:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	ΔkWh		
	Unit not replaced	Unit replaced	Unknown
5 (Burlington)	311.7	54.3	116.1
6 (Mason City)	158.7	27.7	59.1
Average/Unknown (Des Moines)	275.8	48.1	102.7

[118] The average ratio of FLH for Room AC (provided in RLW Report: Final Report Coincidence Factor Study Residential Room Air Conditioners, June 23, 2008: http://www.puc.nh.gov/Electric/Monitoring%20and%20Evaluation%20Reports/National%20Grid/117_RLW_CF%20Res%20RAC.pdf) to FLH for Central Cooling for the same locations (provided by AHRI: http://www.energystar.gov/ia/business/bulk_purchasing/bpsavings_calc/Calc_CAC.xls) is 31%. This factor was applied to the ENERGY STAR FLH for Central Cooling provided for Des Moines, IA to provide an assumption for FLH for Room AC, and adjusted by CDD for the other locations.

[119] Based on maximum capacity average from the RLW Report; "Final Report Coincidence Factor Study Residential Room Air Conditioners, June 23, 2008."

[120] The Federal Minimum for the most common type of unit (8000 – 13999 Btuh with side vents) from 1990-2000 was 9.0 EER, from 2000-2014 it was 9.8 EER, and is currently (2015) 10.9 CEER. Retirement programs will see a large array of ages being retired, and the true EER of many will have been significantly degraded. We have selected 9.0 as a reasonable estimate of the average retired unit. This is supported by material on the ENERGY STAR website, which, if reverse-engineered, indicates that an EER of 9.16 is used for savings calculations for a 10-year old RAC. Another statement indicates that units that are at least 10 years old use 20% more energy than a new ES unit, which equates to: 10.9EER/1.2 = 9.1 EER; http://www.energystar.gov/ia/products/recycle/documents/RoomAirConditionerTurn-InAndRecyclingPrograms.pdf

[121] Based on Nexus Market Research Inc, RLW Analytics, December 2005; "Impact, Process, and Market Study of the Connecticut Appliance Retirement Program: Overall Report." Report states that 63% were replaced with ENERGY STAR units and 13% with non-ENERGY STAR. However, this formula assumes all are non-ENERGY STAR since the increment of savings between baseline units and ENERGY STAR would be recorded by the Efficient Products program when the new unit is purchased.

[122] Minimum Federal Standard for capacity range and most popular class (Without reverse cycle, with louvered sides, and 8,000 to 13,999 Btu/h); http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/product.aspx/productid/41

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh}{Hours} * CF$$

Where:

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure

= 0.3[123]

Results using defaults provided above:

ΔkW		
Unit not replaced	Unit replaced	Unknown
0.2833	0.0494	0.1055

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-APL-RARC-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2023

[123] Consistent with coincidence factors found in:
RLW Report: Final Report Coincidence Factor Study Residential Room Air Conditioners, June 23, 2008 (http://www.puc.nh.gov/Electric/Monitoring%20and%20Evaluation%20Reports/National%20Grid/117_RLW_CF%20Res%20RAC.pdf)

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.2 Consumer Electronics

2.2.1 Tier 1 Advanced Power Strip (APS)

DESCRIPTION

This measure relates to Tier 1 Advanced Power Strips which are multi-plug power strips with the ability to automatically disconnect specific connected loads depending upon the power draw of a master control load, also plugged into the strip. Power is disconnected from the switched (controlled) outlets when the master control load power draw is reduced below a certain adjustable threshold, thus turning off the appliances plugged into the switched outlets. By disconnecting, the standby load of the controlled devices, the overall load of a centralized group of equipment (i.e. entertainment centers and home office) can be reduced. Uncontrolled outlets are also provided that are not affected by the control device and so are always providing power to any device plugged into it. This measure characterization provides savings for use of the Advanced Power Strip in an entertainment, office or unknown setting.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, DI.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient case is the use of a 4-8 plug Tier 1 master controlled advanced power strip.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The assumed baseline is a standard power strip that does not control connected loads.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The assumed lifetime of the advanced power strip is 4 years[124].

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost of an advanced power strip over a standard power strip with surge protection is assumed to be $15[125].

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE05 Residential Multi-family Plug Load

Loadshape RE13 Residential Single Family Plug Load

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor for this measure is assumed to be 80%[126].

[124] David Rogers, Power Smart Engineering, October 2008; "Smart Strip electrical savings and usability", p22.

[125] Incremental cost over standard power strip with surge protection based on "*Preliminary Findings from Emerging Technology Scoping Study on Smart Plug Strips"* with average market price of $35 for controlled power strip and $20 for baseline plug strip with surge protection

[126] In the absence of empirical evaluation data, this was based on assumptions of the typical run pattern for televisions and computers in homes.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = (kWh_{office} * Weighting_{Office} + kWh_{Ent} * Weighting_{Ent}) * ISR$$

Where:

kWh_{office} = Estimated energy savings from using an APS in a home office

= 31.0 kWh[127]

$Weighting_{Office}$ = Relative penetration of use in home office

Installation	$Weighting_{Office}$
Home Office	100%
Home Entertainment System	0%
Unknown	41%[128]

kWh_{Ent} = Estimated energy savings from using an APS in a home entertainment system

= 75.1 kWh[129]

$Weighting_{Ent}$ = Relative penetration of use with home entertainment systems

Installation	$Weighting_{Ent}$
Home Office	0%
Home Entertainment System	100%
Unknown	59%[130]

ISR = In service rate

= 83.2%[131]

Based on defaults provided above the following are the default savings:

ΔkWh_{office} = (31 * 100% + 75.1 * 0%) * 0.832

= 25.8 kWh

ΔkWh_{Ent} = (31 * 0% + 75.1 * 100%) * 0.832

= 62.5 kWh

[127] NYSERDA 2011, Advanced Power Strip Research Report. Note that estimates are not based on pre/post metering but on analysis based on frequency and consumption of likely products in active, standby and off modes. This measure should be reviewed frequently to ensure that assumptions continue to be appropriate.

[128] Relative weightings of home office and entertainment systems is based on Navigant, Cadmus, EmPower Maryland Final Evaluation Report – Evaluation Year 4; Residential Retrofit Programs, 2014. If the programs have improved basis for these numbers they should be used.

[129] NYSERDA 2011, Advanced Power Strip Research Report

[130] Relative weightings of home office and entertainment systems is based on Navigant, Cadmus, EmPower Maryland Final Evaluation Report – Evaluation Year 4; Residential Retrofit Programs, 2014. If the programs have improved basis for these numbers they should be used.

[131] Based on Navigant, Cadmus, EmPower Maryland Final Evaluation Report – Evaluation Year 4; Residential Retrofit Programs, 2014. If the programs have improved basis for these numbers they should be used.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

$\Delta kWh_{unknown}$ = (31 * 41% + 75.1 * 59%) * 0.832

= 47.4 kWh

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

ΔkW = ΔkWh / Hours * CF

Where:

Hours = Annual number of hours during which the controlled standby loads are turned off by the Advanced power Strip.

= 7,129 [132]

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure

= 0.8 [133]

ΔkW_{office} = 25.8 / 7129 * 0.8

= 0.0029 kW

ΔkW_{Ent} = 62.5 / 7129 * 0.8

= 0.0070 kW

$\Delta kW_{unknown}$ = 47.4 / 7129 * 0.8

= 0.0053 kW

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-CEL-APS1-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2020

[132] Average of hours for controlled TV and computer from; NYSERDA Measure Characterization for Advanced Power Strips

[133] In the absence of empirical evaluation data, this was based on assumptions of the typical run pattern for televisions and computers in homes.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.2.2 Tier 2 Advanced Power Strips (APS) – Residential Audio Visual

DESCRIPTION

This measure relates to the installation of Tier 2 Advanced Power Strips for household audio visual environments (Tier 2 AV APS). Tier 2 AV APS are multi-plug power strips that remove power from audio visual equipment through intelligent control and monitoring strategies. By utilizing advanced control strategies such as true RMS (Root Mean Square) power sensing and/or external sensors[134]; both active power loads and standby power loads of controlled devices are managed by Tier 2 AV APS devices. Monitoring and controlling both active and standby power loads of controlled devices will reduce the overall load of a centralized group of electrical equipment (i.e. the home entertainment center). This more intelligent sensing and control process has been demonstrated to deliver increased energy savings and demand reduction compared with 'Tier 1 Advanced Power Strips'.

The Tier 2 APS market is a relatively new and developing one. With several new Tier 2 APS products coming to market, it is important that energy savings are clearly demonstrated through independent field trials. Due to the inherent variance day to day and week to week for hours of use of AV systems, it is critical that field trial studies effectively address the variability in usage patterns. There is significant discussion in the EM&V and academic domain on the optimal methodology for controlling for these factors and in submitting evidence of energy savings, it is critical that it is demonstrated that these issues are adequately addressed. Until such time that there is enough independent evidence to demonstrate an appropriate deemed savings for each of the various control strategies, it is recommended that products that have provided independent field trial results be placed in to performance bands and savings claimed accordingly.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: DI. If applied to other program delivery types, the installation characteristics including the number of AV devices under control and an appropriate in service rate should be verified through evaluation.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient case is the use of a Tier 2 AV APS in a residential AV (home entertainment) environment that includes control of at least 2 AV devices with one being the television[135].

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The assumed baseline equipment is a standard power strip or wall socket that does not control loads of connected AV equipment.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The default deemed lifetime value for Tier 2 AV APS is assumed to be 7 years[136].

DEEMED MEASURE COST

Direct Installation: The actual installed cost (including labor) of the new Tier 2 AV APS equipment should be used.

[134] Tier 2 AV APS identify when people are not engaged with their AV equipment and then remove power, for example a TV and its peripheral devices that are unintentionally left on when a person leaves the house or for instance where someone falls asleep while watching television.

[135] Given this requirement, an AV environment consisting of a television and DVD player or a TV and home theater would be eligible for a Tier 2 AV APS installation.

[136] There is little evaluation to base a lifetime estimate upon. Based on review of assumptions from other jurisdictions and the relative treatment of In Service Rates and persistence, an estimate of 7 years is proposed, but further evaluation is recommended.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE05 Residential Multi-family Plug Load

Loadshape RE13 Residential Single Family Plug Load

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor for this measure is assumed to be 80%[137]

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = ERP * BaselineEnergy_{AV} * ISR$$

Where:

ERP = Energy Reduction Percentage of qualifying Tier2 AV APS product range as provided below[138]

Product Class	Field trial ERP range	ERP used	ΔkWh
A	55 – 60%	55%	330
B	50 – 54%	50%	300
C	45 – 49%	45%	270
D	40 – 44%	40%	240
E	35 – 39%	35%	210
F	30 – 34%	30%	180
G	25 – 29%	25%	150
H	20 – 24%	20%	120

$BaselineEnergy_{AV}$ = 600 kWh[139]

ISR = In Service Rate

= 0.70[140]

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \Delta kWh / Hours * CF$$

Where:

ΔkWh = Energy savings as calculated above

Hours = Annual number of hours during which the APS provides savings.

[137] In the absence of empirical evaluation data, this was based on assumptions of the typical run pattern for televisions and computers in homes.

[138] See memo in reference documents for product assignment.

[139] Figure is rounded down from 603kWh and assumes average annualized energy consumption reported by NYSERDA (NYSERDA 2011. "*Advanced Power Strip Research Report*", Table 32 p. 30) is applicable to households in Iowa.

[140] Based on two Australian study results (one showing 28% and the other 33% removal). This factor would benefit from more localized EM&V.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

= 4,380 [141]

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure

= 0.8 [142]

Product Class Range	ΔkW
A	0.060
B	0.055
C	0.049
D	0.044
E	0.038
F	0.033
G	0.027
H	0.022

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER AND OTHER NON-ENERGY IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-CEL-APS2-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2018

[141] This is estimate based on assumption that approximately half of savings are during active hours (assumed to be 5.3 hrs/day, 1936 per year (NYSERDA 2011. "*Advanced Power Strip Research Report*")) and half during standby hours (8760-1936 = 6824 hours). The weighted average is 4380.

[142] In the absence of empirical evaluation data, this was based on assumptions of the typical run pattern for televisions and computers in homes.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.3 Hot Water

2.3.1 Gas Water Heater

DESCRIPTION

This measure applies to gas water heaters under the following program types:

a) Time of Sale or New Construction:
The purchase and installation of a new, residential gas-fired storage or tankless water heater meeting program energy factor (EF) requirements, in place of a unit meeting Federal standards.

b) Early Replacement:
The early removal of an existing and functioning, residential gas-fired storage or tankless water heater, prior to its natural end of life, and replacement with a new unit meeting program energy factor (EF) requirements. Savings are calculated between existing unit and efficient unit consumption during the remaining life of the existing unit, and between new baseline unit and efficient unit consumption for the remainder of the measure life.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, EREP.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure, the installed equipment must be a residential gas-fired storage water heater with a minimum EF of 0.67, a storage capacity between 40 and 55 gallons, and a maximum heat input rating of 75,000 Btu/hr, or a residential gas-fired tankless water heater with a minimum EF of 0.90.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

Time of Sale or New Construction: The baseline equipment is assumed to be a new, gas-fired storage or tankless residential water heater meeting minimum Federal efficiency standards. For storage water heaters with a storage capacity equal to or less than 55 gallons, the Federal energy factor requirement is calculated as 0.675 – (0.0015 * storage capacity in gallons) and for tankless water heaters, 0.82 – (0.0019 * storage capacity in gallons).[143]

Early Replacement: The baseline is the efficiency of the existing gas water heater for the remaining useful life of the unit and the efficiency of a new gas water heater meeting minimum Federal efficiency standards for the remainder of the measure life.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 11 years for a gas storage water heater and 20 years for a gas tankless water heater.[144]

For Early Replacement: The remaining life of existing equipment is assumed to be 3.7 for gas storage water heaters and 6.7 years for gas tankless water heaters.[145]

DEEMED MEASURE COST

Time of Sale or New Construction:

The incremental capital cost for this measure is dependent on the type of water heater, as listed below.

Early Replacement: The full installed cost is provided in the table below. The assumed deferred cost (after 4 years)

[143] Minimum Federal standard as of 4/16/2015;
http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2012-title10-vol3/pdf/CFR-2012-title10-vol3-sec430-32.pdf
[144] 2014 Database for Energy-Efficiency Resources (DEER), Version 2014, "Cost Values and Summary Documentation", California Public Utilities Commission, January, 2014.
[145] Assumes one third of the expected equipment life.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

of replacing existing equipment with a new baseline unit is assumed to be $614.[146] This cost should be discounted to present value using the utility's discount rate[147].

Water Heater Type	Incremental Capital Cost[148]	Full Install Cost[149]
Gas Storage	$320	$1,656
Gas Tankless	$820	$2,896

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RG07 – Residential Water Heat (gas)

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

N/A

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

N/A

NATURAL GAS ENERGY SAVINGS

Time of Sale or New Construction:

$$\Delta Therms = (1/EF_{Base} - 1/EF_{EE}) * (GPD * Household * 365.25 * \gamma Water * (T_{Out} - T_{In}) * 1.0)/100{,}000$$

Early Replacement:[150]

ΔTherms for remaining life of existing unit (1st 3.7 years for gas storage unit and 1st 6.7 years for gas tankless unit):

$$\Delta Therms = (1/EF_{Existing} - 1/EF_{EE}) * (GPD * Household * 365.25 * \gamma Water * (T_{Out} - T_{In}) * 1.0)/100{,}000$$

ΔTherms for remaining measure life (next 7.3 years for gas storage unit and next 13.3 years for gas tankless unit):

$$\Delta Therms = (1/EF_{Base} - 1/EF_{EE}) * (GPD * Household * 365.25 * \gamma Water * (T_{Out} - T_{In}) * 1.0)/100{,}000$$

[146] The deemed install cost of a gas storage heater is based upon DCEO Efficient Living Program Data for a sample size of 157 gas water heaters.

[147] Costs provided have not been adjusted for inflation and therefore should be discounted using a Real Discount Rate (RDR) rather than a nominal one.

[148] Measure costs based on information from DOE, 2010 Residential Heating Products Final Rule Technical Support Document, Table 8.2.13.

[149] Measure costs based on information from DOE, 2010 Residential Heating Products Final Rule Technical Support Document, Table 8.2.13.

[150] The two equations are provided to show how savings are determined during the initial phase of the measure (existing to efficient) and the remaining phase (new baseline to efficient). In practice, the screening tools used may require a first year savings calculation (using the first equation) and then a "number of years to adjustment" and "savings adjustment" input, which would be the (new base to efficient savings)/(existing to efficient savings).

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Where:

EF_{Base} = EF (efficiency) rating of standard gas water heater according to federal standards[151]

= For gas storage water heaters ≤55 gallons: 0.675 – (0.0015 * storage capacity in gallons)

= For gas tankless water heaters: 0.82 – (0.0019 * storage capacity in gallons)

= If tank size is unknown, assume 0.600 for a gas storage water heater with a 50-gallon storage capacity and 0.82 for a gas tankless water heater with a 0-gallon storage capacity

EF_{EE} = EF rating of efficient gas water heater

= Actual or if unknown, assume 0.67 for gas storage water heaters and 0.90 for gas tankless water heaters[152]

$EF_{Existing}$ = EF rating for existing gas water heater

= Actual or if unknown, assume 0.52 [153]

GPD = Gallons per day of hot water use per person

= 17.6[154]

Household = Average number of people per household

Household Unit Type	Household [155]
Manufactured	1.96
Single-Family - Deemed	2.12
Multifamily - Deemed	1.4
Custom	Actual Occupancy or Number of Bedrooms[156]

365.25 = Number of days per year

γWater = Specific weight of water

= 8.33 pounds per gallon

T_{Out} = Tank temperature

[151] Minimum Federal standard as of 4/16/2015
[152] ENERGY STAR Product Specification for Residential Water Heaters, Version 3.0, effective April 16, 2015 https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/singlesite_uploads/specs//ENERGY%20STAR%20Water%20Heaters%20Version%203%200%20Program%20Requirements.pdf
[153] Based on DCEO Efficient Living Program Data for a sample size of 157 gas water heaters.
[154] Deoreo, B., and P. Mayer. Residential End Uses of Water Study 2013 Update. Water Research Foundation, 2014.
[155] Average household size by building type and water heater fuel type based on the 2007 RASS.
[156] Bedrooms are suitable proxies for household occupancy, and may be preferable to actual occupancy due to turnover rates in residency and non-adult population impacts.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

= 126.5°F [157]

T_{In} = Incoming water temperature from well or municipal system

= 56.5°F[158]

1.0 = Heat capacity of water (1 Btu/lb*°F)

100,000 = Conversion factor from Btu to therms

EXAMPLE

For example, a new 50-gallon gas storage water heater installed in a single family home under the Time of Sale program type, using defaults from above, would save:

ΔTherms = (1/0.600 - 1/0.67) * (17.6 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 8.33 * (126.5 – 56.5) * 1.0) / 100,000

= 13.8 therms

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \Delta Therms/365.25$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Gas savings from installation of efficient water heater

Other variables as defined above

EXAMPLE

For example, a new 50-gallon gas storage water heater installed in a single family home under the Time of Sale program type, using defaults from above, would save:

ΔPeakTherms = 13.8/365.25

= 0.0378 therms

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

[157] CPUC Residential Retrofit - High Impact Measure Evaluation Report Draft. Dec. 7, 2009. Pg 76. Average temperature setpoints for two utilities.

[158] Averaged monthly water main temperature calculated using the methodology provided in Building America Research Benchmark Definition, updated December 2009. Pg.19-20. http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy10osti/47246.pdf; water main temperature represents the average of TMY3 data from all Class I stations located in Des Moines, IA.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

MEASURE CODE: RS-HWE-GWHT-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2021

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.3.2 Heat Pump Water Heaters

DESCRIPTION

This measure characterizes the installation of a heat pump domestic hot water heater in place of a standard electric water heater in a home. Savings are presented dependent on the heating system installed in the home due to the impact of the heat pump water heater on the heating and cooling loads.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure, the installed equipment must be an ENERGY STAR Heat Pump domestic water heater and ≤ 55 gallons[159].

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is assumed to be a new electric water heater meeting federal minimum efficiency standards[160] for units ≤55 gallons: 0.96 – (0.0003 * rated volume in gallons).

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 13 years.[161]

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental capital cost for this measure is $1,000 for a HPWH with an energy factor of 2.0. The full cost, applicable in a retrofit, is $1,575. For a HPWH with an energy factor of 2.35, these costs are $1,134 and $1,703, respectively.[162]

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE15 - Residential Single Family Water Heat

Loadshape RE07 - Residential Multi-family Water Heat

Loadshape RG07 – Residential Water Heat (gas)

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \left(\frac{(1/EF_{BASE} - 1/EF_{EE}) * GPD * Household * 365.25 * \gamma Water * (TOUT - Tin) * 1.0)}{3412}\right) + kWh_cool - kWh_heat$$

[159] Since the Federal Standard requires a Heat Pump water heater for units over 55 gallons, this measure is limited to units ≤ 55 gallons.
[160] Minimum Federal Standard as of 4/1/2015;
http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2012-title10-vol3/pdf/CFR-2012-title10-vol3-sec430-32.pdf
[161] DOE, 2010 Residential Heating Products Final Rule Technical Support Document, Chapter 8, Page 8-46.
[162] DOE, 2010 Residential Heating Products Final Rule Technical Support Document, Chapter 8, Table 8.2.14.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Where:

EF_{BASE} = Energy Factor (efficiency) of standard electric water heater according to federal standards[163]:

For ≤55 gallons: 0.96 – (0.0003 * rated volume in gallons)

= Default of 0.945 for a 50 gallon tank a typical sized Residential unit

EF_{EE} = Energy Factor (efficiency) of Heat Pump water heater

= Actual

GPD = Gallons Per Day of hot water use per person

= 45.5 gallons hot water per day per household/2.59 people per household[164]

= 17.6

Household = Average number of people per household

Household Unit Type	Household [165]
Manufactured	1.96
Single-Family - Deemed	2.12
Multifamily - Deemed	1.4
Custom	Actual Occupancy or Number of Bedrooms[166]

365.25 = Days per year

γWater = Specific weight of water

= 8.33 pounds per gallon

T_{OUT} = Tank temperature

= 126.5°F [167]

T_{IN} = Incoming water temperature from well or municipal system

= 56.5[168]

1.0 = Heat Capacity of water (1 Btu/lb*°F)

3412 = Conversion from Btu to kWh

kWh_cool = Cooling savings from conversion of heat in home to water heat[169]

[163] Minimum Federal Standard as of 1/1/2015.

[164] Deoreo, B., and P. Mayer. Residential End Uses of Water Study Update. Forthcoming. ©2015 Water Research Foundation. Reprinted With Permission.

[165] Average household size by building type and water heater fuel type based on the 2007 RASS.

[166] Bedrooms are suitable proxies for household occupancy, and may be preferable to actual occupancy due to turnover rates in residency and non-adult population impacts.

[167] CPUC Residential Retrofit - High Impact Measure Evaluation Report Draft. Dec. 7, 2009. Pg 76. Average temperature setpoints for two utilities.

[168] Averaged monthly water main temperature calculated using the methodology provided in Building America Research Benchmark Definition, updated December 2009. Pg.19-20. http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy10osti/47246.pdf; water main temperature represents the average of TMY3 data from all Class I stations located in Des Moines, IA.

[169] This algorithm calculates the heat removed from the air by subtracting the HPWH electric consumption from the total water heating energy delivered. This is then adjusted to account for location of the HP unit and the coincidence of the waste heat with cooling requirements, the efficiency of the central cooling, and latent cooling demands.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

$$= \left[\frac{\left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{EF_{EE}}\right) * GPD * Household * 365.25 * \gamma Water * (T_{OUT} - T_{IN}) * 1.0 \right) * LF * 34\% * LM}{COP_{COOL} * 3412} \right] * \%Cool$$

Where:

LF = Location Factor

= 1.0 for HPWH installation in a conditioned space

= 0.5 for HPWH installation in an unknown location[170]

= 0.0 for installation in an unconditioned space

34% = Portion of reduced waste heat that results in cooling savings[171]

COP_{COOL} = COP of Central Air Conditioner

= Actual - If unknown, assume 3.08 (10.5 SEER / 3.412)

LM = Latent multiplier to account for latent cooling demand

= 1.33 [172]

%Cool = Percentage of homes with central cooling

Cooling System	%Cool
Central Air Conditioner	100%
No Central Air Conditioner	0%
Unknown[173]	64%

kWh_heat = Heating cost from conversion of heat in home to water heat (dependent on heating fuel)

$$= \left(\frac{\left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{EF_{EE}}\right) * GPD * Household * 365.25 * \gamma Water * (T_{OUT} - T_{IN}) * 1.0 \right) * LF * 53\%}{COP_{HEAT} * 3412} \right) * \%ElectricHeat$$

Where:

53% = Portion of reduced waste heat that results in increased heating load[174]

COP_{HEAT} = COP of electric heating system

= Actual - If not available, use[175]:

[170] Professional judgment.

[171] REMRate determined percentage (34%) of lighting savings that result in reduced cooling loads (lighting is used as a proxy for hot water heating since load shapes suggest their seasonal usage patterns are similar).

[172] A sensible heat ratio (SHR) of 0.75 corresponds to a latent multiplier of 4/3 or 1.33. SHR of 0.75 for typical split system from page 10 of "Controlling Indoor Humidity Using Variable-Speed Compressors and Blowers" by M. A. Andrade and C. W. Bullard, 1999: www.ideals.illinois.edu/bitstream/handle/2142/11894/TR151.pdf

[173] Based on assumption that 64% of homes have central cooling (based on 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Midwest Region.xls").

[174] REMRate determined percentage (53%) of lighting savings that result in increased heating loads (lighting is used as a proxy for hot water heating since load shapes suggest their seasonal usage patterns are similar).

[175] These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	COP_{HEAT} (COP Estimate)
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	2.00
	2006 - 2014	7.7	2.26
	2015 and after	8.2	2.40
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00
Unknown	N/A	N/A	1.38[176]

%ElectricHeat = Factor dependent on heating fuel:

Heating System	%ElectricHeat
Electric resistance or heat pump	100%
Gas	0%
Unknown heating fuel[177]	17%

For example, for a 2.0 EF heat pump water heater in a single family home using default assumptions provided above:

kWh_cool = (((((17.6 * 2.12 * 365.25* 8.33 * (126.5 – 56.5)* 1.0)) – ((1/2.0 * 17.6 * 2.12 * 365.25* 8.33 * (126.5 – 56.5) * 1.0))) * 0.5 * 0.34 * 1.33) / (3.08 * 3412)) * 0.64

= 54.7 kWh

kWh_heat = (((((17.6 * 2.12 * 365.25* 8.33 * (126.5 – 56.5)* 1.0)) – ((1/2.0 * 17.6 * 2.12 * 365.25* 8.33 * (126.5 – 56.5) * 1.0))) * 0.5 * 0.53) / (1.38 * 3412)) * 0.17

= 38.0 kWh

ΔkWh = ((1 / 0.945 – 1 / 2.0) * 17.6 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 8.33 * (126.5 – 56.5)) / 3412 + 54.7 – 38.0

= 1316.8 kWh

Note: whenever using the unknown heating fuel defaults, an additional therm penalty (to account for the percentage of homes with gas heat) should be applied.

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh}{Hours} * CF$$

Where:

Hours = Full load hours of water heater

= 5186[178]

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure

[176] Calculation assumes 33% Heat Pump and 67% Resistance, which is based upon data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls". Average efficiency of heat pump is based on assumption that 50% are units from before 2006 and 50% from 2006-2014.

[177] Based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey.

[178] Full load hours assumption based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

= 0.33[179]

For example, for a 2.0 EF heat pump water heater using default assumptions provided above:

ΔkW = 1316.8 / 5186 * 0.33

= 0.0838 kW

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta Therms = -\left(\frac{\left(\left(1-\frac{1}{EF_{EE}}\right)*\text{GPD}*\text{Household}*365.25*\gamma\text{Water}*(T_{OUT}-T_{IN})*1.0\right)*\text{LF}*53\%}{\eta\text{Heat}*100{,}000}\right)*\%\text{GasHeat}$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Heating cost from conversion of heat in home to water heat for homes with Natural Gas heat[180]

0.03412 = conversion factor (therms per kWh)

ηHeat = Efficiency of heating system, i.e., AFUE multiplied by distribution efficiency[181]

= Actual - If not available, use 74%.[182]

%GasHeat = Factor dependent on heating fuel:

Heating System	%GasHeat
Electric resistance or heat pump	0%
Natural Gas	100%
Unknown heating fuel[183]	83%

Other factors as defined above

[179] Calculated from Figure 8 "Combined six-unit summer weekday average electrical demand" in FEMP study; Field Testing of Pre-Production Prototype Residential Heat Pump Water Heaters http://www1.eere.energy.gov/femp/pdfs/tir_heatpump.pdf as (average kW usage during peak period) / [(annual kWh savings / FLH)] = (0.1 kW) / ((1556 kWh (default assumptions) / 5183 hours) = 0.33.

[180] This is the additional energy consumption required to replace the heat removed from the home during the heating season by the heat pump water heater. The variable kWh_heating (electric resistance) is that additional heating energy for a home with electric resistance heat (COP 1.0). This formula converts the additional heating kWh for an electric resistance home to the MMBtu required in a Natural Gas heated home, applying the relative efficiencies.

[181] Ideally, the System Efficiency should be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit, or performing a steady state efficiency test. The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look-up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute: (http://www.bpi.org/files/pdf/DistributionEfficiencyTable-BlueSheet.pdf) or by performing duct blaster testing.

[182] This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey:)). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows:
((0.60*0.92) + (0.40*0.8)) * (1-0.15) = 0.74

[183] Based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

For example, for a 2.0 EF heat pump water heater using default assumptions provided above:

ΔTherms = -(((((17.6 * 2.12 * 365.25* 8.33 * (126.5 – 56.5) * 1.0) – (1/2 * 17.6 * 2.12 * 365.25* 8.33 * (126.5 – 56.5) * 1.0)) * 0.5 * 0.53) / (0.74 * 100000)) * 0.83

= - 11.8 therms

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

Savings for this measure is assumed to be evenly spread across the heating season. The Peak Gas Savings is therefore assumed to be:

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \frac{\Delta Therms}{\text{HeatDays}}$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above

HeatDays = Heat season days per year

= 217[184]

For example, for a 2.0 EF heat pump water heater, using default assumptions provided above:

ΔPeakTherms = -11.8 / 217

= - 0.0544 therms

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HWE-HPWH-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2020

[184] Number of days where HDD 60 >0.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.3.3 Water Heater Temperature Setback

DESCRIPTION

Set point temperatures on hot water systems are often set higher than necessary. Savings are calculated for lowering the set temperature to 120-125 degrees (DOE recommended minimum to prevent Legionella contamination).

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF, RNC.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The high efficiency measure is a hot water tank with the thermostat reduced from its existing temperature to a lower temperature between 120-125 degrees.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is a hot water tank with a thermostat setting that is higher than 120 degrees, typically systems with settings of 130 degrees or higher. Note: if there is more than one DHW tank in the home at or higher than 130 degrees and they are all turned down, then the savings per tank can be multiplied by the number of tanks.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The assumed lifetime of the measure is 2 years[185].

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost of a setback is assumed to be $10 for contractor time[186].

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE15 - Residential Single Family Water Heat

Loadshape RE07 - Residential Multi-family Water Heat

Loadshape RG07 – Residential Water Heat (gas)

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS[187]

For homes with electric DHW tanks:

[185] Professional judgment.
[186] Based on labor cost of $40/h and 15min work.
[187] Note this algorithm provides savings only from reduction in standby losses. VEIC considered avoided energy from not heating the water to the higher temperature, but determined that dishwashers are likely to boost the temperature within the unit (roughly canceling out any savings); faucet and shower use is likely to be at the same temperature, so there would need to be more lower temperature hot water being used (cancelling any savings); and clothes washers will only see savings if the water from the tank is taken without any temperature control. It was felt the potential impact was too small to be characterized.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

$$\Delta kWh = \frac{(U * A * (Tpre - Tpost) * Hours)}{3412 * RE_electric}$$

Where:

U = Overall heat transfer coefficient of tank (Btu/Hr-°F-ft^2)

= Actual if known - If unknown, assume R-12, U = 0.083

A = Surface area of storage tank (square feet)

= Actual if know - If unknown, use the table below based on capacity of tank. If capacity unknown, assume 50 gal tank; A = 24.99ft^2.

Capacity (gal)	A (ft^2)[188]
30	19.16
40	23.18
50	24.99
80	31.84

Tpre = Actual hot water setpoint prior to adjustment. If unknown, assume 135 degrees

Tpost = Actual new hot water setpoint, which may not be lower than 120 degrees. If unknown, assume 120 degrees.

Hours = Number of hours in a year (since savings are assumed to be constant over year

= 8766

3412 = Conversion from Btu to kWh

RE_electric = Recovery efficiency of electric hot water heater

= 0.98 [189]

A deemed savings assumption for single family homes, where site-specific inputs are not available, would be as follows:

ΔkWh = (0.083 * 24.99 * (135 – 120) * 8766) / (3412 * 0.98)

= 81.6 kWh

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh}{Hours} * CF$$

Where:

Hours = 8766

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure

= 1

[188] Assumptions from Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Technical Reference Manual; (http://www.puc.pa.gov/filing_resources/issues_laws_regulations/act_129_information/technical_reference_manual.aspx). Area values were calculated from average dimensions of several commercially available units, with radius values measured to the center of the insulation.

[189] Electric water heaters have recovery efficiency of 98%: http://www.ahridirectory.org/ahridirectory/pages/home.aspx

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

A deemed savings assumption, where site-specific inputs are not available, would be as follows:

ΔkW = (81.6/ 8766) * 1

= 0.0093 kW

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

For homes with gas water heaters:

$$\Delta Therms = \frac{U * A * (Tpre - Tpost) * Hours}{100{,}000 * RE_gas}$$

Where

100,000 = Converts Btus to Therms (Btu/Therm)

RE_gas = Recovery efficiency of gas water heater

= Actual if known - if not, assume:

= 78% For SF homes[190]

= 60% For MF homes with DHW from central boiler

= 78% for MF homes with dedicated gas DHW system

A deemed savings assumption, where site-specific inputs are not available, would be as follows:

For Single Family homes or multifamily homes with dedicated gas DHW system:

ΔTherms = (0.083 * 24.99 * (135 – 120) * 8766) / (100,000 * 0.78)

= 3.5 Therms

An example for multifamily homes with DHW from a central boiler is provided below (tank capacity can vary considerably so actual values should be used). This example assumes a 119 gallon tank with a surface area of 47.80ft^2:

ΔTherms = (0.083 * 47.80 * (135 – 120) * 8766) / (100,000 * 0.60)

= 8.7 Therms

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \Delta Therms * GCF$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above

GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for Water Heating

= 0.002952 for Residential Water Heating

A deemed savings assumption, where site-specific inputs are not available, would be as follows:

[190] DOE Final Rule discusses Recovery Efficiency with an average around 0.76 for Gas Fired Storage Water heaters and 0.78 for standard efficiency gas fired tankless water heaters up to 0.95 for the highest efficiency gas fired condensing tankless water heaters. These numbers represent the range of new units however, not the range of existing units in stock. Review of AHRI Directory suggests range of recovery efficiency ratings for new Gas DHW units of 70-87%. Average of existing units is estimated at 78%.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

For Single Family homes or multifamily homes with dedicated gas DHW system:

ΔPeakTherms = 3.5 * 0.002952

= 0.0103 Therms

An example for multifamily homes with DHW from a central boiler is provided below (tank capacity can vary considerably so actual values should be used). This example assumes a 119 gallon tank with a surface area of 47.80ft^2:

ΔPeakTherms = 8.7 * 0.002952

= 0.0257 Therms

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HWE-TMPS-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2023

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.3.4 Low Flow Faucet Aerators

DESCRIPTION

This measure relates to the installation of a low flow faucet aerator in a single family home, manufactured home or multifamily unit in unit kitchen or bathroom faucet fixture.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, RF, DI, KITS.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure, the installed equipment must be a low flow faucet aerator, rated at 1.5 gallons per minute (GPM) [191] or less. Savings are calculated on an average savings per faucet fixture basis.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is assumed to be a standard faucet aerator rated at 2.2 GPM[192] or greater.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 9 years.[193]

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for this measure is $16[194] or program actual.

For faucet aerators provided in Efficiency Kits, the actual program delivery costs should be used.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE15 - Residential Single Family Water Heat

Loadshape RE07 - Residential Multi-family Water Heat

Loadshape RG07 – Residential Water Heat (gas)

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Note these savings are *per* faucet retrofitted[195] (unless faucet type is unknown, then it is per household).

[191] IPL program product data for 2014 Iowa Residential Energy Assessments.
[192] DOE Energy Cost Calculator for Faucets and Showerheads: (http://www1.eere.energy.gov/femp/technologies/eep_faucets_showerheads_calc.html#output)
[193] Table C-6, Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, June 2007. "http://neep.org/uploads/EMV%20Forum/EMV%20Studies/measure_life_GDS%5B1%5D.pdf"
[194] Direct-install price per faucet assumes cost of aerator and install time. (2011, Market research average of $3 and assess and install time of $13(20min @ $40/hr).
[195] This algorithm calculates the amount of energy saved per aerator by determining the fraction of water consumption savings for the upgraded fixture.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

$$\Delta kWh = \%ElectricDHW * ((GPM_base - GPM_low) * L * Household * 365.25 * \frac{DF}{FPH}) * EPG_electric * ISR$$

Where:

%ElectricDHW = proportion of water heating supplied by electric resistance heating

DHW fuel	%ElectricDHW
Electric	100%
Natural Gas	0%
Unknown	36%[196]

GPM_base = Average flow rate, in gallons per minute, of the baseline faucet "as-used"

= Measured full throttle flow * 0.83 throttling factor[197]

If flow not measured, assume (2.2 * 0.83) = 1.83 GPM

GPM_low = Average flow rate, in gallons per minute, of the low-flow faucet aerator "as-used"

= Rated full throttle flow * 0.95 throttling factor[198]

If flow not available, assume (1.5 * 0.95) = 1.43 GPM

L = Average daily length faucet use per capita for faucet of interest in minutes

= if available, custom based on metering studies - if not, use:

Faucet Type	L (min/person/day)
Kitchen	4.5[199]
Bathroom	1.6[200]
If location unknown (total for household): Single-Family	9.0[201]
If location unknown (total for household): Multifamily	6.9[202]

Household = Average number of people per household

[196] Default assumption for unknown fuel is based on EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the state of IA. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used

[197] 2008, Schultdt, Marc, and Debra Tachibana. Energy related Water Fixture Measurements: Securing the Baseline for Northwest Single Family Homes. 2008 ACEEE Summer Study on Energy Efficiency in Buildings. Page 1-265. www.seattle.gov/light/Conserve/Reports/paper_10.pdf

[198] 2008, Schultdt, Marc, and Debra Tachibana. Energy related Water Fixture Measurements: Securing the Baseline for Northwest Single Family Homes. 2008 ACEEE Summer Study on Energy Efficiency in Buildings. Page 1-265. www.seattle.gov/light/Conserve/Reports/paper_10.pdf

[199] Cadmus and Opinion Dynamics Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study Memorandum dated June 2013, directed to Michigan Evaluation Working Group. This study of 135 single and multi-family homes in Michigan metered energy parameters for efficient showerhead and faucet aerators.

[200] Cadmus and Opinion Dynamics Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study Memorandum dated June 2013, directed to Michigan Evaluation Working Group.

[201] One kitchen faucet plus 2.83 bathroom faucets. Based on findings from a 2009 ComEd, Illinois residential survey of 140 sites, provided by Cadmus.

[202] One kitchen faucet plus 1.5 bathroom faucets. Based on findings from a 2009 ComEd, Illinois residential survey of 140 sites, provided by Cadmus.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Household Unit Type	Household[203]
Single-Family - Deemed	2.12
Manufactured	1.96
Multifamily - Deemed	1.4
Custom	Actual Occupancy or Number of Bedrooms[204]

365.25 = Days in a year, on average

DF = Drain Factor

Faucet Type	Drain Factor[205]
Kitchen	75%
Bath	90%
Unknown	79.5%

FPH = Faucets Per Household

Faucet Type	FPH
Kitchen or Bathroom (i.e. divide by one since use assumption is per faucet)	1
If location unknown (total for household): Single-Family	3.83
If location unknown (total for household): Multifamily	2.5

EPG_electric = Energy per gallon of water used by faucet supplied by electric water heater

= (γWater * 1.0 * (WaterTemp - SupplyTemp)) / (RE_electric * 3412)

= 0.0735 kWh/gal (Bath), 0.0909 kWh/gal (Kitchen), 0.0859 kWh/gal (Unknown) if resistance tank (or unknown)

= 0.0257 kWh/gal (Bath), 0.0318 kWh/gal (Kitchen), 0.0301 kWh/gal (Unknown) if heat pump water heater

Where:

γWater = Specific weight of water (lbs/gallon)

= 8.33 lbs/gallon

1.0 = Heat Capacity of water (Btu/lb-°F)

WaterTemp = Assumed temperature of mixed water

[203] Average household size by building type and water heater fuel type, based on the 2007 RASS.

[204] Bedrooms are suitable proxies for household occupancy, and may be preferable to actual occupancy due to turnover rates in residency and non-adult population impacts.

[205] Because faucet usages are at times dictated by volume, only usage of the sort that would go straight down the drain will provide savings. VEIC is unaware of any metering study that has determined this specific factor and so through consensus with the Illinois Technical Advisory Group have deemed these values to be 75% for the kitchen and 90% for the bathroom. If the aerator location is unknown, an average of 79.5% should be used, which is based on the assumption that 70% of household water runs through the kitchen faucet and 30% through the bathroom (0.7*0.75)+(0.3*0.9)=0.795.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

= 86F for Bath, 93F for Kitchen 91F for Unknown[206]

SupplyTemp = Assumed temperature of water entering house

= 56.5[207]

RE_electric = Average Recovery efficiency of electric water heater

= 98% [208] for electric resistance (or unknown)

= 280%[209] for heat pump water heaters

3412 = Converts Btu to kWh (Btu/kWh)

ISR = In service rate of faucet aerators

Program		ISR
Direct-install, NC, or TOS		0.95[210]
Efficiency Kits – EnergyWise (Low Income) [211]	Kitchen	0.74
	Bathroom	0.70
	Unknown	0.72
Efficiency Kits – LivingWise (Schools)[212]		0.43

Based on defaults provided above:

Program	Faucet	Market/Program	Algorithm	ΔkWh
Direct-install, NC, or TOS	Kitchen	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0909 * 0.95	90.3
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0318 * 0.95	31.6
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 0.36 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0909 * 0.95	32.5
		Manufactured Electric Resistance DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0909 * 0.95	83.5
		Manufactured Heat Pump DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0318 * 0.95	29.2
		Manufactured Unknown DHW	= 0.36 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0909 * 0.95	30.0
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0909 * 0.95	59.6
		Multifamily Heat Pump	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1)	20.9

[206] Cadmus and Opinion Dynamics Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study Memorandum dated June 2013, directed to Michigan Evaluation Working Group. If the aerator location is unknown, an average of 91F should be used, which is based on the assumption that 70% of household water runs through the kitchen faucet and 30% through the bathroom: (0.7*93)+(0.3*86)=0.91.

[207] Averaged monthly water main temperature calculated using the methodology provided in Building America Research Benchmark Definition, updated December 2009. Pg.19-20. http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy10osti/47246.pdf; water main temperature represents the average of TMY3 data from all Class I stations located in Des Moines, IA.

[208] Electric water heaters have recovery efficiency of 98%: http://www.ahridirectory.org/ahridirectory/pages/home.aspx

[209] Since faucet aerator draws are unlikely to kick the unit into resistance mode, this assumes the unit is in heat pump mode during recovery. The value is based upon AHRI directory recovery efficiency for units that are not test in resistance mode.

[210] ComEd Energy Efficiency/ Demand Response Plan: Plan Year 2 (6/1/2009-5/31/2010) Evaluation Report: All Electric Single Family Home Energy Performance Tune-Up Program Table 3-8.

[211] Based on Cadmus, "Final Report: Iowa 2015 Energy Wise Program", January 29, 2016, p16.. Unknown is average of kitchen and bathroom installations.

[212] Based on results provided in "School-based interim process memo_Final_100215.doc".

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Program	Faucet	Market/Program	Algorithm	ΔkWh
		DHW	* 0.0318 * 0.95	
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 0.36 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0909 * 0.95	21.5
	Bathroom	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0735 * 0.95	31.1
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0257 * 0.95	10.9
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 0.36 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0735 * 0.95	11.2
		Manufactured Electric Resistance DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0735 * 0.95	28.8
		Manufactured Heat Pump DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0257 * 0.95	10.1
		Manufactured Unknown DHW	= 0.36 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0735 * 0.95	10.4
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0735 * 0.95	20.6
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0257 * 0.95	7.2
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 0.36 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0735 * 0.95	7.4
	Unknown	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0859 * 0.95	47.2
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0301 * 0.95	16.5
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 0.36 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.795/ 3.83) * 0.0859 * 0.95	17.0
		Manufactured Electric Resistance DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 9.0 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0859 * 0.95	43.7
		Manufactured Heat Pump DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 9.0 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0301 * 0.95	15.3
		Manufactured Unknown DHW	= 0.36 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 9.0 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.795/ 3.83) * 0.0859 * 0.95	15.7
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 6.9 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.795/ 2.5) * 0.0859 * 0.95	36.6
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 6.9 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.795/ 2.5) * 0.0301 * 0.95	12.8
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 0.36 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 6.9 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.795/ 2.5) * 0.0859 * 0.95	13.2
		Unknown Location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF[213]	16.2
Efficiency Kits – EnergyWise (Low Income)	Kitchen	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0909 * 0.74	70.3
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0318 * 0.74	24.6
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 0.36 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0909 * 0.74	25.3
		Manufactured Electric	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1)	65.0

[213] Based on EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the state of IA, see "HC2.9 Structural and Geographic in Midwest Region.xls".

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Program	Faucet	Market/Program	Algorithm	ΔkWh
		Resistance DHW	* 0.0909 * 0.74	
		Manufactured Heat Pump DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0318 * 0.74	22.7
		Manufactured Unknown DHW	= 0.36 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0909 * 0.74	23.4
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0909 * 0.74	46.4
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0318 * 0.74	16.2
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 0.36 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0909 * 0.74	16.7
	Bathroom	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0735 * 0.70	22.9
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0257 * 0.70	8.0
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 0.36 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0735 * 0.70	8.3
		Manufactured Electric Resistance DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0735 * 0.70	21.2
		Manufactured Heat Pump DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0257 * 0.70	7.4
		Manufactured Unknown DHW	= 0.36 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0735 * 0.70	7.6
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0735 * 0.70	15.2
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0257 * 0.70	5.3
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 0.36 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0735 * 0.70	5.5
	Unknown	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0859 * 0.72	35.8
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0301 * 0.72	12.5
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 0.36 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.795/ 3.83) * 0.0859 * 0.72	12.9
		Manufactured Electric Resistance DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 9.0 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0859 * 0.72	33.1
		Manufactured Heat Pump DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 9.0 * 1.96* 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0301 * 0.72	11.6
		Manufactured Unknown DHW	= 0.36 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 9.0 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.795/ 3.83) * 0.0859 * 0.72	11.9
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 6.9 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.795/ 2.5) * 0.0859 * 0.72	27.8
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 6.9 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.795/ 2.5) * 0.0301 * 0.72	9.7
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 0.36 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 6.9 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.795/ 2.5) * 0.0859 * 0.72	10.0
		Unknown Location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF[214]	12.3

[214] Based on EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the state of IA, see "HC2.9

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Program	Faucet	Market/Program	Algorithm	ΔkWh
Efficiency Kits – LivingWise (Schools)	Kitchen	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0909 * 0.43	40.9
		Single Family Heta Pump DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0318 * 0.43	14.3
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 0.36 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0909 * 0.43	14.7
		Manufactured Electric Resistance DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0909 * 0.43	37.8
		Manufactured Heta Pump DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0318 * 0.43	13.2
		Manufactured Unknown DHW	= 0.36 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0909 * 0.43	13.6
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0909 * 0.43	27.0
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0318 * 0.43	9.4
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 0.36 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0909 * 0.43	9.7
	Bathroom	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0735 * 0.43	14.1
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0257 * 0.43	4.9
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 0.36 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0735 * 0.43	5.1
		Manufactured Electric Resistance DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0735 * 0.43	13.0
		Manufactured Heat Pump DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0257 * 0.43	4.6
		Manufactured Unknown DHW	= 0.36 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0735 * 0.43	4.7
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0735 * 0.43	9.3
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0257 * 0.43	3.3
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 0.36 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0735 * 0.43	3.4
	Unknown	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0859 * 0.43	21.4
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0301 * 0.43	7.5
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 0.36 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.795/ 3.83) * 0.0859 * 0.43	7.7
		Manufactured Electric Resistance DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 9.0 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0859 * 0.43	19.8
		Manufactured Heat Pump DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 9.0 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0301 * 0.43	6.9
		Manufactured Unknown DHW	= 0.36 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 9.0 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.795/ 3.83) * 0.0859 * 0.43	7.1

Structural and Geographic in Midwest Region.xls".

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Program	Faucet	Market/Program	Algorithm	ΔkWh
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 6.9 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.795/ 2.5) * 0.0859 * 0.43	16.6
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 6.9 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.795/ 2.5) * 0.0301 * 0.43	5.8
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 0.36 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 6.9 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.795/ 2.5) * 0.0859 * 0.43	6.0
		Unknown Location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF[215]	7.3

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh}{Hours} * CF$$

Where:

ΔkWh = calculated value above

Hours = Annual electric DHW recovery hours for faucet use per faucet

= (GPM_base * L * Household/FPH * 365.25 * DF * 0.479[216])/ GPH

Building Type	Faucet location	Calculation	Hours per faucet
Single Family Electric Resistance DHW (or unknown)	Kitchen	(1.83 * 4.5 * 2.12/1 * 365.25 * 0.75 * 0.479) / 25.8	88.8
	Bathroom	(1.83 * 1.6 * 2.12/1 * 365.25 * 0.9 * 0.479) / 25.8	37.9
	Unknown	(1.83 * 9.0 * 2.12/3.83 * 365.25 * 0.795 * 0.479) / 25.8	49.1
Single Family Heat Pump DHW	Kitchen	(1.83 * 4.5 * 2.12/1 * 365.25 * 0.75 * 0.479) / 73.7	31.1
	Bathroom	(1.83 * 1.6 * 2.12/1 * 365.25 * 0.9 * 0.479) / 73.7	13.3
	Unknown	(1.83 * 9.0 * 2.12/3.83 * 365.25 * 0.795 * 0.479) / 73.7	17.2
Manufactured Electric Resistance DHW (or unknown)	Kitchen	(1.83 * 4.5 * 1.96/1 * 365.25 * 0.75 * 0.479) / 25.8	82.1
	Bathroom	(1.83 * 1.6 * 1.96/1 * 365.25 * 0.9 * 0.479) / 25.8	35.0
	Unknown	(1.83 * 9.0 * 1.96/3.83 * 365.25 * 0.795 * 0.479) / 25.8	45.4
Manufactured Heat Pump DHW	Kitchen	(1.83 * 4.5 * 1.96/1 * 365.25 * 0.75 * 0.479) / 73.7	28.7
	Bathroom	(1.83 * 1.6 * 1.96/1 * 365.25 * 0.9 * 0.479) / 73.7	12.3
	Unknown	(1.83 * 9.0 * 1.96/3.83 * 365.25 * 0.795 * 0.479) / 73.7	15.9

[215] Based on EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the state of IA, see "HC2.9 Structural and Geographic in Midwest Region.xls".

[216] 47.9% is the proportion of hot 126.5F water mixed with 56.5F supply water to give 90F mixed faucet water.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Building Type	Faucet location	Calculation	Hours per faucet
Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW (or unknown)	Kitchen	(1.83 * 4.5 * 1.4/1 * 365.25 * 0.75 * 0.479) / 25.8	58.6
	Bathroom	(1.83 * 1.6 * 1.4/1 * 365.25 * 0.9 * 0.479) / 25.8	25.0
	Unknown	(1.83 * 6.9 * 1.4/2.5 * 365.25 * 0.795 * 0.479)/ 25.8	38.1
Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	Kitchen	(1.83 * 4.5 * 1.4/1 * 365.25 * 0.75 * 0.479) / 73.7	20.5
	Bathroom	(1.83 * 1.6 * 1.4/1 * 365.25 * 0.9 * 0.479) / 73.7	8.8
	Unknown	(1.83 * 6.9 * 1.4/2.5 * 365.25 * 0.795 * 0.479)/ 73.7	13.3

GPH = Gallons per hour recovery of electric water heater calculated for 70F temp rise (126.5-56.5), 98% recovery efficiency, and typical 4.5kW electric resistance storage tank

= 25.8 for electric resistance or unknown, 73.7 for heat pump[217]

CF = Coincidence Factor for electric load reduction

= 0.017[218]

Based on defaults provided above:

Program	Faucet	Market/Program	Algorithm	ΔkW
Direct-install, NC, or TOS	Kitchen	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 90.3/88.8 * 0.017	0.0173
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 31.6/31.1 * 0.017	0.0173
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 32.5/88.8 * 0.017	0.0062
		Manufactured Electric Resistance DHW	= 83.5/82.1 * 0.017	0.0173
		Manufactured Heat Pump DHW	= 29.2/28.7 * 0.017	0.0173
		Manufactured Unknown DHW	= 30.0/82.1 * 0.017	0.0062
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 59.6/58.6 * 0.017	0.0173
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 20.9/20.5 * 0.017	0.0173
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 21.5/58.6* 0.017	0.0062
	Bathroom	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 31.1/37.9 * 0.017	0.0139
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 10.9/13.3 * 0.017	0.0139
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 11.2/37.9 * 0.017	0.0050
		Manufactured Electric Resistance DHW	= 28.8/35.0 * 0.017	0.0140
		Manufactured Heat Pump DHW	= 10.1/12.3 * 0.017	0.0140
		Manufactured Unknown DHW	= 10.4/35.0 * 0.017	0.0051

[217] See 'Calculation of GPH Recovery.xls' for calculation details. Heat pump assumes 2.8 recovery efficiency. Default is assumed to be a resistance storage tank,

[218] Calculated as follows: Assume 18% aerator use takes place during peak hours (based on: Deoreo, B., and P. Mayer. "The End Uses of Hot Water in Single Family Homes from Flow Trace Analysis", 2001.) There are 65 days in the summer peak period, so the percentage of total annual aerator use in peak period is 0.18*65/365.25 = 3.20%. The number of hours of recovery during peak periods is therefore assumed to be 3.20% *142 = 4.5 hours of recovery during peak period, where 142 equals the average annual electric DHW recovery hours for faucet use in SF homes. There are 260 hours in the peak period, so the probability you will see savings during the peak period is 4.5/260 = 0.017.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Program	Faucet	Market/Program	Algorithm	ΔkW
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 20.6/25.0 * 0.017	0.0140
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 7.2/8.8 * 0.017	0.0139
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 7.4/25.0 * 0.017	0.0050
	Unknown	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 47.2/49.1 * 0.017	0.0163
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 16.5/17.2 * 0.017	0.0163
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 17.0/49.1 * 0.017	0.0059
		Manufactured Electric Resistance DHW	= 43.7/45.4 * 0.017	0.0164
		Manufactured Heat Pump DHW	= 15.3/15.9 * 0.017	0.0164
		Manufactured Unknown DHW	= 15.7/45.4 * 0.017	0.0059
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 36.6/38.1 * 0.017	0.0163
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 12.8/13.3 * 0.017	0.0163
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 32.2/38.1 * 0.017	0.0144
		Unknown	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	0.0076
Efficiency Kits – EnergyWise (Low Income)	Kitchen	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 70.3/88.8 * 0.017	0.0135
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 24.6/31.1 * 0.017	0.0134
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 25.3/88.8 * 0.017	0.0048
		Manufactured Electric Resistance DHW	= 65.0/82.1 * 0.017	0.0135
		Manufactured Heat Pump DHW	= 22.7/28.7 * 0.017	0.0134
		Manufactured Unknown DHW	= 23.4/82.1 * 0.017	0.0048
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 46.4/58.6 * 0.017	0.0135
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 16.2/20.5 * 0.017	0.0134
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 16.7/58.6 * 0.017	0.0048
	Bathroom	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 22.9/37.9* 0.017	0.0103
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 8.0/13.3* 0.017	0.0102
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 8.3/37.9* 0.017	0.0037
		Manufactured Electric Resistance DHW	= 21.2/35.0 * 0.017	0.0103
		Manufactured Heat Pump DHW	= 7.4/12.3 * 0.017	0.0102
		Manufactured Unknown DHW	= 7.6/35.0 * 0.017	0.0037
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 15.2/25.0 * 0.017	0.0103
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 5.3/8.8 * 0.017	0.0102
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 5.5/25.0 * 0.017	0.0037
	Unknown	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 35.8/49.1 * 0.017	0.0124
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 12.5/17.2 * 0.017	0.0124
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 12.9/49.1 * 0.017	0.0045
		Manufactured Electric Resistance DHW	= 33.1/45.4 * 0.017	0.0124
		Manufactured Heat Pump DHW	= 11.6/15.9 * 0.017	0.0124
		Manufactured Unknown DHW	= 11.9/45.4 * 0.017	0.0045
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 27.8/38.1 * 0.017	0.0124
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 9.7/13.3 * 0.017	0.0124
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 10/38.1 * 0.017	0.0045
		Unknown	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	0.0045

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Program	Faucet	Market/Program	Algorithm	ΔkW
Efficiency Kits – LivingWise (Schools)	Kitchen	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 40.9/88.8 * 0.017	0.0078
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 14.3/31.1 * 0.017	0.0078
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 14.7/88.8 * 0.017	0.0028
		Manufactured Electric Resistance DHW	= 37.8/82.1 * 0.017	0.0078
		Manufactured Heat Pump DHW	= 13.2/28.7 * 0.017	0.0078
		Manufactured Unknown DHW	= 13.6/82.1 * 0.017	0.0028
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 27/58.6 * 0.017	0.0078
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 9.4/20.5 * 0.017	0.0078
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 9.7/58.6 * 0.017	0.0028
	Bathroom	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 14.1/37.9* 0.017	0.0063
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 4.9/13.3* 0.017	0.0063
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 5.1/37.9* 0.017	0.0023
		Manufactured Electric Resistance DHW	= 13.0/35.0 * 0.017	0.0063
		Manufactured Heat Pump DHW	= 4.6/12.3 * 0.017	0.0064
		Manufactured Unknown DHW	= 4.7/35.0 * 0.017	0.0023
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 9.3/25.0 * 0.017	0.0063
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 3.3/8.8 * 0.017	0.0064
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 3.4/25.0 * 0.017	0.0023
	Unknown	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 21.4/49.1 * 0.017	0.0074
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 7.5/17.2 * 0.017	0.0074
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 7.7/49.1 * 0.017	0.0027
		Manufactured Electric Resistance DHW	= 19.8/45.4 * 0.017	0.0074
		Manufactured Heat Pump DHW	= 6.9/15.9 * 0.017	0.0074
		Manufactured Unknown DHW	= 7.1/45.4 * 0.017	0.0027
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 16.6/38.1 * 0.017	0.0074
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 5.8/13.3 * 0.017	0.0074
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 6/38.1 * 0.017	0.0027
		Unknown	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	0.0027

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta Therms = \%FossilDHW * (GPM_base - GPM_low) * L * Household * 365.25 * \frac{DF}{FPH} * EPG_gas * ISR$$

Where:

%FossilDHW = proportion of water heating supplied by Natural Gas heating

DHW fuel	%ElectricDHW
Electric	0%
Natural Gas	100%
Unknown	64%[219]

[219] Default assumption for unknown fuel is based on EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the state of IA. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area, then they should be used.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

EPG_gas = Energy per gallon of hot water supplied by gas

= (γWater * 1.0 * (WaterTemp - SupplyTemp)) / (RE_gas * 100,000)

= 0.0032 Therm/gal for SF or MF homes with storage tank (Bath), 0.0039 Therm/gal for SF or MF homes with storage tank (Kitchen), 0.0037 Therm/gal for SF or MF homes with storage tank (Unknown)

= 0.0042 Therm/gal for MF homes with central boiler DHW (Bath), 0.0052 Therm/gal for MF homes with central boiler DHW (Kitchen), 0.0049 Therm/gal for MF homes with central boiler DHW (Unknown)

= 0.0036 Therm/gal for MF homes with unknown DHW (Bath), 0.0044 Therm/gal for MF homes with unknown DHW (Kitchen), 0.0042 Therm/gal for MF homes with unknown DHW (Unknown)

Where:

RE_gas = Recovery efficiency of gas water heater

= 78% for SF homes[220]

= 78% for MF homes with storage tank, 59% if hot water through central boiler or 69% if unknown[221]

100,000 = Converts Btus to Therms (Btu/Therm)

Other variables as defined above

Program	Faucet	Market/Program	Algorithm	ΔTherms
Direct-install, NC, or TOS	Kitchen	Single Family Gas DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0039 * 0.95	3.9
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 0.64 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0039 * 0.95	2.5
		Manufactured Gas DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0039 * 0.95	3.6
		Manufactured Unknown DHW	= 0.64 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0039 * 0.95	2.3
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0039 * 0.95	2.6
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0052 * 0.95	3.4
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0044 * 0.95	2.9
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 0.64 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0044 * 0.95	1.8
	Bathroom	Single Family Gas DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0032 * 0.95	1.4

[220] DOE Final Rule discusses Recovery Efficiency with an average around 0.76 for Gas Fired Storage Water heaters and 0.78 for standard efficiency gas fired tankless water heaters up to 0.95 for the highest efficiency gas fired condensing tankless water heaters. These numbers represent the range of new units however, not the range of existing units in stock. Review of AHRI Directory suggests range of recovery efficiency ratings for new Gas DHW units of 70-87%. Average of existing units is estimated at 78%.

[221] Water heating in multi-family buildings is often provided by a larger central boiler. An average efficiency of 0.69 is used for this analysis as a default for multi-family buildings where water heating system is unknown.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Program	Faucet	Market/Program	Algorithm	ΔTherms
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 0.64 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0032 * 0.95	0.9
		Manufactured Gas DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0032 * 0.95	1.3
		Manufactured Unknown DHW	= 0.64 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0032 * 0.95	0.8
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0032 * 0.95	0.9
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0042 * 0.95	1.2
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0036 * 0.95	1.0
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 0.64 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0036 * 0.95	0.6
	Unknown	Single Family Gas DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0037 * 0.95	2.0
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 0.64 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.795/ 3.83) * 0.0037 * 0.95	1.3
		Manufactured Gas DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 9.0 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0037 * 0.95	1.9
		Manufactured Unknown DHW	= 0.64 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 9.0 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.795/ 3.83) * 0.0037 * 0.95	1.2
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 6.9 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.795/ 2.5) * 0.0037 * 0.95	1.6
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 6.9 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.795/ 2.5) * 0.0049 * 0.95	2.1
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 6.9 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.795/ 2.5) * 0.0042 * 0.95	1.8
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 0.64 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 6.9 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.795/ 2.5) * 0.0042 * 0.95	1.1
		Unknown Location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	1.3
Efficiency Kits – EnergyWise (Low Income)	Kitchen	Single Family Gas DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0039 * 0.74	3.0
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 0.64 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0039 * 0.74	1.9
		Manufactured Gas DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0039 * 0.74	2.8
		Manufactured Unknown DHW	= 0.64 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0039 * 0.74	1.8
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0039 * 0.74	2.0
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0052 * 0.74	2.7
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0044 * 0.74	2.2
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 0.64 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0044 * 0.74	1.4
	Bathroom	Single Family Gas DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0032 * 0.70	1.0
		Single Family Unknown	= 0.64 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.12 * 365.25 *	0.6

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Program	Faucet	Market/Program	Algorithm	ΔTherms
		DHW	0.90 / 1) * 0.0032 * 0.70	
		Manufactured Gas DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0032 * 0.70	0.9
		Manufactured Unknown DHW	= 0.64 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0032 * 0.70	0.6
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0032 * 0.70	0.7
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0042 * 0.70	0.9
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0036 * 0.70	0.7
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 0.64 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0036 * 0.70	0.5
	Unknown	Single Family Gas DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0037 * 0.72	1.5
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 0.64 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.795/ 3.83) * 0.0037 * 0.72	1.0
		Manufactured Gas DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 9.0 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0037 * 0.72	1.4
		Manufactured Unknown DHW	= 0.64 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 9.0 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.795/ 3.83) * 0.0037 * 0.72	0.9
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 6.9 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.795/ 2.5) * 0.0037 * 0.72	1.2
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 6.9 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.795/ 2.5) * 0.0049 * 0.72	1.6
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 6.9 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.795/ 2.5) * 0.0042 * 0.72	1.4
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 0.64 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 6.9 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.795/ 2.5) * 0.0042 * 0.72	0.9
		Unknown Location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	1.4
Efficiency Kits – LivingWise (Schools)	Kitchen	Single Family Gas DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0039 * 0.43	1.8
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 0.64 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0039 * 0.43	1.1
		Manufactured Gas DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0039 * 0.43	1.6
		Manufactured Unknown DHW	= 0.64 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0039 * 0.43	1.0
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0039 * 0.43	1.2
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0052 * 0.43	1.5
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0044 * 0.43	1.3
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 0.64 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0044 * 0.43	0.8
	Bathroom	Single Family Gas DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0032 * 0.43	0.6
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 0.64 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0032 * 0.43	0.4

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Program	Faucet	Market/Program	Algorithm	ΔTherms
		Manufactured Gas DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0032 * 0.43	0.6
		Manufactured Unknown DHW	= 0.64 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0032 * 0.43	0.4
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0032 * 0.43	0.4
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0042 * 0.43	0.5
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0036 * 0.43	0.5
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 0.64 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0036 * 0.43	0.3
	Unknown	Single Family Gas DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0037 * 0.43	0.9
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 0.64 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.795/ 3.83) * 0.0037 * 0.43	0.6
		Manufactured Gas DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 9.0 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0037 * 0.43	0.9
		Manufactured Unknown DHW	= 0.64 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 9.0 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.795/ 3.83) * 0.0037 * 0.43	0.5
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 6.9 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.795/ 2.5) * 0.0037 * 0.43	0.7
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 6.9 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.795/ 2.5) * 0.0049 * 0.43	0.9
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	= 1 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 6.9 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.795/ 2.5) * 0.0042 * 0.43	0.8
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 0.64 * ((1.83 – 1.43) * 6.9 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.795/ 2.5) * 0.0042 * 0.43	0.5
		Unknown Location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	0.9

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

Savings for this measure are assumed to be evenly spread across the year. The Peak Gas Savings is therefore assumed to be:

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \frac{\Delta Therms}{365.25}$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above

365.25 = Days per year

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Program	Faucet	Market/Program	ΔPeakTherms
Direct-install, NC, or TOS	Kitchen	Single Family Gas DHW	0.0106
		Single Family Unknown DHW	0.0068
		Manufactured Gas DHW	0.0098
		Manufactured Unknown DHW	0.0063
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	0.0070
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	0.0093
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	0.0079
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	0.0051
	Bathroom	Single Family Gas DHW	0.0037
		Single Family Unknown DHW	0.0024
		Manufactured Gas DHW	0.0034
		Manufactured Unknown DHW	0.0022
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	0.0025
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	0.0032
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	0.0028
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	0.0018
	Unknown	Single Family Gas DHW	0.0056
		Single Family Unknown DHW	0.0036
		Manufactured Gas DHW	0.0051
		Manufactured Unknown DHW	0.0033
		Multifamily Gas DHW	0.0043
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	0.0057
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	0.0049
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	0.0031
		Unknown Location	0.0034
Efficiency Kits – EnergyWise (Low Income)	Kitchen	Single Family Gas DHW	0.0083
		Single Family Unknown DHW	0.0053
		Manufactured Gas DHW	0.0076
		Manufactured Unknown DHW	0.0049
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	0.0055
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	0.0073
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	0.0062
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	0.0039
	Bathroom	Single Family Gas DHW	0.0027
		Single Family Unknown DHW	0.0018
		Manufactured Gas DHW	0.0025
		Manufactured Unknown DHW	0.0016
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	0.0018
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	0.0024
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	0.0020
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	0.0013
		Single Family Gas DHW	0.0042

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Program	Faucet	Market/Program	ΔPeakTherms
	Unknown	Single Family Unknown DHW	0.0027
		Manufactured Gas DHW	0.0039
		Manufactured Unknown DHW	0.0025
		Multifamily Gas DHW	0.0033
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	0.0043
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	0.0037
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	0.0024
		Unknown Location	0.0039
Efficiency Kits – LivingWise (Schools)	Kitchen	Single Family Gas DHW	0.0048
		Single Family Unknown DHW	0.0031
		Manufactured Gas DHW	0.0044
		Manufactured Unknown DHW	0.0028
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	0.0032
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	0.0042
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	0.0036
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	0.0023
	Bathroom	Single Family Gas DHW	0.0017
		Single Family Unknown DHW	0.0011
		Manufactured Gas DHW	0.0016
		Manufactured Unknown DHW	0.0010
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	0.0011
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	0.0015
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	0.0012
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	0.0008
	Unknown	Single Family Gas DHW	0.0025
		Single Family Unknown DHW	0.0016
		Manufactured Gas DHW	0.0023
		Manufactured Unknown DHW	0.0015
		Multifamily Gas DHW	0.0020
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	0.0026
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	0.0022
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	0.0014
		Unknown Location	0.0024

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

$$\Delta Gallons = ((GPM_base - GPM_low) * L * Household * 365.25 * \frac{DF}{FPH}) * ISR$$

Variables as defined above

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Program	Faucet	Market/Program	Algorithm	ΔGallons
Direct-install, NC, or TOS	Kitchen	Single Family	= ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.95	993
		Manufactured	= ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.95	918
		Multifamily	= ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.95	656
	Bathroom	Single Family	= ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.95	424
		Manufactured	= ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.95	392
		Multifamily	= ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.95	280
	Unknown	Single Family	= ((1.83 – 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.95	550
		Manufactured	= ((1.83 – 1.43) * 9.0 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.95	508
		Multifamily	= ((1.83 – 1.43) * 6.9 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.795/ 2.5) * 0.95	426
		Unknown Location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	525
Efficiency Kits – EnergyWise (Low Income)	Kitchen	Single Family	= ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.74	774
		Manufactured	= ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.74	715
		Multifamily	= ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.74	511
	Bathroom	Single Family	= ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.70	312
		Manufactured	= ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.70	289
		Multifamily	= ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.70	206
	Unknown	Single Family	= ((1.83 – 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.72	417
		Manufactured	= ((1.83 – 1.43) * 9.0 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.72	385
		Multifamily	= ((1.83 – 1.43) * 6.9 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.795/ 2.5) * 0.72	323
		Unknown Location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	175
Efficiency Kits – LivingWise (Schools)	Kitchen	Single Family	= ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.43	449
		Manufactured	= ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.43	416
		Multifamily	= ((1.83 – 1.43) * 4.5 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.43	297
	Bathroom	Single Family	= ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.43	192
		Manufactured	= ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.43	177
		Multifamily	= ((1.83 – 1.43) * 1.6 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.43	127
	Unknown	Single Family	= ((1.83 – 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.43	249
		Manufactured	= ((1.83 – 1.43) * 9.0 * 1.96 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.43	230
		Multifamily	= ((1.83 – 1.43) * 6.9 * 1.4 * 365.25 * 0.795/ 2.5) * 0.43	193
		Unknown Location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	106

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HWE-LFFA-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2020

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.3.5 Low Flow Showerheads

DESCRIPTION

This measure relates to the installation of a low flow showerhead in a single, manufactured or multifamily household.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, RF, NC, DI, KITS.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure, the installed equipment must be a low flow showerhead rated at 1.5 gallons per minute (GPM) or less. Savings are calculated on a per showerhead fixture basis.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

For direct-install programs, the baseline condition is assumed to be a standard showerhead rated at 2.5 GPM or greater.

For retrofit and time-of-sale programs, the baseline condition is assumed to be a representative average of existing showerhead flow rates of participating customers including a range of low flow showerheads, standard-flow showerheads, and high-flow showerheads.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 10 years.[222]

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for this measure is $20[223] or program actual.

For low flow showerheads provided in Efficiency Kits, the actual program delivery costs should be used.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE15 - Residential Single Family Water Heat

Loadshape RE07 - Residential Multi-family Water Heat

Loadshape RG07 – Residential Water Heat (gas)

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Note: these savings are per showerhead fixture

[222] Table C-6, Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, June 2007. Evaluations indicate that consumer dissatisfaction may lead to reductions in persistence, particularly in Multi-Family , "http://neep.org/uploads/EMV%20Forum/EMV%20Studies/measure_life_GDS%5B1%5D.pdf"

[223] Direct-install price per showerhead assumes cost of showerhead (Market research average of $7 and assess and install time of $13 (20min @ $40/hr)

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

$$\Delta kWh = \%ElectricDHW * (GPM_base - GPM_low) * L * Household * SPCD * \frac{365.25}{SPH} * EPG_electric * ISR$$

Where:

%ElectricDHW = proportion of water heating supplied by electric resistance heating

DHW fuel	%ElectricDHW
Electric	100%
Natural Gas	0%
Unknown	36%[224]

GPM_base = Flow rate of the baseline showerhead

= Actual measured flow rate. If not measured assume:

Program	GPM_base
Direct-install	2.5 [225]
Retrofit, Efficiency Kits, NC, or TOS	2.35[226]

GPM_low = Flow rate of the low-flow showerhead:

= Actual measured flow rate. If not measured, assume 1.5GPM

L = Shower length in minutes with showerhead

= 7.8 min[227]

Household = Average number of people per household

Household Unit Type	Household[228]
Single-Family - Deemed	2.12
Manufactured	1.96
Multifamily - Deemed	1.4
Custom	Actual Occupancy or Number of Bedrooms[229]

SPCD = Showers Per Capita Per Day

= 0.6[230]

[224] Default assumption for unknown fuel is based on EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the state of IA. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used

[225] The Energy Policy Act of 1992 (EPAct) established the maximum flow rate for showerheads at 2.5 gallons per minute (gpm).

[226] Representative value from sources 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, and 7 (See Source Table at end of measure section) adjusted slightly upward to account for program participation which is expected to target customers with existing higher flow devices rather than those with existing low flow devices.

[227] Cadmus and Opinion Dynamics Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study Memorandum dated June 2013, directed to Michigan Evaluation Working Group. This study of 135 single and multi-family homes in Michigan metered energy parameters for efficient showerhead and faucet aerators.

[228] Average household size by building type and water heater fuel type, based on the 2007 RASS.

[229] Bedrooms are suitable proxies for household occupancy, and may be preferable to actual occupancy due to turnover rates in residency and non-adult population impacts.

[230] Cadmus and Opinion Dynamics Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study Memorandum dated June 2013, directed to

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

365.25 = Days per year, on average

SPH = Showerheads Per Household so that per-showerhead savings fractions can be determined

Household Unit Type	SPH
Single-Family	1.79[231]
Multifamily	1.3[232]
Custom	Actual

EPG_electric = Energy per gallon of hot water supplied by electric

= (γWater * 1.0 * (ShowerTemp - SupplyTemp)) / (RE_electric * 3412)

= 0.1109 kWh/gal for resistance (or unknown) unit, 0.0543 kWh/gal for heat pump water heaters

Where:

γWater = Specific weight of water (lbs/gallon)

= 8.33 lbs/gallon

1.0 = Heat Capacity of water (Btu/lb-°)

ShowerTemp = Assumed temperature of water

= 101F [233]

SupplyTemp = Assumed temperature of water entering house

= 56.5[234]

RE_electric = Average Recovery efficiency of electric water heater

= 98% [235] for electric resistance (or unknown)

= 200%[236] for heat pump water heaters

3412 = Converts Btu to kWh (Btu/kWh)

ISR = In service rate of showerhead

Program	ISR
Direct-install, NC, or TOS	0.98[237]

Michigan Evaluation Working Group.

[231] Based on findings from a 2009 ComEd residential survey of 140 sites, provided by Cadmus.

[232] 2009 ComEd residential survey of 140 sites, provided by Cadmus.

[233] Cadmus and Opinion Dynamics Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study Memorandum dated June 2013, directed to Michigan Evaluation Working Group.

[234] Averaged monthly water main temperature calculated using the methodology provided in Building America Research Benchmark Definition, updated December 2009. Pg.19-20. http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy10osti/47246.pdf; water main temperature represents the average of TMY3 data from all Class I stations located in Des Moines, IA.

[235] Electric water heaters have recovery efficiency of 98%: http://www.ahridirectory.org/ahridirectory/pages/home.aspx

[236] 200% represents a reasonable estimate of the weighted average event recovery efficiency for heat pump water heaters, including those that are set to Heat Pump only mode (and so have a recovery efficiency >250%) and those that are set in hybrid mode where a multiple shower draw would kick the unit in to resistance mode (98%). Note that the AHRI directory provides recovery efficiency ratings, some of which are >250% but most are rated at 100%. This is due to the rating test involving a large hot water draw, consistent with multiple showers.

[237] Deemed values are from ComEd Illinois Energy Efficiency/ Demand Response Plan: Plan Year 2 (6/1/2009-5/31/2010) Evaluation Report: All Electric Single Family Home Energy Performance Tune-Up Program Table 3-8. Alternative ISRs may be

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Program	ISR
Efficiency Kits – EnergyWise (Low Income) [238]	0.74
Efficiency Kits – LivingWise (Schools)[239]	0.43

Based on defaults provided above:

Program	Market	Algorithm	ΔkWh
Direct Install	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.5 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.12 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.111 * 0.98	220.2
	Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.5 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.12 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.0543 * 0.98	107.7
	Single Family Unknown DHW	= 0.36 * ((2.5 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.12 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.111 * 0.98	79.3
	Manufactured Electric Resistance DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.5 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.96 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.111 * 0.98	203.6
	Manufactured Heat Pump DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.5 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.96 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.0543 * 0.98	99.6
	Manufactured Unknown DHW	= 0.36 * ((2.5 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.96 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.111 * 0.98	73.3
	Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.5 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.4 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.111 * 0.98	200.2
	Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.5 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.4 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.0543 * 0.98	98.0
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 0.36 * ((2.5 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.4 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.111 * 0.98	72.1
Retrofit, NC, or TOS	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.12 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.111 * 0.98	187.2
	Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.12 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.0543 * 0.98	91.6
	Single Family Unknown DHW	= 0.36 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.12 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.111 * 0.98	67.4
	Manufactured Electric Resistance DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.96 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.111 * 0.98	173.1
	Manufactured Heat Pump DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.96 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.0543 * 0.98	84.7
	Manufactured Unknown DHW	= 0.36 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.96 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.111 * 0.98	62.3
	Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.4 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.111 * 0.98	170.2
	Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.4 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.0543 * 0.98	83.3
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 0.36 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.4 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.111 * 0.98	61.3
	Unknown Location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF[240]	66.2
Efficiency	Single Family Electric	= 1.0 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.12 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.111 * 0.74	141.3

developed for program delivery methods based on evaluation results.

[238] Based on Cadmus, "Final Report: Iowa 2015 Energy Wise Program", January 29, 2016, p16.

[239] Based on results provided in "School-based interim process memo_Final_100215.doc".

[240] Based on EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the state of IA, see "HC2.9 Structural and Geographic in Midwest Region.xls".

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Program	Market	Algorithm	ΔkWh
Kits – EnergyWise (Low Income)	Resistance DHW		
	Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.12 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.0543 * 0.74	69.1
	Single Family Unknown DHW	= 0.36 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.12 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.111 * 0.74	50.9
	Manufactured Electric Resistance DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.96 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.111 * 0.74	130.7
	Manufactured Heat Pump DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.96 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.0543 * 0.74	63.9
	Manufactured Unknown DHW	= 0.36 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.96 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.111 * 0.74	47.0
	Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.4 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.111 * 0.74	128.5
	Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.4 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.0543 * 0.74	62.9
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 0.36 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.4 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.111 * 0.74	46.3
	Unknown Location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	50.0
Efficiency Kits – LivingWise (Schools)	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.12 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.111 * 0.43	82.1
	Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.12 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.0543 * 0.43	40.2
	Single Family Unknown DHW	= 0.36 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.12 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.111 * 0.43	29.6
	Manufactured Electric Resistance DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.96 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.111 * 0.43	75.9
	Manufactured Heat Pump DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.96* 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.0543 * 0.43	37.1
	Manufactured Unknown DHW	= 0.36 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.96 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.111 * 0.43	27.3
	Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.4 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.111 * 0.43	74.7
	Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.4 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.0543 * 0.43	36.5
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 0.36 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.4 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.111 * 0.43	26.9
	Unknown Location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	29.0

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh}{Hours} * CF$$

Where:

ΔkWh = calculated value above

Hours = Annual electric DHW recovery hours for showerhead use

= (GPM_base * L * Household * SPCD * 365.25 * 0.636[241])/ GPH

[241] 63.6% is the proportion of hot 126.5F water mixed with 56.5F supply water to give 101F shower water.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Program	Building Type	Calculation	Hours
Direct Install	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW (or unknown)	= (2.5 * 7.8 * 2.12 * 0.6 * 365.25 * 0.636) / 25.8	223.3
	Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= (2.5 * 7.8 * 2.12 * 0.6 * 365.25 * 0.636) / 52.7	109.3
	Manufactured Electric Resistance DHW (or unknown)	= (2.5 * 7.8 * 1.96 * 0.6 * 365.25 * 0.636) / 25.8	206.5
	Manufactured Heat Pump DHW	= (2.5 * 7.8 * 1.96 * 0.6 * 365.25 * 0.636) / 52.7	101.1
	Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW (or unknown)	= (2.5 * 7.8 * 1.4 * 0.6 * 365.25 * 0.636) / 25.8	147.5
	Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= (2.5 * 7.8 * 1.4 * 0.6 * 365.25 * 0.636) / 52.7	72.2
Retrofit, Efficiency Kits, NC and TOS	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW (or unknown)	= (2.35 * 7.8 * 2.12 * 0.6 * 365.25 * 0.636) / 25.8	209.9
	Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= (2.35 * 7.8 * 2.12 * 0.6 * 365.25 * 0.636) / 52.7	102.8
	Manufactured Electric Resistance DHW (or unknown)	= (2.35 * 7.8 * 1.96 * 0.6 * 365.25 * 0.636) / 25.8	194.1
	Manufactured Heat Pump DHW	= (2.35 * 7.8 * 1.96 * 0.6 * 365.25 * 0.636) / 52.7	95.0
	Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW (or unknown)	= (2.35 * 7.8 * 1.4 * 0.6 * 365.25 * 0.636) / 25.8	138.6
	Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= (2.35 * 7.8 * 1.4 * 0.6 * 365.25 * 0.636) / 52.7	67.9

Where:

GPH = Gallons per hour recovery of electric water heater calculated for 68.5F temp rise (126.5-56.5), 98% recovery efficiency, and typical 4.5kW electric resistance storage tank.

= 25.8 for electric resistance or unknown, 52.7 for heat pump[242]

CF = Coincidence Factor for electric load reduction

= 1.6% [243]

Based on defaults provided above:

Program	Market	Algorithm	ΔkW
Direct Install	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 220.2/223.3 * 0.016	0.0158
	Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 107.7/109.3 * 0.016	0.0158
	Single Family Unknown DHW	= 79.3/223.3* 0.016	0.0057
	Manufactured Electric Resistance DHW	= 203.6/206.5* 0.016	0.0158

[242] See 'Calculation of GPH Recovery.xls' for calculation details. Heat pump assumes 2.0 recovery efficiency. Default is assumed to be a resistance storage tank,

[243] Calculated as follows: Assume 11% showers take place during peak hours (based on: Deoreo, B., and P. Mayer. "The End Uses of Hot Water in Single Family Homes from Flow Trace Analysis", 2001). There are 65 days in the summer peak period, so the percentage of total annual aerator use in peak period is 0.11*65/365.25 = 1.96%. The number of hours of recovery during peak periods is therefore assumed to be 1.96% * 216 = 4.23 hours of recovery during peak period, where 216 equals the average annual electric DHW recovery hours for showerhead use in SF homes with Direct Install and Retrofit/TOS measures. There are 260 hours in the peak period, so the probability you will see savings during the peak period is 4.23/260 = 0.016.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Program	Market	Algorithm	ΔkW
	Manufactured Heat Pump DHW	= 99.6/101.1 * 0.016	0.0158
	Manufactured Unknown DHW	= 73.3/206.5 * 0.016	0.0057
	Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 200.2/147.5 * 0.016	0.0217
	Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 98.0/72.2 * 0.016	0.0217
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 72.1/147.5 * 0.016	0.0078
Retrofit, NC, or TOS	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 187.2/209.9 * 0.016	0.0143
	Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 91.6/102.8 * 0.016	0.0143
	Single Family Unknown DHW	= 67.4/209.9 * 0.016	0.0051
	Manufactured Electric Resistance DHW	= 173.1/194.1 * 0.016	0.0143
	Manufactured Heat Pump DHW	= 84.7/95.0 * 0.016	0.0143
	Manufactured Unknown DHW	= 62.3/194.1 * 0.016	0.0051
	Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 170.2/138.6 * 0.016	0.0196
	Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 83.3/67.9 * 0.016	0.0196
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 61.3/138.6 * 0.016	0.0071
	Unknown location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	0.0055
Efficiency Kits – EnergyWise (Low Income)	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 141.3/209.9 * 0.016	0.0108
	Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 69.1/102.8 * 0.016	0.0108
	Single Family Unknown DHW	= 50.9/209.9 * 0.016	0.0039
	Manufactured Electric Resistance DHW	= 130.7/194.1 * 0.016	0.0108
	Manufactured Heat Pump DHW	= 63.9/95.0 * 0.016	0.0108
	Manufactured Unknown DHW	= 47.0/194.1 * 0.016	0.0039
	Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 128.5/138.6 * 0.016	0.0148
	Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 62.9/67.9 * 0.016	0.0148
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 46.3/138.6 * 0.016	0.0053
	Unknown location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	0.0042
Efficiency Kits – LivingWise (Schools)	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 82.1/209.9 * 0.016	0.0063
	Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 40.2/102.8 * 0.016	0.0063
	Single Family Unknown DHW	= 29.6/209.9 * 0.016	0.0023
	Manufactured Electric Resistance DHW	= 75.9/194.1 * 0.016	0.0063
	Manufactured Heat Pump DHW	= 37.1/95.0 * 0.016	0.0062
	Manufactured Unknown DHW	= 27.3/194.1 * 0.016	0.0023
	Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 74.7/138.6 * 0.016	0.0086
	Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 36.5/67.9 * 0.016	0.0086
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 26.9/138.6 * 0.016	0.0031
	Unknown location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	0.0024

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta Therms = \%FossilDHW * ((GPM_base * GPM_low) * L * Household * SPCD * \frac{365.25}{SPH}) * EPG_gas * ISR$$

Where:

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

%FossilDHW = proportion of water heating supplied by Natural Gas heating

DHW fuel	%Fossil_DHW
Electric	0%
Fossil Fuel	100%
Unknown	64%[244]

EPG_gas = Energy per gallon of hot water supplied by gas

= (γWater * 1.0 * (ShowerTemp - SupplyTemp)) / (RE_gas * 100,000)

= 0.00475 Therm/gal for SF or MF homes with storage tanks

= 0.00626 Therm/gal for MF homes with central boiler DHW, 0.00535 Therm/gal for MF homes with unknown DHW

Where:

RE_gas = Recovery efficiency of gas water heater

= 78% For SF homes[245]

= 78% for MF homes with storage tank, 59% if hot water through central boiler or 69% if unknown[246]

100,000 = Converts Btus to Therms (Btu/Therm)

Other variables as defined above.

Program	Market	Algorithm	ΔTherms
Direct Install	Single Family Gas DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.5 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.12 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.00475 * 0.98	9.4
	Single Family Unknown DHW	= 0.64 * ((2.5 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.12 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.00475 * 0.98	6.0
	Manufactured Gas DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.5 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.96 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.00475 * 0.98	8.7
	Manufactured Unknown DHW	= 0.64 * ((2.5 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.96 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.00475 * 0.98	5.6
	Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.5 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.4 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00475 * 0.98	8.6
	Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.5 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.4 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00626 * 0.98	11.3
	Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.5 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.4 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00535 * 0.98	9.7
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 0.64 * ((2.5 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.4 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00535 * 0.98	6.2
Retrofit, NC, or TOS	Single Family Gas DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.12 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.00475 * 0.98	8.0

[244] Default assumption for unknown fuel is based on EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the state of IA. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area, then they should be used.

[245] Review of AHRI Directory suggests range of recovery efficiency ratings for new Gas DHW units of 70-87%. Average of existing units is estimated at 78%.

[246] Water heating in multifamily buildings is often provided by a larger central boiler. An average efficiency of 0.69 is used for this analysis as a default for multifamily buildings where the water heating system is unknown.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Program	Market	Algorithm	ΔTherms
	Single Family Unknown DHW	= 0.64 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.12 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.00475 * 0.98	5.1
	Manufactured Gas DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.96 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.00475 * 0.98	7.4
	Manufactured Unknown DHW	= 0.64 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.96 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.00475 * 0.98	4.7
	Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.4 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00475 * 0.98	7.3
	Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.4 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00626 * 0.98	9.6
	Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.4 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00535 * 0.98	8.2
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 0.64 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.4 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00535 * 0.98	5.3
	Unknown location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	5.1
Efficiency Kits – EnergyWise (Low Income)	Single Family Gas DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.12 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.00475 * 0.74	6.0
	Single Family Unknown DHW	= 0.64 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.12 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.00475 * 0.74	3.9
	Manufactured Gas DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.96 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.00475 * 0.74	5.6
	Manufactured Unknown DHW	= 0.64 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.96 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.00475 * 0.74	3.6
	Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.4 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00475 * 0.74	5.5
	Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.4 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00626 * 0.74	7.2
	Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.4 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00535 * 0.74	6.2
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 0.64 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.4 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00535 * 0.74	4.0
	Unknown location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	6.6
Efficiency Kits – LivingWise (Schools)	Single Family Gas DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.12 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.00475 * 0.43	3.5
	Single Family Unknown DHW	= 0.64 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.12 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.00475 * 0.43	2.2
	Manufactured Gas DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.96 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.00475 * 0.43	3.2
	Manufactured Unknown DHW	= 0.64 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.96 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.00475 * 0.43	2.1
	Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.4 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00475 * 0.43	3.2
	Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.4 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00626 * 0.43	4.2
	Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.4 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00535 * 0.43	3.6
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 0.64 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.4 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00535 * 0.43	2.3
	Unknown location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	3.8

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

Savings for this measure are assumed to be evenly spread across the year. The Peak Gas Savings is therefore assumed to be:

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \frac{\Delta Therms}{365.25}$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above

365.25 = Days per year

Program	Market	ΔPeakTherms
Direct Install	Single Family Gas DHW	0.0257
	Single Family Unknown DHW	0.0164
	Manufactured Gas DHW	0.0239
	Manufactured Unknown DHW	0.0153
	Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	0.0235
	Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	0.0309
	Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	0.0266
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	0.0170
Retrofit, NC, or TOS	Single Family Gas DHW	0.0219
	Single Family Unknown DHW	0.0140
	Manufactured Gas DHW	0.0203
	Manufactured Unknown DHW	0.0130
	Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	0.0200
	Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	0.0263
	Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	0.0225
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	0.0145
	Unknown location	0.0140
Efficiency Kits – EnergyWise (Low Income)	Single Family Gas DHW	0.0166
	Single Family Unknown DHW	0.0106
	Manufactured Gas DHW	0.0153
	Manufactured Unknown DHW	0.0098
	Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	0.0151
	Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	0.0198
	Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	0.0170
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	0.0109
	Unknown location	0.0180
Efficiency Kits – LivingWise (Schools)	Single Family Gas DHW	0.0096
	Single Family Unknown DHW	0.0062
	Manufactured Gas DHW	0.0089
	Manufactured Unknown DHW	0.0057
	Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	0.0088
	Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	0.0115

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Program	Market	ΔPeakTherms
	Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	0.0099
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	0.0063
	Unknown location	0.0105

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

$$\Delta Gallons = (GPM_base - GPM_low) * L * Household * SPCD * \frac{365.25}{SPH} * ISR$$

Variables as defined above

Program	Market	Algorithm	ΔGallons
Direct Install	Single Family	= (2.5 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.12 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79 * 0.98	1984
	Multifamily	= (2.5 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.4 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3 * 0.98	1804
Retrofit, NC, or TOS	Single Family	= (2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.12 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79 * 0.98	1686
	Multifamily	= (2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.4 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3 * 0.98	1533
	Unknown Location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	1655
Efficiency Kits – EnergyWise (Low Income)	Single Family	= (2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.12 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79 * 0.74	1273
	Multifamily	= (2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.4 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3 * 0.74	1158
	Unknown Location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	1250
Efficiency Kits – LivingWise (Schools)	Single Family	= (2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.12 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79 * 0.43	740
	Multifamily	= (2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 1.4 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3 * 0.43	673
	Unknown Location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	727

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

SOURCES

Source ID	Reference
1	2011, DeOreo, William. California Single Family Water Use Efficiency Study. April 20, 2011.
2	2000, Mayer, Peter, William DeOreo, and David Lewis. Seattle Home Water Conservation Study. December 2000.
3	1999, Mayer, Peter, William DeOreo. Residential End Uses of Water. Published by AWWA Research Foundation and American Water Works Association. 1999.
4	2003, Mayer, Peter, William DeOreo. Residential Indoor Water Conservation Study. Aquacraft, Inc. Water Engineering and Management. Prepared for East Bay Municipal Utility District and the US EPA. July 2003.
5	2011, DeOreo, William. Analysis of Water Use in New Single Family Homes. By Aquacraft. For Salt Lake City Corporation and US EPA. July 20, 2011.
6	2011, Aquacraft. Albuquerque Single Family Water Use Efficiency and Retrofit Study. For Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority. December 1, 2011.
7	2008, Schultdt, Marc, and Debra Tachibana. Energy related Water Fixture Measurements: Securing the Baseline for Northwest Single Family Homes. 2008 ACEEE Summer Study on Energy Efficiency in Buildings.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

MEASURE CODE: RS-HWE-LFSH-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2020

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.3.6 Domestic Hot Water Pipe Insulation

DESCRIPTION

This measure applies to the addition of insulation to un-insulated domestic hot water pipes. The measure assumes the pipe wrap is installed on the first length of both the hot and cold pipe up to the first elbow. This is the most cost effective section to insulate since the water pipes act as an extension of the hot water tank up to the first elbow, which acts as a heat trap. Insulating this length therefore helps reduce standby losses.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: DI, RF.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient condition is a domestic hot or cold water pipe with pipe wrap installed that has an R value that meets program requirements.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is an uninsulated, domestic hot or cold water pipe.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 12 years.[247]

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The measure cost is the actual cost of material and installation. If the actual cost is unknown, assume a default cost of $4 per linear foot,[248] including material and installation.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape E01 – Flat

Loadshape G01 – Flat

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Custom calculation below for electric domestic hot water (DHW) systems, otherwise assume 24.7 kWh per 6 linear feet of ¾ in, R-4 insulation or 35.5 kWh per 6 linear feet of 1 in, R-6 insulation:

$$\Delta kWh = ((C_{Base}/R_{Base} - C_{EE}/R_{EE}) * L * \Delta T * Hours)/(\eta DHW_{Elec} * 3{,}412)$$

Where:

C_{Base} = Circumference (ft) of uninsulated pipe

= Diameter (in) * π/12 (pipe with 0.50 in diameter = 0.131 ft, pipe with 0.75 in diameter = 0.196 ft)

[247] 2014 Database for Energy-Efficiency Resources (DEER), Version 2014, "Cost Values and Summary Documentation", California Public Utilities Commission, January, 2014. Average of values for electric DHW (13 years) and gas DHW (11 years).
[248] Consistent with DEER 2008 Measure Cost Summary, Revised June 2, 2008 (www.deeresources.com).

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

= Actual or if unknown, assume 0.131 ft

R_{Base} = Thermal resistance coefficient (hr-°F-ft^2)/Btu) of uninsulated pipe

= 1.0[249]

C_{EE} = Circumference (ft) of insulated pipe

= Diameter (in) * π/12

= Actual or if unknown, assume 0.524 ft for a 0.50 in diameter pipe insulated with 3/4 in, R-4 wrap ((0.5 + 3/4 + 3/4) * π/12) or 0.654 ft for a 0.50 in diameter pipe insulated with 1 in, R-6 wrap ((0.5 + 1 + 1) * π/12)[250]

R_{EE} = Thermal resistance coefficient (hr-°F-ft^2)/Btu) of insulated pipe

= 1.0 + R value of insulation

= Actual or if unknown, assume 5.0 for R-4 wrap or 7.0 for R-6 wrap

L = Length of pipe from water heating source covered by pipe wrap (ft)

= Actual or if unknown, assume 6 ft

ΔT = Average temperature difference (°F) between supplied water and outside air

= Actual or if unknown, assume 60°F [251]

Hours = Hours per year

= 8,766

ηDHW_{Elec} = Recovery efficiency of electric hot water heater

= Actual or if unknown, assume 0.98 [252]

3,412 = Conversion factor from Btu to kWh

EXAMPLE

For example, an electric DHW pipe with 6 feet of ¾ in, R-4 insulation installed, with defaults from above, would save:

$\Delta kWh = ((C_{Base}/R_{Base} - C_{EE}/R_{EE}) * L * \Delta T * Hours) / (\eta DHW_{Elec} * 3{,}412)$

= ((0.131/1.0 – 0.524/5.0) * 6 *60 * 8,766) / (0.98 * 3,412)

= 24.7 kWh

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \Delta kWh/Hours$$

Where:

ΔkWh = Electric energy savings from pipe wrap installation

Other variables as defined above.

[249] Navigant Consulting Inc., April 2009; "Measures and Assumptions for Demand Side Management (DSM) Planning; Appendix C Substantiation Sheets", p77.
[250] Pipe wrap thicknesses based on review of available products on Grainger.com
[251] Assumes 125°F water leaving the hot water tank and average temperature of basement of 65°F.
[252] Electric water heaters have recovery efficiency of 98%: http://www.ahridirectory.org/ahridirectory/pages/home.aspx

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

EXAMPLE

For example, an electric DHW pipe with 6 feet of ¾ in, R-4 insulation installed, with defaults from above, would save:

ΔkW = 24.7/8,766

= 0.0028 kW

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Custom calculation below for gas DHW systems, otherwise assume 1.1 therms per 6 linear feet of ¾ in, R-4 insulation or 1.5 therms per 6 linear feet of 1 in, R-6 insulation:

$$\Delta Therms = ((C_{Base}/R_{Base} - C_{EE}/R_{EE}) * L * \Delta T * Hours)/(\eta DHW_{Gas} * 100{,}000)$$

Where:

ηDHW_{Gas} = Recovery efficiency of gas hot water heater

= 0.78[253]

100,000 = Conversion factor from Btu to therms

Other variables as defined above

EXAMPLE

For example, a gas DHW pipe with 6 feet of ¾ in, R-4 insulation installed, with defaults from above, would save:

ΔTherms = $((C_{Base}/R_{Base} - C_{EE}/R_{EE}) * L * \Delta T * Hours) / (\eta DHW_{Gas} * 100{,}000)$

= ((0.131/1.0 – 0.524/5.0) * 6 *60 * 8,766) / (0.78 * 100,000)

= 1.1 therms

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

Savings for this measure are assumed to be evenly spread across the year.

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \Delta Therms/365.25$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Gas savings from pipe wrap insulation

365.25 = Number of days per year

[253] Review of AHRI Directory suggests range of recovery efficiency ratings for new Gas DHW units of 70-87%. Average of existing units is estimated at 78%

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

EXAMPLE

For example, a gas DHW pipe with 6 feet of ¾ in, R-4 insulation installed, with defaults from above, would save:

ΔPeakTherms = 1.1/365.25

= 0.0030 therms

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HWE-PINS-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2023

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.3.7 Water Heater Wrap

DESCRIPTION

This measure applies to a tank wrap or insulation "blanket" that is wrapped around the outside of an electric or gas domestic hot water (DHW) tank to reduce stand-by losses.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: DI, RF.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient condition is an electric or gas DHW tank with wrap installed that has an R-value that meets program requirements.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is an uninsulated, electric or gas DHW tank.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life is assumed to be 7 years.[254]

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The measure cost is the actual cost of material and installation. If actual costs are unknown, assume $58[255] for material and installation.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape E01 – Flat

Loadshape G01 – Flat

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Custom calculation below for electric DHW tanks, otherwise use default values from table that follows:

$$\Delta kWh = ((A_{Base}/R_{Base} - A_{EE}/R_{EE}) * \Delta T * Hours)/(\eta DHW_{Elec} * 3{,}412)$$

Where:

A_{Base} = Surface area (ft^2) of storage tank prior to adding tank wrap[256]

= Actual or if unknown, use default based on tank capacity (gal) from table below

R_{Base} = Thermal resistance coefficient (hr-°F-ft^2/BTU) of uninsulated tank

[254] 2014 Database for Energy-Efficiency Resources (DEER), Version 2014, "Cost Values and Summary Documentation", California Public Utilities Commission, January, 2014. Average of values for electric DHW (13 years) and gas DHW (11 years).
[255] Average cost of R-10 tank wrap installation from the National Renewable Energy Laboratory's National Residential Efficiency Measures Database. http://www.nrel.gov/ap/retrofits/measures.cfm?gId=6&ctId=270
[256] Area includes tank sides and top to account for typical wrap coverage.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

= Actual or if unknown, assume 14[257]

A_{EE} = Surface area (ft^2) of storage tank after addition of tank wrap[258]

= Actual or if unknown, use default based on tank capacity (gal) from table below

R_{EE} = Thermal resistance coefficient ((hr-°F-ft2/BTU) of tank after addition of tank wrap (R-value of uninsulated tank + R-value of tank wrap)

= Actual or if unknown, assume 24

ΔT = Average temperature difference (°F) between tank water and outside air

= Actual or if unknown, assume 60°F [259]

Hours = Hours per year

= 8,766

ηDHW_{Elec} = Recovery efficiency of electric hot water heater

= Actual or if unknown, assume 0.98 [260]

3,412 = Conversion from Btu to kWh

The following table contains default savings for various tank capacities.

Capacity (gal)	A_{Base} (ft^2)[261]	A_{EE} (ft^2)[262]	ΔkWh	ΔkW
30	19.16	20.94	78.0	0.0089
40	23.18	25.31	94.6	0.0108
50	24.99	27.06	103.4	0.0118
80	31.84	34.14	134.0	0.0153

EXAMPLE

For example, a 30 gallon electric DHW tank with an R-value of 14 before insulation is installed and an R-value of 24 after insulation is installed, with defaults from above, would save:

ΔkWh = $((A_{Base}/R_{Base} - A_{EE}/R_{EE}) * \Delta T * Hours) / (\eta DHW_{Elec} * 3{,}412)$

= ((19.16/14 – 20.94/24) * 60 * 8,766) / (0.98 * 3,412)

= 78.0 kWh

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \Delta kWh/Hours$$

[257] Baseline R-value based on information from Chapter 6 of The Virginia Energy Savers Handbook, Third Edition: The best heaters have 2 to 3 inches of urethane foam, providing R-values as high as R-20. Other less expensive models have fiberglass tank insulation with R-values ranging between R-7 and R-10.

[258] Area includes tank sides and top to account for typical wrap coverage.

[259] Assumes 125°F hot water tank temperature and average temperature of basement of 65°F.

[260] Electric water heaters have recovery efficiency of 98%: http://www.ahridirectory.org/ahridirectory/pages/home.aspx

[261] Assumptions from PA TRM. Area values were calculated from average dimensions of several commercially available units, with radius values measured to the center of the insulation. Area includes tank sides and top to account for typical wrap coverage.

[262] Assumptions from PA TRM. A_{EE} was calculated by assuming that the water heater wrap is a 2" thick fiberglass material.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Where:

ΔkWh = Electric energy savings from tank wrap installation

Other variables as defined above

The table above contains default kW savings for various tank capacity and pre and post R-values.

EXAMPLE

For example, a 30 gallon electric DHW tank with an R-value of 14 before insulation is installed and an R-value of 24 after insulation is installed, with defaults from above, would save:

ΔkW = 78.0/8,766

= 0.0089 kW

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Custom calculation below for gas DHW tanks, otherwise use default values from table that follows:

$$\Delta Therms = ((A_{Base}/R_{Base} - A_{EE}/R_{EE}) * \Delta T * Hours)/(\eta DHW_{Gas} * 100{,}000)$$

Where:

ηDHW_{Gas} = Recovery efficiency of gas hot water heater

= 0.78[263]

100,000 = Conversion factor from Btu to therms

Other variables as defined above

The following table contains default savings for various tank capacities.

Capacity (gal)	A_{Base} (ft^2)[264]	A_{EE} (ft^2)[265]	ΔTherms	ΔPeakTherms
30	19.16	20.94	3.3	0.0092
40	23.18	25.31	4.1	0.0111
50	24.99	27.06	4.4	0.0121
80	31.84	34.14	5.7	0.0157

EXAMPLE

For example, a 30 gallon gas DHW tank with an R-value of 14 before insulation is installed and an R-value of 24 after insulation is installed, with defaults from above, would save:

ΔTherms = $((A_{Base}/R_{Base} - A_{EE}/R_{EE}) * \Delta T * Hours) / (\eta DHW_{Gas} * 100{,}000)$

= ((19.16/14 – 20.94/24) * 60 * 8,766) / (0.78 * 100,000)

= 3.3 therms

[263] Review of AHRI Directory suggests range of recovery efficiency ratings for new Gas DHW units of 70-87%. Average of existing units is estimated at 78%

[264] Assumptions from PA TRM. Area values were calculated from average dimensions of several commercially available units, with radius values measured to the center of the insulation. Area includes tank sides and top to account for typical wrap coverage.

[265] Assumptions from PA TRM. A_{EE} was calculated by assuming that the water heater wrap is a 2" thick fiberglass material.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

Savings for this measure are assumed to be evenly spread across the year.

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \Delta Therms/365.25$$

Where:

ΔTherms	= Gas savings from tanks wrap insulation
365.25	= Number of days per year

The table above contains default Peak Therm savings for various tank capacity and pre and post R-values.

EXAMPLE

For example, a 30 gallon gas DHW tank with an R-value of 14 before installation is installed and an R-value of 24 after installation is installed, with defaults from above, would save:

ΔPeakTherms = 3.3/365.25

= 0.0092 therms

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HWE-WRAP-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2023

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.4 Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning (HVAC)

2.4.1 Central Air Source Heat Pump

DESCRIPTION

A heat pump provides heating or cooling by moving heat between indoor and outdoor air.

This measure characterizes:

a) Time of Sale:

   i. The installation of a new residential sized (≤ 65,000 Btu/hr) central air source heat pump that is more efficient than required by federal standards. This could relate to the replacement of an existing unit at the end of its useful life, or the installation of a new system in a new home.

b) Early Replacement:

   i. The early removal of functioning electric heating and cooling (if present) systems from service, prior to the natural end of life, and replacement with a new high efficiency central air source heat pump unit. Savings are calculated between existing unit and efficient unit consumption during the remaining life of the existing unit, and between new baseline unit and efficient unit consumption for the remainder of the measure life.

   ii. In order to apply Early Replacement savings, the existing unit must be functioning and SEER ≤10. "Functioning" is defined as being fully operational – providing sufficient space conditioning (i.e., heat exchanger, compressors, pumps work effectively) and/or the cost of repair is under 20% of the new baseline replacement cost. Therefore, in order to apply early replacement assumptions, the programs should apply the following eligibility criteria: SEER ≤10 and cost of any repairs <$276 per ton.

Quality Installation:

VEIC has reviewed information provided by HVAC SAVE practitioners and several evaluations of HVAC equipment and quality installation programs in other jurisdictions. VEIC commends HVAC SAVE for promoting measurement- and science-based product selection and commissioning and moving contractors toward best practice, however the savings numbers produced when using field measurements to directly adjust rated efficiencies are much higher than expected and can be significantly beyond what we consider feasible. Without a well-designed, rigorous, and independent Iowa evaluation of the program, VEIC recommends using a savings factor from the IPL TRM in lieu of field measured numbers. Evaluations in other jurisdictions have found savings similar to those predicted by algorithms using rated efficiencies (AFUE, SEER, HSPF) alone without conversion efficiency modifiers. We acknowledge that using a standard factor removes the incentive for contractors to squeeze maximum savings out of each installation, but we do not feel there is enough independently evaluated evidence to support the level of *annual* savings being suggested by the field measurements during a single site visit, and so VEIC strongly recommends evaluation of the program that would allow the potential for future modification of the algorithms.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, EREP.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

A new residential sized (≤ 65,000 Btu/hr) central air source heat pump with specifications to be determined by program.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

Time of Sale: The baseline is a new residential sized (≤ 65,000 Btu/hr) central air source heat pump meeting federal standards. The current Federal Standard efficiency level as of January 1, 2015 is 14 SEER and 8.2HSPF.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Early replacement: The baseline is the efficiency of the existing equipment for the assumed remaining useful life of the unit and the new baseline as defined above for the remainder of the measure life.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected equipment measure life is assumed to be 18 years[266]. Quality installation savings are assumed to last the time of the equipment because they come from the selection of fans and ducts, as well as airflow and other settings that do not change through normal operation of the equipment.

Remaining life of existing equipment is assumed to be 6 years[267].

DEEMED MEASURE COST

Time of sale: The incremental capital cost for this measure is dependent on the efficiency and capacity of the new unit[268]. Note these costs are per ton of unit capacity:

Efficiency (SEER)	Incremental Cost per Ton of Capacity ($/ton)
15	$170
16	$340
17	$529
18	$710

Early replacement: The full install cost for this measure is the actual cost of removing the existing unit and installing the new one. If this is unknown, assume the following (note these costs are per ton of unit capacity)[269]:

Efficiency (SEER)	Full Retrofit Cost (including labor) per Ton of Capacity ($/ton)
15	$2,544
16	$3,120
17	$3,309
18	$3,614

Assumed deferred cost (after 6 years) of replacing existing equipment with new baseline unit is assumed to be $2,355 per ton of capacity[270]. This cost should be discounted to present value using the utilities' discount rate[271].

Quality Installation: The additional design and installation work associated with quality installation has been estimated to take 1-2 hours (Tim Hanes, ESI, Personal Communication, November 4, 2015). At $40/hr, QI adds $60 to the installed cost.

[266] Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, June 2007.
[267] Assumed to be one third of effective useful life.
[268] Costs based upon average cost per ton from "2010-2012 WA017 Ex Ante Measure Cost Study Draft Report", Itron, February 28, 2014. Note SEER 17 and 18 are extrapolated from other data points.
[269] Costs based upon average cost per ton from "2010-2012 WA017 Ex Ante Measure Cost Study Draft Report", Itron, February 28, 2014.
[270] Costs based upon average cost per ton from "2010-2012 WA017 Ex Ante Measure Cost Study Draft Report", Itron, February 28, 2014.
[271] Costs provided have not been adjusted for inflation and therefore should be discounted using a Real Discount Rate (RDR) rather than a nominal one.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE12 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Time of sale:

$$\Delta kWh = \left[\frac{EFLH_{cool} * Capacity_{Cool} * \left(\frac{1}{(SEER_{base} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(SEER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))}\right)}{1000}\right] + \left[\frac{EFLH_{Heat} * Capacity_{Heat} * \left(\frac{1}{(HSPF_{base} * (1 - DeratingHeat_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(HSFP_{ee} * (1 - DeratingHeat_{eff}))}\right)}{1000}\right]$$

Early replacement[272]:

ΔkWH for remaining life of existing unit (1st 6 years):

$$\Delta kWh = \left[\frac{EFLH_{cool} * Capacity_{Cool} * \left(\frac{1}{(SEER_{exist} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(SEER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))}\right)}{1000}\right] + \left[\frac{EFLH_{Heat} * Capacity_{Heat} * \left(\frac{1}{(HSPF_{exist} * (1 - DeratingHeat_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(HSFP_{ee} * (1 - DeratingHeat_{eff}))}\right)}{1000}\right]$$

ΔkWH for remaining measure life (next 12 years):

$$\Delta kWh = \left[\frac{EFLH_{cool} * Capacity_{Cool} * \left(\frac{1}{(SEER_{base} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(SEER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))}\right)}{1000}\right] + \left[\frac{EFLH_{Heat} * Capacity_{Heat} * \left(\frac{1}{(HSPF_{base} * (1 - DeratingHeat_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(HSFP_{ee} * (1 - DeratingHeat_{eff}))}\right)}{1000}\right]$$

Where:

$EFLH_{cool}$ = Equivalent Full Load Hours of air conditioning

[272] The two equations are provided to show how savings are determined during the initial phase of the measure (existing to efficient) and the remaining phase (new baseline to efficient). In practice, the screening tools used may either require a First Year savings (using the first equation), and then a "number of years to adjustment" and "savings adjustment" input that would be the (new base to efficient savings)/(existing to efficient savings).

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

= Dependent on location[273]:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	$EFLH_{cool}$ (Hours)					
	Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	548	918	504	736	508	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	279	468	257	375	259	441
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	484	811	445	650	449	764

$Capacity_{Cool}$ = Cooling capacity of Air Source Heat Pump (Btu/hr)

= Actual (where 1 ton = 12,000Btu/hr)

$SEER_{base}$ = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio (SEER) of baseline Air Source Heat Pump (kBtu/kWh)

= 14[274]

$SEER_{ee}$ = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio (SEER) of efficient Air Source Heat Pump (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual

$SEER_{exist}$ = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio (SEER) of existing cooling system (kBtu/kWh)

= Use actual SEER rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate

Existing Cooling System	SEER_exist[275]
Air Source Heat Pump	9.12
Central AC	8.60
No central cooling[276]	Set '1/SEER_exist' = 0

$DeratingCool_{eff}$ = Efficent ASHP Cooling derating

= 0% if Quality Installation is performed
= 10.5% if Quality Installation is not performed[277]

$DeratingCool_{base}$ = Baseline ASHP Cooling derating

= 10.5%

$EFLH_{Heat}$ = Equivalent Full Load Hours of heating

= Dependent on location[278]:

[273] Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from National Climatic Data Center, NCDC).
[274] Based on Minimum Federal Standard effective 1/1/2015.
[275] Average nameplate efficiencies of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren IL PY3-PY4 (2010-2012). The utilities should collect this information if possible to inform a future update.
[276] If there is no central cooling in place but the incentive encourages installation of a new ASHP with cooling, the added cooling load should be subtracted from any heating benefit.
[277] Based on Cadmus assumption in IPL TRM– results in a QI savings that is within a feasible range.
[278] Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Heating Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Climate Zone (City based upon)	$EFLH_{Heat}$ (Hours)					
	Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	1922	2022	1389	1643	1797	2137
Zone 6 (Mason City)	2732	2874	1975	2335	2554	3037
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	2160	2272	1561	1846	2019	2401

$Capacity_{Heat}$ = Heating capacity of Air Source Heat Pump (Btu/hr)

= Actual (where 1 ton = 12,000Btu/hr)

$HSPF_{Base}$ = Heating System Performance Factor (HSPF) of baseline Air Source Heat Pump (kBtu/kWh)

= 8.2[279]

HSFP_ee = Heating System Performance Factor (HSPF) of efficient Air Source Heat Pump

(kBtu/kWh)

= Actual

$HSPF_{Exist}$ = Heating System Performance Factor (HSPF) of existing heating system (kBtu/kWh)

= Use actual HSPF rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. If not available, use:

Existing Heating System	HSPF_exist
Air Source Heat Pump	5.44 [280]
Electric Resistance	3.41 [281]

$DeratingHeat_{eff}$ = Efficent ASHP Heating derating

= 0% if Quality Installation is performed
= 11.8% if Quality Installation is not performed[282]

$DeratingHeat_{base}$ = Baseline ASHP Heating derating

= 11.8%

[279] Based on Minimum Federal Standard effective 1/1/2015.
[280] This is estimated based on finding the average HSPF/SEER ratio from the AHRI directory data (using the least efficient models – SEER 12 and SEER 13) – 0.596, and applying to the average nameplate SEER rating of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren, IL PY3-PY4 (2010-2012). This estimation methodology appears to provide a result within 10% of actual HSPF.
[281] Electric resistance has a COP of 1.0, which equals 1/0.293 = 3.41 HSPF.
[282] Based on Cadmus assumption in IPL TRM– results in a QI savings that is within a feasible range.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Time of Sale:

For example, for a three ton, 15 SEER, 12 EER, 9 HSPF Air Source Heat Pump installed with quality installation in an existing single family home in Des Moines:

ΔkWh = ((811 * 36,000 * (1/(14 * (1-10.5%)) - 1/(15 * (1-0%)))) / 1000) + ((2272 * 36,000 * (1/(8.2 * (1-11.8%)) - 1/(9 * (1-0%)))) / 1000)

= 2604.8 kWh

For example, for a three ton, 15 SEER, 12 EER, 9 HSPF Air Source Heat Pump installed without quality installation in an existing single family home in Des Moines:

ΔkWh = ((811 * 36,000 * (1/(14 * (1-10.5%)) - 1/(15 * (1-10.5%)))) / 1000) + ((2272 * 36,000 * (1/(8.2 * (1-11.8%)) - 1/(9 * (1-11.8%)))) / 1000)

= 1160.6 kWh

Early Replacement:

For example, for a three ton, 15 SEER, 12 EER, 9 HSPF Air Source Heat Pump that replaces an existing working Air Source Heat Pump using quality installation with unknown efficiency ratings in Des Moines:

ΔkWH for remaining life of existing unit (1st 6 years):

= ((811 * 36,000 * (1/(9.12 * (1-10.5%)) - 1/(15 * (1-0%)))) / 1000) + ((2272 * 36,000 * (1/(5.44 * (1-11.8%)) - 1/(9 * (1-0%)))) / 1000)

= 9589.3 kWh

ΔkWH for remaining measure life (next 12 years):

= ((811 * 36,000 * (1/(14 * (1-10.5%)) - 1/(15 * (1-0%)))) / 1000) + ((2272 * 36,000 * (1/(8.2 * (1-11.8%)) - 1/(9 * (1-0%)))) / 1000)

= 2604.8 kWh

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

Time of sale:

$$\Delta kW = \left[\frac{Capacity_{Cool} * \left(\frac{1}{(EER_{base} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(EER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))}\right)}{1000}\right] * CF$$

Early replacement[283]:

ΔkW for remaining life of existing unit (1st 6 years):

[283] The two equations are provided to show how savings are determined during the initial phase of the measure (existing to efficient) and the remaining phase (new baseline to efficient). In practice, the screening tools used may either require a First Year savings (using the first equation) and then a "number of years to adjustment" and "savings adjustment" input that would be the (new base to efficient savings)/(existing to efficient savings).

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

$$\Delta kW = \left[\frac{Capacity_{Cool} * \left(\frac{1}{(EER_{exist} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(EER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))}\right)}{1000}\right] * CF$$

ΔkW for remaining measure life (next 12 years):

$$\Delta kW = \left[\frac{Capacity_{Cool} * \left(\frac{1}{(EER_{base} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(EER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))}\right)}{1000}\right] * CF$$

Where:

EER_{base} = Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of baseline Air Source Heat Pump (kBtu/hr / kW)

= 11.8 [284]

EER_{ee} = Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of baseline Air Source Heat Pump (kBtu/hr / kW)

= Actual - If not provided, convert SEER to EER using this formula:[285]

= $(-0.02 * SEER^2) + (1.12 * SEER)$

EER_{exist} = Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of existing cooling system (kBtu/hr / kW)

= Use actual EER rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. If EER unknown but SEER available, convert using the equation:

$EER_base = (-0.02 * SEER_base^2) + (1.12 * SEER)$

If SEER rating unavailable, use:

Existing Cooling System	EER_exist[286]
Air Source Heat Pump	8.55
Central AC	8.15
No central cooling[287]	Set '1/EER_exist' = 0

CF = Summer system peak Coincidence Factor for cooling

= 97%[288]

[284] The Federal Standard does not include an EER requirement, so it is approximated with this formula: $(-0.02 * SEER^2) + (1.12 * SEER)$; Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder. Note: this is appropriate for single speed units only.

[285] Based on Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder. Note: this is appropriate for single speed units only.

[286] Average nameplate efficiencies of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren, IL PY3-PY4 (2010-2012).

[287] If there is no central cooling in place but the incentive encourages installation of a new ASHP with cooling, the added cooling load should be subtracted from any heating benefit.

[288] Based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Time of Sale:

For example, for a three ton, 15 SEER, 12.5 EER, 9 HSPF Air Source Heat Pump installed with quality installation in Des Moines:

ΔkW = ((36,000 * (1/(11.8 * (1 – 10.5%)) – 1/(12.5 * (1 – 0%)))) / 1000) * 97%

= 0.5129 kW

For example, for a three ton, 15 SEER, 12.5 EER, 9 HSPF Air Source Heat Pump installed without quality installation in Des Moines:

ΔkW = ((36,000 * (1/(11.8 * (1 – 10.5%)) – 1/(12.5 * (1 – 10.5%)))) / 1000) * 97%

= 0.1852 kW

Early Replacement:

For example, for a three ton, 15 SEER, 12.5 EER, 9 HSPF Air Source Heat Pump that replaces an existing working Air Source Heat Pump with quality installation and with unknown efficiency ratings in Des Moines:

ΔkW for remaining life of existing unit (1st 6 years):

= ((36,000 * (1/(8.55 * (1 – 10.5%)) - 1/(12.5 * (1 – 0%)))) / 1000) * 97%

= 1.7698 kW

ΔkW for remaining measure life (next 12 years):

= ((36,000 * (1/(11.8 * (1 – 10.5%)) – 1/(12.5 * (1 – 0%)))) / 1000) * 97%

= 0.5129 kW

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-ASHP-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2019

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.4.2 Central Air Conditioner

DESCRIPTION

This measure characterizes:

a) Time of Sale:

   i. The installation of a new high efficiency residential Central Air Conditioner ducted split system. This could relate to the replacement of an existing unit at the end of its useful life, or the installation of a new system in a new home. The characterization can be used for both residential sized units (< 65,000 Btu/hr) and larger units (≥65,000 and <135,000 Btu/hr).

b) Early Replacement:

   i. The early removal of an existing inefficient Central Air Conditioner unit from service, prior to its natural end of life, and replacement with a new qualifying unit. Savings are calculated between existing unit and efficient unit consumption during the remaining life of the existing unit, and between new baseline unit and efficient unit consumption for the remainder of the measure life.

   ii. In order to apply Early Replacement savings, the existing unit must be functioning and SEER ≤10. "Functioning" is defined as being fully operational – providing sufficient space conditioning (i.e., heat exchanger, compressors, pumps work effectively) and/or the cost of repair is under 20% of the new baseline replacement cost. Therefore, in order to apply early replacement assumptions, the programs should apply the following eligibility criteria: SEER ≤10 and cost of any repairs <$212 per ton.

Quality Installation:

VEIC has reviewed information provided by HVAC SAVE practitioners and several evaluations of HVAC equipment and quality installation programs in other jurisdictions. VEIC commends HVAC SAVE for promoting measurement- and science-based product selection and commissioning and moving contractors toward best practice, however the savings numbers produced when using field measurements to directly adjust rated efficiencies are much higher than expected and can be significantly beyond what we consider feasible. Without a well-designed, rigorous, and independent Iowa evaluation of the program, VEIC recommends using a savings factor from the IPL TRM in lieu of field measured numbers. Evaluations in other jurisdictions have found savings similar to those predicted by algorithms using rated efficiencies (AFUE, SEER, HSPF) alone without conversion efficiency modifiers. We acknowledge that using a standard factor removes the incentive for contractors to squeeze maximum savings out of each installation, but we do not feel there is enough independently evaluated evidence to support the level of *annual* savings being suggested by the field measurements during a single site visit, and so VEIC strongly recommends evaluation of the program that would allow the potential for future modification of the algorithms.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, EREP. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

In order for this characterization to apply, the efficient equipment is assumed to be a ducted split Central Air Conditioner unit meeting or exceeding the minimum efficiency standards set by the utility and at least ≥14 SEER and 11.5 EER (note the v5 ENERGY STAR efficiency level standards: 15 SEER and 12.5 EER[289]).

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline for the Time of Sale measure is based on the current Federal Standard efficiency level: 13 SEER and

[289] Version 5.0 ENERGY STAR specifications, effective September 15, 2015.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

11.2 EER[290] for units <65,000 Btu/hr or 11.4 IEER and 11.2 EER for units ≥65,000 Btu/hr[291].

The baseline for the early replacement measure is the efficiency of the existing equipment for the assumed remaining useful life of the unit and the new baseline as defined above[292] for the remainder of the measure life.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected equipment measure life is assumed to be 18 years [293]. Quality installation savings are assumed to last the lifetime of the equipment because they come from the selection of fans and ducts, as well as airflow and other settings that do not change through normal operation of the equipment.

Remaining life of existing equipment is assumed to be 6 years[294].

DEEMED MEASURE COST

Time of sale: The incremental capital cost for this measure is dependent on equipment size and efficiency. Assumed costs per ton of cooling capacity are provided below[295]:

Efficiency Level (SEER)	Incremental Cost per Ton of Capacity ($/ton)
14	$95
15	$181
16	$273
17	$365
18	$458
19	$550
20	$642
21	$734

Early replacement: The full install cost for this measure is the actual cost of removing the existing unit and installing the new one. If this is unknown, assume the following (note these costs are per ton of unit capacity)[296]:

Efficiency Level (SEER)	Full Retrofit Cost per Ton of Capacity ($/ton)
14	$2,286
15	$2,403
16	$2,495
17	$2,588
18	$2,680
19	$2,772
20	$2,864

[290] The federal Standard does not currently include an EER component. The value is approximated based on the SEER standard (13) and equals EER 11.2. To perform this calculation we are using this formula: $(-0.02 * SEER^2) + (1.12 * SEER)$ (from Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder).

[291] Based on IECC 2012 requirements.

[292] Baseline SEER and EER should be updated when new minimum federal standards become effective.

[293] Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, June 2007. The "lifespan" of a central air conditioner is about 15 to 20 years (US DOE: http://www.energysavers.gov/your_home/space_heating_cooling/index.cfm/mytopic=12440).

[294] Assumed to be one third of effective useful life.

[295] Costs based upon average cost per ton from "2010-2012 WA017 Ex Ante Measure Cost Study Draft Report", Itron, February 28, 2014. Note SEER 17 and 18 are extrapolated from other data points..

[296] Costs based upon average cost per ton from "2010-2012 WA017 Ex Ante Measure Cost Study Draft Report", Itron, February 28, 2014.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Efficiency Level (SEER)	Full Retrofit Cost per Ton of Capacity ($/ton)
21	$2,956

Assumed deferred cost (after 6 years) of replacing existing equipment with new baseline unit is assumed to be $2,185[297]. This cost should be discounted to present value using the utilities' discount rate[298].

Quality Installation: The additional design and installation work associated with quality installation has been estimated to take 1-2 hours (Tim Hanes, ESI, Personal Communication, November 4, 2015). At $40/hr, QI adds $60 to the installed cost.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE11 - Residential Single Family Cooling

Loadshape RE11 - Residential Multi-family Cooling

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Time of sale:

For units with cooling capacities less than 65 kBtu/hr:

$$\Delta kWh = \left[\frac{EFLH_{cool} * Capacity_{Coolee} * \left(\frac{1}{(SEER_{base} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(SEER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))}\right)}{1000}\right]$$

For units with cooling capacities equal to or greater than 65 kBtu/hr:

$$\Delta kWh = \left[\frac{EFLH_{cool} * Capacity_{Coolee} * \left(\frac{1}{(IEER_{base} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(IEER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))}\right)}{1000}\right]$$

Early replacement[299]:

[297] Costs based upon average cost per ton from "2010-2012 WA017 Ex Ante Measure Cost Study Draft Report", Itron, February 28, 2014.

[298] Costs provided have not been adjusted for inflation and therefore should be discounted using a Real Discount Rate (RDR) rather than a nominal one.

[299] The two equations are provided to show how savings are determined during the initial phase of the measure (existing to efficient) and the remaining phase (new baseline to efficient). In practice, the screening tools used may either require a First Year savings (using the first equation) and then a "number of years to adjustment" and "savings adjustment" input that would be the (new base to efficient savings)/(existing to efficient savings).

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

For units with cooling capacities less than 65 kBtu/hr:

ΔkWH for remaining life of existing unit (1st 6 years):

$$\Delta kWh = \left[\frac{EFLH_{cool} * \left(Capacity_{Coolexist} * \frac{1}{(SEER_{exist} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))}\right) - \left(Capacity_{Coolee} * \frac{1}{(SEER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))}\right)}{1000}\right]$$

ΔkWH for remaining measure life (next 12 years):

$$\Delta kWh = \left[\frac{EFLH_{cool} * Capacity_{Coolee} * \left(\frac{1}{(SEER_{base} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(SEER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))}\right)}{1000}\right]$$

For units with cooling capacities equal to or greater than 65 kBtu/hr:

ΔkWH for remaining life of existing unit (1st 6 years):

$$\Delta kWh = \left[\frac{EFLH_{cool} * \left(Capacity_{Coolexist} * \frac{1}{(IEER_{exist} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))}\right) - \left(Capacity_{Coolee} * \frac{1}{(IEER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))}\right)}{1000}\right]$$

ΔkWH for remaining measure life (next 12 years):

$$\Delta kWh = \left[\frac{EFLH_{cool} * Capacity_{Coolee} * \left(\frac{1}{(IEER_{base} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(IEER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))}\right)}{1000}\right]$$

Where:

$EFLH_{cool}$ = Equivalent Full Load Hours for cooling

= Dependent on location[300]:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	$EFLH_{cool}$ (Hours)					
	Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	548	918	504	736	508	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	279	468	257	375	259	441
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	484	811	445	650	449	764

$Capacity_{Coolee}$ = Cooling capacity of new equipment in Btu/hr (note 1 ton = 12,000Btu/hr)

= Actual installed - If actual size unknown, assume 36,000

[300] Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

$Capacity_{Coolexist}$ = Cooling capacity of existing equipment in Btu/hr (note 1 ton = 12,000Btu/hr)

= Actual - If actual size unknown, assume same as new installed unit

SEERbase = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio (SEER) of baseline unit (kBtu/kWh)

= 13[301]

SEERexist = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio (SEER) of existing unit (kBtu/kWh)

= Use actual SEER rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. If unknown, assume:

Existing Cooling System	SEER_exist[302]
Air Source Heat Pump	9.12
Central AC	8.60

SEERee = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio (SEER) of efficient unit (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual installed or 15 if ENERGY STAR

$Derating_{eff}$ = Efficent Central Air Conditioner Cooling derating

= 0% if Quality Installation is performed

= 10.5% if Quality Installation is not performed[303]

$Derating_{base}$ = Baseline Central Air Conditioner Cooling derating

= 10.5%

IEERbase = Integrated Energy Efficiency Ratio (IEER) of baseline unit (kBtu/kWh)

= 11.4[304]

IEERexist = Integrated Energy Efficiency Ratio (IEER) of existing unit (kBtu/kWh)

= Use actual IEER rating where it is possible to measure, or reasonably estimate

IEERee = Integrated Energy Efficiency Ratio (IEER) of efficient unit (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual installed

[301] Based on Minimum Federal Standard; http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/residential/residential_cac_hp.html.
[302] Average nameplate efficiencies of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren IL PY3-PY4 (2010-2012). The utilities should collect this information if possible to inform a future update.
[303] Based on Cadmus assumption in IPL TRM– results in a QI savings that is within a feasible range.
[304] Based on IECC 2012 requirements.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Time of sale:

For a 3 ton unit with SEER rating of 15, in unknown location with quality installation:

ΔkWH = (811 * 36,000 * (1/(13 * (1-10.5%)) – 1/(15 * (1-0%)))) / 1000

= 562.9 kWh

For a 3 ton unit with SEER rating of 15, in unknown location without quality installation:

ΔkWH = (811 * 36,000 * (1/(13 * (1-10.5%)) – 1/(15 * (1-10.5%)))) / 1000

= 334.6 kWh

Early replacement:

For a 3 ton unit, with SEER rating of 15 replacing an existing unit with quality installation with unknown efficiency in a single family home in Burlington, IA:

ΔkWH(for first 6 years) = (918 * 36,000 * (1/(10* (1-10.5%)) – 1/(15 * (1-0%)) / 1000

= 1,489.3 kWh

ΔkWH(for next 12 years) = (918 * 36,000 * (1/(13* (1-10.5%)) – 1/(15 * (1-0%)) / 1000

= 637.2 kWh

Therefore, record a savings adjustment of 43% (637.2/1489.3) after 6 years.

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

Time of sale:

$$\Delta kW = \left[\frac{Capacity_{Coolee} * \left(\frac{1}{(EER_{base} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(EER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))}\right)}{1000}\right] * CF$$

Early replacement[305]:

ΔkW for remaining life of existing unit (1st 6 years):

$$\Delta kW = \left[\frac{\left(Capacity_{Coolexist} * \frac{1}{(EER_{exist} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))}\right) - \left(Capacity_{Coolee} * \frac{1}{(EER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))}\right)}{1000}\right] * CF$$

ΔkW for remaining measure life (next 12 years):

[305] The two equations are provided to show how savings are determined during the initial phase of the measure (existing to efficient) and the remaining phase (new baseline to efficient). In practice, the screening tools used may either require a First Year savings (using the first equation) and then a "number of years to adjustment" and "savings adjustment" input that would be the (new base to efficient savings)/(existing to efficient savings).

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

$$\Delta kW = \left[\frac{Capacity_{Coolee} * \left(\frac{1}{(EER_{base} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(EER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))}\right)}{1000} * CF\right]$$

Where:

EER_{base} = Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of baseline unit

= 11.2 [306]

EER_{exist} = Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of existing unit

= Actual EER of unit should be used - If EER is unknown, use 9.2[307]

EER_{ee} = Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of efficient unit

= Actual installed - Or 12.5 if ENERGY STAR

CF = Summer system peak Coincidence Factor for cooling

= 97%[308]

Time of sale:

For a 3 ton unit with EER rating of 12.5 installed with quality installation in unknown location:

ΔkW = (36,000 * (1/(11.2 * (1 – 10.5%)) – 1/(12.5 * (1 – 0%)))) / 1000 * 0.97

= 0.6900 kW

For a 3 ton unit with EER rating of 12.5 installed with quality installation in unknown location:

ΔkW = (36,000 * (1/(11.2 * (1 – 10.5%)) – 1/(12.5 * (1 – 10.5%)))) / 1000 * 0.97

= 0.3623 kW

Early replacement:

For a 3 ton unit, with EER rating of 12 replacing an existing unit with unknown efficiency in a single family home in Burlington, IA:

ΔkW (for first 6 years) = (36,000 * (1/(9.2 * (1 – 10.5%)) – 1/(12.5 * (1 – 0%)))) / 1000 * 0.97

= 1.4474 kW

ΔkW (for next 12 years) = (36,000 * (1/(11.2 * (1 – 10.5%)) – 1/(12.5 * (1 – 0%)))) / 1000 * 0.97

= 0.6900 kW

[306] The federal Standard does not currently include an EER component. The value is approximated based on the SEER standard (13) and equals EER 11.2. To perform this calculation, we are using this formula: (-0.02 * $SEER^2$) + (1.12 * SEER) (from Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder).

[307] Based on SEER of 10,0, using formula above to give 9.2 EER.

[308] Based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-CAC-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2019

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.4.3 Boiler

DESCRIPTION

High efficiency boilers achieve most gas savings through the use of a sealed combustion chamber and multiple heat exchangers that remove a significant portion of the waste heat from flue gases. Because multiple heat exchangers are used to remove waste heat from the escaping flue gases, some of the flue gases condense and must be drained.

This measure characterizes:

a) Time of Sale:

   i. The installation of a residential sized (<300,000 Btuh/h) new high efficiency, gas-fired hot water boiler in a residential location. This could relate to the replacement of an existing unit at the end of its useful life, or the installation of a new system in a new home.

b) Early Replacement:

   i. The early removal of an existing functional boiler from service, prior to its natural end of life, and replacement with a residential sized (<300,000 Btuh/h) new high efficiency unit. Savings are calculated between existing unit and efficient unit consumption during the remaining life of the existing unit, and between new baseline unit and efficient unit consumption for the remainder of the measure life.

   ii. In order to apply Early Replacement savings, the existing unit must be functioning and AFUE ≤75%. "Functioning" is defined as being fully operational – providing sufficient space conditioning (i.e. heat exchanger, compressors, pumps work effectively) and/or the cost of repair is under 20% of the new baseline replacement cost. Therefore in order to apply early replacement assumptions the programs should apply the following eligibility criteria: AFUE ≤75% and cost of any repairs <$767 per ton.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, EREP. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure the installed Boiler must be a residential sized (<300,000 Btuh/h) unit that meets or exceeds the efficiency requirements determined by the program.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

Time of sale: The baseline equipment for this measure is a new residential sized (<300,000 Btuh/h), gas-fired, standard-efficiency water boiler. The current Federal Standard minimum AFUE rating is 82%.

Early replacement: The baseline for this measure is the efficiency of the existing equipment for the assumed remaining useful life of the unit and the new baseline as defined above for the remainder of the measure life.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 25 years[309].

Early replacement: Remaining life of existing equipment is assumed to be 8 years[310].

DEEMED MEASURE COST

Time of sale: The incremental install cost for this measure is provided below, dependent on efficiency[311]:

[309] Federal Appliance Standards, Chapter 8.3 of DOE Technical Support Documents, Table 8.3.3.

[310] Assumed to be one third of effective useful life.

[311] Based on data provided in Federal Appliance Standards, Chapter 8.3, of DOE Technical Support Documents; Table 8.5.6 LCC

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

AFUE	Full Install Cost	Incremental Install Cost
82%	$3,835	N/A
85%	$4,468	$633
86%	$5,264	$1,429
87%	$5,276*	$1,441
88%	$5,397*	$1,562
89%	$5,518*	$1,683
90%	$5,638*	$1,803
91%	$5,583	$1,748
92%	$5,734*	$1,899
93%	$5,885*	$2,050
94%	$6,036*	$2,201
95%	$6,188*	$2,353
96%	$6,339*	$2,504
97%	$6,490*	$2,655
98%	$6,641*	$2,806
99%	$6,792	$2,957

Early Replacement: The full installation cost is provided in the table above. The assumed deferred cost (after 8 years) of replacing existing equipment with a new baseline unit is assumed to be $3,835. This cost should be discounted to present value using the utilities' discount rate[312].

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RG01 – Residential Boiler

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

N/A

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

N/A

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Time of Sale:

$$\Delta Therms = \frac{EFLH * Capacity * \left(\frac{AFUE_{eff}}{AFUE_{base}} - 1\right)}{100{,}000}$$

and PBP Results for Hot-Water Gas Boilers (High Cost). Where efficiency ratings are not provided, the values are interpolated from those that are and market with an *. See "Boiler_DOE Chapter 8.xls" for more information.

[312] Costs provided have not been adjusted for inflation and therefore should be discounted using a Real Discount Rate (RDR) rather than a nominal one.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Early replacement[313]:

ΔTherms for remaining life of existing unit (1st 8 years):

$$= \frac{EFLH * Capacity * \left(\frac{AFUE_{eff}}{AFUE_{exist}} - 1\right)}{100{,}000}$$

ΔTherms for remaining measure life (next 17 years):

$$= \frac{EFLH * Capacity * \left(\frac{AFUE_{eff}}{AFUE_{base}} - 1\right)}{100{,}000}$$

Where:

EFLH = Equivalent Full Load Hours for heating

= Dependent on location[314]:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH (Hours)		
	Single Family	Multifamily	Manufactured
Zone 5 (Burlington)	611	657	635
Zone 6 (Mason City)	868	934	903
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	686	738	714

Capacity = Nominal heating input capacity boiler size (Btu/hr) for efficient unit not existing unit

= Actual

$AFUE_{exist}$ = Existing boiler Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency (AFUE) rating

= Use actual AFUE rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate -

If unknown, assume 61.6 AFUE%[315]

$AFUE_{base}$ = Baseline boiler Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency (AFUE) rating

= 82%

$AFUE_{eff}$ = Efficent boiler Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency (AFUE) rating

= Actual

[313] The two equations are provided to show how savings are determined during the initial phase of the measure (existing to efficient) and the remaining phase (new baseline to efficient). In practice, the screening tools used may either require a First Year savings (using the first equation) and then a "number of years to adjustment" and "savings adjustment" input that would be the (new base to efficient savings)/(existing to efficient savings).

[314] Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

[315] Average nameplate efficiencies of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren, IL PY3-PY4 (2010-2012). The utilities should collect this information if possible to inform a future update.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Time of Sale:

For example, for a 100,000 Btuh 88% AFUE boiler purchased and installed for existing home in Des Moines:

ΔTherms = (686 * 100000 * (0.88/0.82 – 1))/100000

= 50.2 Therms

Early Replacement:

For example, for an existing functioning boiler with unknown efficiency that is replaced with a 100,000 Btuh, 88% AFUE boiler purchased and installed in Des Moines:

ΔTherms for remaining life of existing unit (1st 8 years):

= (686 * 100000 * (0.88/0.616 – 1))/100000

= 294.0 Therms

ΔTherms for remaining measure life (next 17 years):

= (686 * 100000 * (0.88/0.82 – 1))/100000

= 50.2 Therms

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \Delta Therms * GCF$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above

GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for heating[316]

= 0.014378 for Residential Boiler

Time of Sale:

For example, for a 100,000 Btuh 88% AFUE boiler purchased and installed for existing home in Des Moines:

ΔTherms = 50.2 * 0.014378

= 0.7128 Therms

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-GHEB-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2022

[316] Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.4.4 Furnace

DESCRIPTION

This measure covers the installation of a residential sized (<225,000 Btuh/h) high efficiency gas furnace in a residential application. High efficiency gas furnaces achieve savings through the use of a sealed, super insulated combustion chamber, more efficient burners, and multiple heat exchangers that remove a significant portion of the waste heat from the flue gases. Because multiple heat exchangers are used to remove waste heat from the escaping flue gases, most of the flue gases condense and must be drained. Furnaces equipped with ECM fan motors can save additional electric energy. The ECM furnace fan is a separate measure.

This measure characterizes:

a) Time of Sale:

   i. The installation of a new residential sized (<225,000 Btuh/h) high efficiency, gas-fired furnace in a residential location. This could relate to the replacement of an existing unit at the end of its useful life, or the installation of a new system in a new home.

b) Early Replacement:

   i. The early removal of an existing functional furnace from service, prior to its natural end of life, and replacement with a new residential sized (<225,000 Btuh/h) high efficiency unit. Savings are calculated between existing unit and efficient unit consumption during the remaining life of the existing unit, and between new baseline unit and efficient unit consumption for the remainder of the measure life.

   ii. In order to apply Early Replacement savings, the existing unit must be functioning and AFUE ≤75%. "Functioning" is defined as being fully operational – providing sufficient space conditioning (i.e. heat exchanger, compressors, pumps work effectively) and/or the cost of repair is under 20% of the new baseline replacement cost. Therefore, in order to apply early replacement assumptions the programs should apply the following eligibility criteria: AFUE ≤75% and cost of any repairs <$408 per ton.

Quality Installation:

VEIC has reviewed information provided by HVAC SAVE practitioners and several evaluations of HVAC equipment and quality installation programs in other jurisdictions. VEIC commends HVAC SAVE for promoting measurement- and science-based product selection and commissioning and moving contractors toward best practice, however the savings numbers produced when using field measurements to directly adjust rated efficiencies are much higher than expected and can be significantly beyond what we consider feasible. Without a well-designed, rigorous, and independent Iowa evaluation of the program, VEIC recommends using a savings factor from the IPL TRM in lieu of field measured numbers. Evaluations in other jurisdictions have found savings similar to those predicted by algorithms using rated efficiencies (AFUE, SEER, HSPF) alone without conversion efficiency modifiers. We acknowledge that using a standard factor removes the incentive for contractors to squeeze maximum savings out of each installation, but we do not feel there is enough independently evaluated evidence to support the level of *annual* savings being suggested by the field measurements during a single site visit, and so VEIC strongly recommends evaluation of the program that would allow the potential for future modification of the algorithms.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, EREP. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure, the installed equipment must be a furnace with input energy < 225,000 Btu/hr rated natural gas fired furnace with an Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency (AFUE) rating that meets program standards.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline for this measure is an AFUE rating of 85%[317].

DEFINITION OF MEASURE LIFE

The expected equipment measure life is assumed to be 20 years[318]. Quality installation savings are assumed to last the time of the equipment because they come from the selection of fans and ducts, as well as airflow and other settings that do not change through normal operation of the equipment.

For early replacement: Remaining life of existing equipment is assumed to be 6 years[319].

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental capital cost for this measure depends on efficiency as listed below[320]:

AFUE	Full Install Cost	Incremental Install Cost
85%	$2,392	N/A
86%	$2,461*	$69
87%	$2,530*	$138
88%	$2,599*	$207
89%	$2,668*	$276
90%	$2,737	$345
91%	$2,848*	$456
92%	$2,915*	$523
93%	$3,249*	$857
94%	$3,449*	$1,057
95%	$3,649*	$1,257
96%	$3,894	$1,502

Early Replacement: The full installation cost is provided in the table above. The assumed deferred cost (after 6 years) of replacing existing equipment with a new baseline unit is assumed to be $2,737[321]. This cost should be discounted to present value using the utilities' discount rate[322].

Quality Installation: The additional design and installation work associated with quality installation has been estimated to take 1-2 hours (Tim Hanes, ESI, Personal Communication, November 4, 2015). At $40/hr, QI adds $60 to the installed cost.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE10 - Residential Single Family Central Heat

[317] The Federal Standard of 80% is inflated to 85% for Furnaces to account for significant market demand above the Federal minimum. This is based upon agreement of the Technical Advisory Committee, reviewing information from other jurisdictions and in lieu of Iowa-specific information.

[318] Federal Appliance Standards, Chapter 8.3 of DOE Technical Support Documents, Table 8.3.3.

[319] Assumed to be one third of effective useful life

[320] Based on data provided in Federal Appliance Standards, Chapter 8.3 of DOE Technical Support Documents, Table 8.5.1 LCC and PBP Results for Non-weatherized Gas Furnaces. Where efficiency ratings are not provided, the values are interpolated from those that are and market with an *. See "Furnace_DOE Chapter 8.xls" for more information.

[321] This assumes that by the time the existing unit would need to be replaced (in 6 years), the new Federal Standard will be in place that makes the baseline 90% (as was rescinded in 2012).

[322] Costs provided have not been adjusted for inflation and therefore should be discounted using a Real Discount Rate (RDR) rather than a nominal one.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Loadshape RG04 – Residential Other Heating

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

N/A. See Furnace Blower Motor

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

N/A

NATURAL GAS ENERGY SAVINGS

Time of Sale:

$$\Delta Therms = \frac{\frac{EFLH * Capacity}{(1 - Derating_{eff})} * \left(\frac{AFUE_{eff} * (1 - Derating_{eff})}{AFUE_{base} * (1 - Derating_{base})} - 1\right)}{100{,}000}$$

Early replacement[323]:

ΔTherms for remaining life of existing unit (1st 6 years):

$$= \frac{\frac{EFLH * Capacity}{(1 - Derating_{eff})} * \left(\frac{AFUE_{eff} * (1 - Derating_{eff})}{AFUE_{exist} * (1 - Derating_{base})} - 1\right)}{100{,}000}$$

ΔTherms for remaining measure life (next 14 years):

$$= \frac{\frac{EFLH * Capacity}{(1 - Derating_{eff})} * \left(\frac{AFUE_{eff} * (1 - Derating_{eff})}{AFUE_{base} * (1 - Derating_{base})} - 1\right)}{100{,}000}$$

Where:

EFLH = Equivalent Full Load Hours for heating

= Dependent on location[324]:

[323] The two equations are provided to show how savings are determined during the initial phase of the measure (existing to efficient) and the remaining phase (new baseline to efficient). In practice, the screening tools used may either require a First Year savings (using the first equation) and then a "number of years to adjustment" and "savings adjustment" input that would be the (new base to efficient savings)/(existing to efficient savings).

[324] Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH (Hours)					
	Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	473	545	330	463	402	558
Zone 6 (Mason City)	673	774	469	658	572	793
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	532	612	371	520	452	627

Capacity = Nominal heating input capacity furnace size (Btu/hr) for efficient unit not existing unit

= Actual

$AFUE_{exist}$ = Existing furnace Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency (AFUE) rating

= Use actual AFUE rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate - If unknown, assume 64.4 AFUE%[325]

$AFUE_{base}$ = Baseline furnace Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency (AFUE) rating

= 85%

$AFUE_{eff}$ = Efficent furnace Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency (AFUE) rating

= Actual

$Derating_{eff}$ = Efficent furnace AFUE derating

= 0% if Quality Installation is performed

= 6.4% if Quality Installation is not performed[326]

$Derating_{base}$ = Baseline furnace AFUE derating

= 6.4%[327]

[325] Average nameplate efficiencies of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren, IL PY3-PY4 (2010-2012). The utilities should collect this information if possible to inform a future update.
[326] Based on findings from Building America, US Department of Energy, Brand, Yee and Baker "Improving Gas Furnace Performance: A Field and Laboratory Study at End of Life", February 2015.
[327] As above

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Time of Sale:

For example, for an 80,000 Btuh 95% AFUE furnace purchased and installed with quality installation for an existing home in Des Moines:

ΔTherms = ((612 * 80000)/(1 - 0%) * (((0.95 * (1 – 0%)) / (0.85 * (1 – 6.4%))) – 1)/100000

= 95.0 Therms

For example, for an 80,000 Btuh 95% AFUE furnace purchased and installed without quality installation for an existing home in Des Moines:

ΔTherms = ((612 * 80000)/(1 – 6.4%) * (((0.95 * (1 – 6.4%)) / (0.85 * (1 – 6.4%))) – 1)/100000

= 61.5 Therms

Early Replacement:

For example, for an existing functioning furnace with unknown efficiency that is replaced with an 80,000 Btuh, 95% AFUE furnace using quality installation in Des Moines:

ΔTherms for remaining life of existing unit (1st 6 years):

= ((612 * 80000)/(1 - 0%) * (((0.95 * (1 – 0%)) / (0.644 * (1 – 6.4%))) – 1)/100000

= 282.0 Therms

ΔTherms for remaining measure life (next 14 years):

= ((612 * 80000)/(1 - 0%) * (((0.95 * (1 – 0%)) / (0.85 * (1 – 6.4%))) – 1)/100000

= 95.0 Therms

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \Delta Therms * GCF$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above

GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for heating[328]

= 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

Time of Sale:

For example, for an 80,000 Btuh 95% AFUE furnace purchased and quality installed in an existing home in Des Moines:

ΔTherms = 95.0 * 0.016525

= 1.57 Therms

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

[328] Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-FRNC-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2019

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.4.5 Furnace Blower Motor

DESCRIPTION

A new furnace with a brushless permanent magnet furnace blower motor (BPM) (also known as an Electronically Commutated Motor (ECM)) is installed instead of a new furnace with a lower efficiency motor. This measure characterizes only the electric savings associated with the fan and could be coupled with gas savings associated with a more efficient furnace. Savings decrease sharply with static pressure, so duct improvements and design, and clean, low pressure drop filters can maximize savings. Savings improve when the blower is used for cooling as well as when it is used for continuous ventilation, but only if the non-BPM motor would have been used for continuous ventilation as well. If the resident runs the BPM blower continuously because it is a more efficient motor and would not run a non-BPM motor in the same way, savings are near zero and possibly negative. This characterization uses a 2009 Focus on Energy study of BPM blower motor savings in Wisconsin, which accounted for the effects of this behavioral impact.

This measure also includes a section accounting for the interactive effect of reduced waste heat on the heating loads.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

A furnace with a brushless permanent magnet (BPM) blower motor, also known by the trademark ECM, BLDC, and other names.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

A furnace with a non-BPM blower motor.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 20 years[329].

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The capital cost for this measure is assumed to be $97[330] if a stand-alone measure or $0 if coupled with 2.3.4 Furnace measure, since incremental cost of a fan will be included in that measure cost.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE11 - Residential Single Family Cooling

Loadshape RE10 - Residential Single Family Central Heat

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = Heating\ Savings + Cooling\ Savings + Shoulder\ Season\ Savings$$

[329] Consistent with assumed life of a new gas furnace. Federal Appliance Standards, Chapter 8.3 of DOE Technical Support Documents, Table 8.3.3.

[330] Adapted from Tables 8.2.3 and 8.2.13 in Technical Support Documents for Federal residential appliance standards: "Chapter 8, Life-Cycle Cost and Payback Period Analysis", 2011. This is for new furnaces, not retrofitting an existing furnace.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Where:

Heating Savings = Blower motor savings during heating season[331]

			Heating Savings (kWh)		
Building Type	Vintage	End Use	Des Moines	Burlington	Mason City
Manufactured	Existing	Heat Central Furnace	301.6	268.4	381.5
Manufactured	New	Heat Central Furnace	217.4	193.5	275.0
Multifamily	Existing	Heat Central Furnace	250.1	222.6	316.4
Multifamily	New	Heat Central Furnace	178.5	158.8	225.7
Single-family	Existing	Heat Central Furnace	294.4	262.0	372.4
Single-family	New	Heat Central Furnace	255.9	227.7	323.7
Residential[332]	Residential	Heat Central Furnace	290.0		

Cooling Savings = Blower motor savings during cooling season

If home has Central AC:

			Cooling Savings with CAC (kWh)		
Building Type	Vintage	End Use	Des Moines	Burlington	Mason City
Manufactured	Existing	Cool Central	252.3	266.2	208.0
Manufactured	New	Cool Central	209.2	217.3	183.1
Multifamily	Existing	Cool Central	236.7	248.5	199.0
Multifamily	New	Cool Central	208.6	216.7	182.8
Single-family	Existing	Cool Central	258.8	273.5	211.7
Single-family	New	Cool Central	214.0	222.7	185.9
Residential	Residential	Cool Central	256.5		

If No Central AC = 147.6 kWh[333]

If unknown[334]:

			Cooling Savings, if cooling unknown (kWh)		
Building Type	Vintage	End Use	Des Moines	Burlington	Mason City
Manufactured	Existing	Cool Central	237.6	249.4	199.5
Manufactured	New	Cool Central	200.5	207.4	178.1
Multifamily	Existing	Cool Central	224.1	234.2	191.7
Multifamily	New	Cool Central	200.0	206.9	177.8
Single-family	Existing	Cool Central	243.1	255.7	202.7
Single-family	New	Cool Central	204.6	212.1	180.5
Residential	Residential	Cool Central	241.1		

[331] To estimate heating, cooling, and shoulder season savings for Iowa, VEIC adapted results from a 2009 Focus on Energy study of BPM blower motor savings in Wisconsin. This study included effects of behavior change based on the efficiency of new motor greatly increasing the amount of people that run the fan continuously. The savings from the Wisconsin study were adjusted to account for different equivalent full load hour assumptions for Iowa. See: FOE to IA Blower Savings.xlsx.

[332] Where location and home type is unknown.

[333] These savings are for those homes that use the fan on continuous mode (13% of households) from Focus on Energy study.

[334] The weighted average value is based on assumption that 86% of homes installing BPM furnace blower motors have Central AC. Using the formula from Note 1 in Table B-2 in the FOE study, and assuming that before the furnace purchase, purchasing households have the statewide average CAC penetration, and that the percent of purchasers that add CAC during the purchase is the same in IA as WI.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Shoulder Season Savings = Blower motor savings during shoulder seasons

= 24.3 kWh

Using default values above the total savings are provided below:

		Total Savings (kWh)								
		With CAC			No CAC			Unknown CAC		
Building Type	Vintage	Des Moines	Burlington	Mason City	Des Moines	Burlington	Mason City	Des Moines	Burlington	Mason City
Manufactured	Existing	578.2	558.9	613.8	473.5	440.3	553.4	563.5	542.1	605.3
Manufactured	New	450.9	435.1	482.5	389.3	365.4	447.0	442.2	425.3	477.4
Multifamily	Existing	511.1	495.4	539.7	422.0	394.5	488.3	498.6	481.2	532.5
Multifamily	New	411.4	399.8	432.9	350.4	330.7	397.7	402.8	390.0	427.9
Single-family	Existing	577.5	559.8	608.4	466.3	433.9	544.3	561.8	542.0	599.4
Single-family	New	494.2	474.8	533.9	427.8	399.6	495.6	484.8	464.2	528.5
Residential	Residential	570.8			462.0			555.5		

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \left(\frac{NoACCooling\ Savings}{Cooling\ Season\ Hours} + \frac{Cooling\ Savings - NoACCooling\ Savings}{FLH_cooling}\right) * CF$$

Where:

NoACCooling Savings = kWh savings in cooling season for homes without cooling

= 147.6 kWh

Cooling Season Hours = Total hours during cooling season

= 2952[335]

Cooling Savings = kWh savings in cooling season for homes with cooling

= See tables above

FLH_cooling = Full load hours of air conditioning

= Dependent on location[336]:

		Cooling Load Hours—EFLHc		
Building Type	Vintage	Des Moines	Burlington	Mason City
Manufactured	Existing	764	865	441
Manufactured	New	449	508	259
Multifamily	Existing	650	736	375
Multifamily	New	445	504	257
Single-family	Existing	811	918	468
Single-family	New	484	548	279
Residential	Residential	794		

CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling

= 97%[337]

[335] Based on 123 days where CDD 65>0, multiplied by 24.
[336] Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).
[337] Based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Using default values above the total savings are provided below:

		Total Savings (kW)		
Building Type	Vintage	With CAC	No CAC	Unknown CAC
All	All	0.1815	0.0663	0.1627

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta Therms^{338} = -\frac{Heating\ Savings\ *\ 0.03412}{AFUE}$$

Where:

0.03412 = Converts kWh to therms

AFUE = Efficiency of the furnace

= Actual. If unknown assume 95%[339]

Using default values above the total savings are provided below:

		Total Savings (Therms)		
Building Type	Vintage	Des Moines	Burlington	Mason City
Manufactured	Existing	- 10.8	- 9.6	- 13.7
Manufactured	New	- 7.8	- 6.9	- 9.9
Multifamily	Existing	- 9.0	- 8.0	- 11.4
Multifamily	New	- 6.4	- 5.7	- 8.1
Single-family	Existing	- 10.6	- 9.4	- 13.4
Single-family	New	- 9.2	- 8.2	- 11.6
Residential	Residential	- 10.4		

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \Delta Therms\ *\ GCF$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above

GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for heating[340]

= 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

		Total Savings (Peak Therms)		
Building Type	Vintage	Des Moines	Burlington	Mason City
Manufactured	Existing	-0.179	-0.159	-0.226
Manufactured	New	-0.129	-0.115	-0.163
Multifamily	Existing	-0.148	-0.132	-0.188
Multifamily	New	-0.106	-0.094	-0.134
Single-family	Existing	-0.175	-0.155	-0.221
Single-family	New	-0.152	-0.135	-0.192
Residential	Residential	-0.172		

[338] The blower fan is in the heating duct, so all, or very nearly all, of its waste heat is delivered to the conditioned space. This is a negative value, since this measure will increase the heating load due to reduced waste heat.
[339] Minimum ENERGY STAR efficiency after 2/1/2012.
[340] Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-FBMT-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2023

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.4.6 Geothermal Source Heat Pump

DESCRIPTION

This measure characterizes the installation of an ENERGY STAR qualified Geothermal Source Heat Pump (GSHP) either during new construction or at Time of Sale/Replacement of an existing system(s). The baseline is always assumed to be a new baseline Air Source Heat Pump (ASHP). Savings are realized due to the GSHP providing heating and cooling more efficiently than a baseline ASHP, and where a desuperheater is installed, additional Domestic Hot Water (DHW) savings are realized due to displacing existing water heating.

Quality Installation:

VEIC has reviewed information provided by HVAC SAVE practitioners and several evaluations of HVAC equipment and quality installation programs in other jurisdictions. VEIC commends HVAC SAVE for promoting measurement- and science-based product selection and commissioning and moving contractors toward best practice, however the savings numbers produced when using field measurements to directly adjust rated efficiencies are much higher than expected and can be significantly beyond what we consider feasible. Without a well-designed, rigorous, and independent Iowa evaluation of the program, VEIC recommends using a savings factor from the IPL TRM in lieu of field measured numbers. Evaluations in other jurisdictions have found savings similar to those predicted by algorithms using rated efficiencies (AFUE, SEER, HSPF) alone without conversion efficiency modifiers. Geothermal Source heat pump performance is also strongly affected by the group loop design and pumps. These must be part of quality installations and future evaluations. We acknowledge that using a standard factor removes the incentive for contractors to squeeze maximum savings out of each installation, but we do not feel there is enough independently evaluated evidence to support the level of *annual* savings being suggested by the field measurements during a single site visit, and so VEIC strongly recommends evaluation of the program that would allow the potential for future modification of the algorithms.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

In order for this characterization to apply, the efficient equipment must be a Geothermal Source Heat Pump unit meeting the minimum ENERGY STAR efficiency level standards effective at the time of installation as detailed below:

ENERGY STAR Requirements (Effective January 1, 2012)

Product Type	Cooling EER	Heating COP
Water-to-air		
Closed Loop	17.1	3.6
Open Loop	21.1	4.1
Water-to-Water		
Closed Loop	16.1	3.1
Open Loop	20.1	3.5
DGX	16	3.6

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

New Construction:

The baseline equipment is assumed to be an Air Source Heat Pump meeting the Federal Standard efficiency level: 14 SEER, 8.2 HSPF, and 11.8[341] EER. If a desuperheater is installed, the baseline for DHW savings is assumed to be a

[341] The Federal Standard does not include an EER requirement, so it is approximated with this formula: $(-0.02 * SEER^2) + (1.12 * SEER)$ Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Federal Standard electric hot water heater, with Energy Factor calculated as follows[342]:

For ≤55 gallons: EF = 0.96 – (0.0003 * rated volume in gallons)

For >55 gallons: EF = 2.057 – (0.00113 * rated volume in gallons)

If size is unknown, assume 50 gallon; 0.945 EF.

Time of Sale:

The baseline equipment is assumed to be an Air Source Heat Pump meeting the Federal Standard efficiency level: 14 SEER, 8.2 HSPF, and 11.8 EER. If a desuperheater is installed, the baseline for DHW savings is assumed to be the existing home's hot water heater fuel and efficiency.

If electric DHW, and unknown efficiency – assume efficiency is equal to pre 4/2015 Federal Standard:

EF = 0.93 – (0.00132 * rated volume in gallons)[343]

If size is unknown, assume 50 gallon; 0.864 EF

If gas water heater, and unknown efficiency – assume efficiency is equal to pre 04/2015 Federal Standard:

EF = (0.67 – 0.0019 * rated volume in gallons)[344].

If size is unknown, assume 40 gallon; 0.594 EF

If DHW fuel is unknown, assume electric DHW provided above.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected equipment measure life is assumed to be 25 years[345]. Quality installation savings are assumed to last the time of the equipment because they come from the selection of fans and ducts, as well as airflow and other settings that do not change through normal operation of the equipment.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

New Construction and Time of Sale: The actual installed cost of the Geothermal Source Heat Pump should be used (default of $3,957 per ton[346]), minus the assumed installation cost of the baseline equipment ($1,381 per ton of capacity[347] for ASHP).

Quality Installation: The additional design and installation work associated with quality installation has been estimated to take 1-2 hours (Tim Hanes, ESI, Personal Communication, November 4, 2015). At $40/hr, QI adds $60 to the installed cost.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE12 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Loadshape RE15 – Residential Single Family Water Heat (Electric)

Loadshape RG07 – Residential Water Heat (Gas)

---

[342] Minimum Federal Standard as of 4/1/2015; http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2012-title10-vol3/pdf/CFR-2012-title10-vol3-sec430-32.pdf

[343] Federal Standard from 2004 until 2015, Federal Register Vol. 66, No. 11/1/17/2001, page 4497.

[344] Federal Standard from 2004 until 2015, Federal Register Vol. 66, No. 11/1/17/2001, page 4497.

[345] System life of indoor components as per DOE estimate: http://energy.gov/energysaver/articles/geothermal-heat-pumps. The ground loop has a much longer life, but the compressor and other mechanical components are the same as an ASHP (based on Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, June 2007).

[346] Based on data provided in 'Results of Home geothermal and air source heat pump rebate incentives documented by IL electric cooperatives'.

[347] 'Results of Home geothermal and air source heat pump rebate incentives documented by IL electric cooperatives'.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = [Cooling\ savings] + [Heating\ savings] + [DHW\ savings]$$

$$= \left[\frac{EFLH_{Cool} * Capacity_{Cool} * \left(PLF_{Cool} * \left(\frac{1}{(EER_{Base} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(EER_{EE-PL} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))}\right) + FLF_{Cool} * \left(\frac{1}{(EER_{Base} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{EER_{EE-FL} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff})}\right)\right)}{1000}\right]$$

$$+ \left[\frac{EFLH_{Heat} * Capacity_{Heat} * \left(PLF_{Heat} * \left(\frac{1}{(HSPF_{Base} * (1 - DeratingHeat_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(COP_{EE-PL} * 3.412 * (1 - DeratingHeat_{eff}))}\right) + FLF_{Heat} * \left(\frac{1}{(HSPF_{Base} * (1 - DeratingHeat_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(COP_{EE-FL} * 3.412 * (1 - DeratingHeat_{eff}))}\right)\right)}{1000}\right]$$

$$+ \left[\frac{ElecDHW * \%DHWDisp * \frac{1}{EF_{ELEC}} * GPD * Household * 365.25 * \gamma Water * (T_{OUT} - T_{IN}) * 1.0}{3412}\right]$$

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Where:

$EFLH_{Cool}$ = Equivalent Full Load Hours for cooling

= Dependent on location[348]:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	$EFLH_{Cool}$ (Hours)					
	Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	548	918	504	736	508	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	279	468	257	375	259	441
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	484	811	445	650	449	764

$Capacity_{Cool}$ = Cooling capacity of Geothermal Source Heat Pump (Btu/hr)

= Actual (1 ton = 12,000 Btu/hr)

PLF_{Cool} = Part load cooling mode operation

= 0.85[349] if variable speed GSHP

= 0 if single/constant speed GSHP

FLF_{Cool} = Equivalent full load cooling mode operation factor

= 0.15 if variable speed GSHP

= 1 if single/constant speed GSHP

EER_{Base} = Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of new baseline ASHP unit

= 11.8[350]

EER_{EE-PL} = Part load Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of GSHP unit

= Actual installed

EER_{EE-FL} = Full load Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of GSHP unit

= Actual installed

$DeratingCool_{eff}$ = Efficent GSHP cooling derating

= 0% if Quality Installation is performed

= 10.5% if Quality Installation is not performed[351]

$Derating_{base}$ = Baseline GSHP cooling derating

= 10.5%

$EFLH_{Heat}$ = Equivalent Full Load Hours for heating

[348] Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

[349] Based on Cadmus analysis of the relationship between part- and full-load capacities from building simulations of BEopt (Building Energy Optimization) to generate the energy models. The models were calibrated using Cadmus metered data of 13 high efficiency multi-stage GSHP models functioning in both part- and full-loads.

[350] The Federal Standard does not include an EER requirement, so it is approximated with the conversion formula from Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder.

[351] Based on Cadmus assumption in IPL TRM– results in a QI savings that is within a feasible range.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

= Dependent on location[352]:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	$EFLH_{Heat}$ (Hours)					
	Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	1,922	2,022	1,389	1,643	1,797	2,137
Zone 6 (Mason City)	2,732	2,874	1,975	2,335	2,554	3,037
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	2,160	2,272	1,561	1,846	2,019	2,401

$Capacity_{Heat}$ = Full load heating capacity of Geothermal Source Heat Pump (Btu/hr)

= Actual (1 ton = 12,000 Btu/hr)

PLF_{Heat} = Part load heating mode operation

= 0.5[353] if variable speed GSHP

= 0 if single/constant speed GSHP

FLF_{Heat} = Full load heating mode operation factor

= 0.5 if variable speed GSHP

= 1 if single/constant speed GSHP

$HSPF_{Base}$ =Heating System Performance Factor (HSPF) of new replacement baseline heating system (kBtu/kWh)

= 8.2[354]

COP_{EE-PL} = Part load Coefficient of Performance of efficient unit

= Actual Installed

COP_{EE-FL} = Full load Coefficient of Performance of efficient unit

= Actual Installed

$DeratingHeat_{eff}$ = Efficent GSHP heating derating

= 0% if Quality Installation is performed

= 11.8% if Quality Installation is not performed[355]

$DeratingHeat_{base}$ = Baseline GSHP heating derating

= 11.8%

3.412 = Constant to convert the COP of the unit to the Heating Season Performance Factor (HSPF)

ElecDHW = 1 if existing DHW is electrically heated

[352] Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).
[353] Based on Cadmus analysis of the relationship between part- and full-load capacities from building simulations of BEopt (Building Energy Optimization) to generate the energy models. The models were calibrated using Cadmus metered data of 13 high efficiency multi-stage GSHP models functioning in both part- and full-loads.
[354] Minimum Federal Standard as of 1/1/2015; http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2012-title10-vol3/pdf/CFR-2012-title10-vol3-sec430-32.pdf
[355] Based on Cadmus assumption in IPL TRM– results in a QI savings that is within a feasible range.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

= 0 if existing DHW is not electrically heated

%DHWDisp = Percentage of total DHW load that the GSHP will provide

= Actual if known

= If unknown and if desuperheater installed, assume 44%[356]

= 0% if no desuperheater installed

EF_{ELEC} = Energy Factor (efficiency) of electric water heater

New Construction = Actual - If unknown, assume federal standard[357]:

For ≤55 gallons: 0.96 – (0.0003 * rated volume in gallons)

For >55 gallons: 2.057 – (0.00113 * rated volume in gallons)

If size is unknown, assume 50 gallon; 0.945EF

Existing Homes = Actual - If unknown, assume pre 4/2015 Federal Standard[358]:

0.93 – (0.00132 * rated volume in gallons)

If size is unknown, assume 50 gallon; 0.864 EF

GPD = Gallons Per Day of hot water use per person

= 45.5 gallons hot water per day per household/2.59 people per household[359]

= 17.6

Household = Average number of people per household

Household Unit Type	Household [360]
Manufactured	1.96
Single-Family - Deemed	2.12
Multifamily - Deemed	1.4
Custom	Actual Occupancy or Number of Bedrooms[361]

365.25 = Days per year

γWater = Specific weight of water

= 8.33 pounds per gallon

T_{OUT} = Tank temperature

= 126.5°F [362]

[356] Assumes that the desuperheater can provide two thirds of hot water needs for eight months of the year (2/3 * 2/3 = 44%). Based on input from Doug Dougherty, Geothermal Exchange Organization.
[357] Minimum Federal Standard as of 4/1/2015; http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2012-title10-vol3/pdf/CFR-2012-title10-vol3-sec430-32.pdf
[358] Federal Standard from 2004 until 2015, Federal Register Vol. 66, No. 11/1/17/2001, page 4497.
[359] Deoreo, B., and P. Mayer. Residential End Uses of Water Study Update. Forthcoming. ©2015 Water Research Foundation. Reprinted With Permission.
[360] Average household size by building type and water heater fuel type based on the 2007 RASS.
[361] Bedrooms are suitable proxies for household occupancy, and may be preferable to actual occupancy due to turnover rates in residency and non-adult population impacts.
[362] CPUC Residential Retrofit - High Impact Measure Evaluation Report Draft. Dec. 7, 2009. Pg. 76. Average temperature setpoints for two utilities.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

T_{IN} = Incoming water temperature from well or municiplal system

= 56.5[363]

1.0 = Heat Capacity of water (1 Btu/lb*°F)

3412 = Conversion from Btu to kWh

For example, for a 3 ton GSHP unit with 20 Part Load EER, 18 Full Load EER and 4.4 Part Load COP, 3.4 Full Load COP with desuperheater installed with quality installation with a 50 gallon electric water heater in a new construction single family house in Burlington, IA:

ΔkWh = [(548 * 36,000 * (0.85 * (1/(11.8 * (1-0.105)) – 1/(20 * (1-0)) + 0.15 * (1/(11.8 * (1-0.105)) – 1/(18 * (1-0)))) / 1000] + [(1922 * 36,000 * (0.5 * (1/(8.2 * (1-0.118)) – 1/(4.4*3.412 * (1-0))) + 0.5 * (1/(8.2 * (1-0.118)) – 1/(3.4*3.412 * (1-0))))) / 1000] + [(1 * 0.44 * 1/0.945 * 17.6 * 2.126 *365.25 * 8.33 * (126.5-56.5) * 1)/3412]

= 847.8 + 4280.3 + 1087.5

= 6215.6 kWh

For example, for a 3 ton GSHP unit with 20 Part Load EER, 18 Full Load EER and 4.4 Part Load COP, 3.4 Full Load COP with desuperheater installed without quality installation with a 50 gallon electric water heater in a new construction single family house in Burlington, IA:

ΔkWh = [(548 * 36,000 * (0.85 * (1/(11.8 * (1-0.105)) – 1/(20 * (1-0.105)) + 0.15 * (1/(11.8 * (1-0.105)) – 1/(18 * (1-0.105)))) / 1000] + [(1922 * 36,000 * (0.5 * (1/(8.2 * (1-0.118)) – 1/(4.4*3.412 * (1-0.11.8))) + 0.5 * (1/(8.2 * (1-0.118)) – 1/(3.4*3.412 * (1-0.118))))) / 1000] + [(1 * 0.44 * 1/0.945 * 17.6 * 2.126 *365.25 * 8.33 * (126.5-56.5) * 1)/3412]

= 733.0 + 3573.0 + 1087.5

= 5393.5 kWh

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \left[\frac{Capacity_{Cool} * \left(\frac{1}{(EER_{base} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(EER_{EE-FL} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))}\right)}{1000}\right] * CF$$

Where:

EERbase = Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of new baseline unit

= 11.8[364]

EER_{FL} = Full load Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of ENERGY STAR GSHP unit

= Actual

CF = Summer system peak Coincidence Factor for cooling

[363] Averaged monthly water main temperature calculated using the methodology provided in Building America Research Benchmark Definition, updated December 2009. Pg.19-20. http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy10osti/47246.pdf; water main temperature represents the average of TMY3 data from all Class I stations located in Des Moines, IA.

[364] The Federal Standard does not include an EER requirement, so it is approximated with the conversion formula from Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

= 97%[365]

For example, for a 3 ton unit with Full Load EER rating of 18 installed with quality installation in a new construction single family house in Burlington, IA:

ΔkW = ((36,000 * (1/(11.8 * (1-0.105)) – 1/(18 * (1-0))))/1000) * 0.97

= 1.3665 kW

For example, for a 3 ton unit with Full Load EER rating of 18 installed with quality installation in a new construction single family house in Burlington, IA:

ΔkW = ((36,000 * (1/(11.8 * (1-0.105)) – 1/(18 * (1-0.105))))/1000) * 0.97

= 1.1389 kW

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

DHW savings for homes with existing gas hot water:

$$\Delta Therms = [DHW\ Savings]$$

$$= \frac{(1 - ElecDHW) * \%DHWDisp * \frac{1}{EF_{Gas}} * GPD * Household * 365.25 * \gamma Water * (T_{OUT} - T_{IN}) * 1.0}{100{,}000}$$

Where:

EF_{GAS} = Energy Factor (efficiency) of gas water heater

New Construction = Actual - If unknown, assume federal standard[366]:

For ≤55 gallons: 0.675 – (0.0015 * tank_size)

For > 55 gallons: 0.8012 – (0.00078 * tank size)

If tank size unknown assume 40 gallons; 0.615 EF

Existing Homes = Actual - If unknown, assume pre 4/2015 Federal Standard[367]:

(0.67 – 0.0019 * rated volume in gallons)

If size is unknown, assume 40 gallon; 0.594 EF

All other variables provided above

For example, for a 3 ton unit with desuperheater installed with a 40 gallon gas water heater in a new construction single family house in Burlington, IA:

ΔTherms = ((1-0) * 0.44 * 1/0.615 * 17.6 * 2.126 *365.25 * 8.33 * (126.5-56.5) * 1) / 100000

= 57.0 Therms

[365] Based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.
[366] Minimum Federal Standard as of 4/1/2015;
http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2012-title10-vol3/pdf/CFR-2012-title10-vol3-sec430-32.pdf
[367] Federal Standard from 2004 until 2015, Federal Register Vol. 66, No. 11/1/17/2001, page 4497
http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/residential/pdfs/water_heater_fr.pdf

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \Delta Therms * GCF$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above

GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for water heating

= 0.002952 for Residential Water Heating

For example, for a 3 ton unit with desuperheater installed with a 40 gallon gas water heater in a new construction single family house in Burlington, IA:

ΔPeakTherms = 57.0 * 0.002952

= 0.1683 therms

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-GSHP-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2019

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.4.7 Ductless Heat Pumps

DESCRIPTION

This measure is designed to calculate electric savings for supplementing existing electric HVAC systems with ductless heat pumps. Existing systems can include: electric resistance heating or ducted Air Source Heat Pumps (ASHP). For ducted ASHPs, cooling savings are also possible if there is an existing air conditioning system. Note this measure does not describe savings from displacement of gas heating. In such circumstances a custom calculation should be performed.

Savings are achieved by displacing some of the heating or cooling load currently provided by the existing system and meeting that load with the more efficient ductless heat pump instead. The offset of the home's heating load is likely for the milder heating periods. The limitations on heating offset increase as the outdoor temperature drops, because the DHP capacity decreases, and the point-source nature of the heater is less able to satisfy heating loads in remote rooms.

For cooling, the proposed savings calculations are aligned with those of typical replacement systems. In most cases, the DHP is expected to replace (rather than offset) a comparable amount of cooling in homes with electric resistance heat—at a much higher efficiency than the previously used cooling.

In order for this measure to apply, the control strategy for the heat pump is assumed to be chosen to maximize savings per installer recommendation.[368]

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

In order for this characterization to apply, the new equipment must be a high-efficiency, variable-capacity (typically "inverter-driven" DC motor) ductless heat pump system that exceeds the current Federal Standard. This means the unit must meet or exceed 8.2 HSPF (heating mode) and 14 SEER (cooling mode)[369].

This measure only applies to the *first* ductless heat pump installed in a single family residence[370].

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

In order for this characterization to apply, baseline equipment must include a permanent electric resistance heating source or a ducted ASHP. Existing cooling equipment is assumed to be standard efficiency. Note that in order to claim cooling savings, there must be an existing air conditioning system.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 18 years[371].

---

[368] The whole purpose of installing ductless heat pumps is to conserve energy, so the installer can be assumed to be capable of recommending an appropriate controls strategy. For most applications, the heating setpoint for the ductless heat pump should be at least 2F higher than any remaining existing system and the cooling setpoint for the ductless heat pump should be at least 2F cooler than the existing system (this should apply to all periods of a programmable schedule, if applicable). This helps ensure that the ductless heat pump will be used to meet as much of the load as possible before the existing system operates to meet the remaining load. Ideally, the new ductless heat pump controls should be set to the current comfort settings, while the existing system setpoints should be adjusted down (heating) and up (cooling) to capture savings.

[369] Minimum Federal Standard as of 1/1/2015;
http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2012-title10-vol3/pdf/CFR-2012-title10-vol3-sec430-32.pdf

[370] Additional heat pumps will achieve additional savings, but not as much as the first one.

[371] Measure Life Report: Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, Inc., June 2007

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for this measure is provided below: [372]

Unit Capacity (BTU/h)	Equivalent Capacity (tons)	Total Installation Cost
12,000	1.00	$3,051
15,000	1.25	$4,093
18,000	1.50	$5,182
20,000	1.67	$5,897
22,000	1.83	$6,637
24,000	2.00	$7,310
28,000	2.33	$8,209
35,000	2.92	$10,814

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE08 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Algorithms

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Electric savings

$$\Delta kWh = \Delta kWh_{heat} + \Delta kWh_{cool}$$

$$\Delta kWh_{heat} = PLD * AHHL * \left(\frac{1}{HSPF_{exist}} - \frac{1}{HSPF_{ee}}\right) * 3.413$$

$$\Delta kWh_{cool} = \left[\frac{Capacity_{Cool} * \left(\frac{1}{SEER_{exist}} - \frac{1}{SEER_{ee}}\right) * EFLH_{cool}}{1000}\right]$$

Where:

PLD = Percent Load Displaced. The average total annual heating load displaced from the existing heating system and now provided by the ductless heat pump.

Note: Savings are very dependent upon the assumed operation of the unit. Energy savings is maximized when the heat pump is operated continuously to displace as much of the heat load as possible. However, factors such as sizing design decisions by the installing contractor as well as occupant operating tendencies can result in suboptimal operation that may not maximize savings. It is not unrealistic to see PLD's ranging from 30% to 90%, depending on how the seat pump system is sized and operated. If possible, this value should be estimated on a case by case basis using contractor specified information regarding sizing design (maximum possible heating load displaced) and making considerations for how the occupants operate the existing system and plan to operate the

[372] Cadmus, Opinion Dynamics; 'PY7 HVAC and Ductless Mini-Split Heat Pump Incremental Cost Analysis' memo for Ameren Illinois, dated September 4, 2015.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

new heat pump. If unavailable the following defaults can be used[373]:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	1-ton	1.5-ton	2-ton
5 (Burlington)	31%	47%	48%
6 (Mason City)	26%	39%	39%
Average/unknown (Des Moines)	27%	40%	42%

AHHL = Annual Household Heating Load, estimate of annual household heating consumption for electrically heated homes[374], see table below. If location and heating type is unknown, assume 12,146 kWh[375].

		Elec_Heating_ Consumption (kWH) by Climate Zone (City based upon)		
Heating System[376]	Building Type	Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average/ unknown (Des Moines)
Air-Source Heat Pump	Manufactured	9,031	12,838	10,148
	Single-family	10,396	14,778	11,682
Ground-Source Heat Pump	Manufactured	5,247	7,459	5,896
	Single-family	6,029	8,571	6,775
Electric Furnace/Baseboard	Manufactured	11,325	16,098	12,725
	Single-family	12,454	17,703	13,994

$HSPF_{ee}$ = HSPF rating of new equipment

= Actual installed

$HSPF_{exist}$ = HSPF rating of existing equipment

Existing Equipment Type	HSPFbase
Electric resistance heating	3.41[377]
Air Source Heat Pump	5.44[378]

[373] PLD values are based upon modeling work performed by GDS Associates on behalf of the Illinois Technical Advisory Committee. Springfield Illinois is used as a proxy for Burlington Iowa, Rockford Illinois for Mason City and Chicago IL for Des Moines based on heating degree day comparisons. Details of the modeling calculations can be found in "IL_DHP bin savings model.xls". An Iowa evaluation on ductless heat pumps is recommended.

[374] Based on Cadmus modeling performed for the 2011 Joint Assessment.

[375] Assumption that 67% of electrically heated homes have electric resistance and 33% have Air Source Heat Pump, based on 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey for Iowa, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls". Assume 80% Single Family and 20% Multi Family, based on 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey for Iowa, see "HC2.9 Structural and Geographic in Midwest Region.xls".

[376] If the home has a Heat Pump, a programmable thermostat specifically designed for heat pumps should be used to minimize the use of backup electric resistance heat systems.

[377] Electric resistance has a COP of 1.0 which equals 1/0.293 = 3.41 HSPF.

[378] This is from the ASHP measure which estimated HSPF based on finding the average HSPF/SEER ratio from the AHRI directory data (using the least efficient models – SEER 12 and SEER 13) – 0.596, and applying to the average nameplate SEER rating of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren PY3-PY4. This estimation methodology appears to provide a result within 10% of actual HSPF.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

$Capacity_{cool}$ = the cooling capacity of the ductless heat pump unit in Btu/hr[379].

= Actual installed

$SEER_{ee}$ = SEER rating of new equipment

= Actual installed[380]

$SEER_{exist}$ = SEER rating of existing equipment

= Use actual value. If unknown, see table below

Existing Cooling System	SEER_exist[381]
Air Source Heat Pump	9.12
Central AC	8.60
Room AC	8.0[382]
No central cooling[383]	Set '1/SEER_exist' = 0

$EFLH_{cool}$ = Equivalent Full Load Hours for cooling. Depends on location. See table below[384].

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Hours[385]
5 (Burlington)	330
6 (Mason City)	168
Average/unknown (Des Moines)	292

For example, installing a 1.5-ton (heating and cooling capacity) ductless heat pump unit rated at 8 HSPF and 14 SEER in a single-family home in Des Moines to displace 40% of the electric baseboard heat load and replace a window air conditioner, savings are:

ΔkWh_{heat}	= 0.40 * 13,994 * (1/3.41 – 1/8) * 3.413	= 3,214 kWh
ΔkWh_{cool}	= (18000 * (1/8 – 1/14) * 292)/1000	= 282 kWh
ΔkWh	= 3,214 + 282	= 3,496 kWh

[379] 1 Ton = 12 kBtu/hr

[380] Note that if only an EER rating is available, a conversion factor of SEER=1.1*EER can be used

[381] Average nameplate efficiencies of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren IL PY3-PY4 (2010-2012). The utilities should collect this information if possible to inform a future update.

[382] Estimated by converting the EER assumption using the conversion equation; EER_base = (-0.02 * $SEER_base^2$) + (1.12 * SEER). From Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder.

[383] If there is no central cooling in place but the incentive encourages installation of a new ASHP with cooling, the added cooling load should be subtracted from any heating benefit.

[384] Residential EFLH for room AC

[385] The average ratio of FLH for Room AC (provided in RLW Report: Final Report Coincidence Factor Study Residential Room Air Conditioners, June 23, 2008: http://www.puc.nh.gov/Electric/Monitoring%20and%20Evaluation%20Reports/National%20Grid/117_RLW_CF%20Res%20RAC.pdf) to FLH for Central Cooling for the same locations (provided by AHRI: http://www.energystar.gov/ia/business/bulk_purchasing/bpsavings_calc/Calc_CAC.xls) is 31%. This factor was applied to the ENERGY STAR FLH for Central Cooling provided for Des Moines, IA to provide an assumption for FLH for Room AC, and adjusted by CDD for the other locations.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \left[\frac{Capacity_{Cool} * \left(\frac{1}{EER_{exist}} - \frac{1}{EER_{ee}}\right) * CF}{1000}\right]$$

Where:

EER_{exist} = Energy Efficiency Ratio of existing cooling system (kBtu/hr / kW)

= Use actual EER rating otherwise:

Existing Cooling System	EER_exist[386]
Air Source Heat Pump	8.55
Central AC	8.15
Room AC	7.7 [387]
No central cooling[388]	Set '1/EER_exist' = 0

EER_{ee} = Energy Efficiency Ratio of new ductless Air Source Heat Pump (kBtu/hr / kW)

= Actual, If not provided convert SEER to EER using this formula:

$EER = (-0.02 * SEER^2) + (1.12 * SEER)$

CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling

= 97%[389]

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Note this measure does not describe savings from displacement of gas heating. In such circumstances a custom calculation should be performed.

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-DSHP-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2019

[386] Average nameplate efficiencies of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren, IL PY3-PY4 program. The utilities should collect this information if possible to inform a future update.
[387] Based on Nexus Market Research Inc, RLW Analytics, December 2005; "Impact, Process, and Market Study of the Connecticut Appliance Retirement Program: Overall Report."
[388] If there is no central cooling in place but the incentive encourages installation of a new ASHP with cooling, the added cooling load should be subtracted from any heating benefit.
[389] Based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.4.8 Energy Recovery Ventilator

DESCRIPTION

An energy recovery ventilator saves energy in a home ventilation system by preconditioning incoming air with heated or cooled exhaust air before it is ventilated outside. An ERV is capable of transferring both sensible and latent heat loads. This measure includes the addition of energy recovery equipment on the HVAC system of a newly constructed home. This measure analyzes the heating and cooling savings potential from recovering energy from exhaust air.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: NC.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient condition is a mechanical ventilation system outfitted with an energy recovery ventilator.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is a mechanical ventilation system without energy recovery capabilities.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life for the domestic energy recovery equipment is 15 years.[390]

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The cost for this measure is assumed to be $1050[391].

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

There are no expected O&M savings associated with this measure, as compared to the O&M costs of a mechanical ventilation system.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE12 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Loadshape RE11 - Residential Single Family Cooling

Loadshape RG01 – Residential Boiler

Loadshape RE10 - Residential Single Family Central Heat

Loadshape RG04 – Residential Other Heating

[390] Assumed service life limited by controls -" Demand Control Ventilation Using CO2 Sensors", pg. 19, by US Department of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy

[391] The average of $800 and $1100, the costs associated with average and high efficiency ERVs as per the Minnesota Sustainable Housing Initiative http://www.mnshi.umn.edu/kb/scale/hrverv.html. $100 was added for incremental installation labor costs.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = (\Delta kWh_cooling + \Delta kWh_heating)$$

ΔkWh_cooling = If central cooling, reduction in annual cooling load due to ERV recovery

For units with cooling capacities less than 65 kBtu/hr:

$$\Delta kWh_{cooling} = \left[\frac{EFLH_{cool} * Capacity_{Cool} * \left(\frac{1}{SEER_{exist}}\right)}{1000}\right] * RF_{cool}$$

For units with cooling capacities equal to or greater than 65 kBtu/hr:

$$\Delta kWh_{cooling} = \left[\frac{EFLH_{cool} * Capacity_{Cool} * \left(\frac{1}{IEER_{exist}}\right)}{1000}\right] * RF_{cool}$$

Where:

$EFLH_{cool}$ = Equivalent Full load cooling hours

= Dependent on location[392]:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	$EFLH_{cool}$ (Hours)	
	Single Family New	Manufactured New
Zone 5 (Burlington)	548	508
Zone 6 (Mason City)	279	259
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	484	449

$Capacity_{Cool}$ = Cooling Capacity of equipment in Btu/hr (note 1 ton = 12,000Btu/hr)

= Actual installed

$SEER_{exist}$ = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of existing unit (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual installed

$IEER_{exist}$ = Integrated Energy Efficiency Ratio of existing unit (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual installed

1000 = Converts Btu to kBtu

RF_{cool} = Recovery factor, expressed as a percentage of total design load reduction for cooling

[392] Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

= 9%[393]

ΔkWh_heating = If electric heat (resistance or heat pump), reduction in annual electric heating due to ERV recovery

$$\Delta kWh_{heating} = \left[\frac{EFLH_{Heat} * Capacity_{Heat} * \left(\frac{1}{HSPF_{exist}}\right)}{1000}\right] * RF_{heat}$$

Where:

$EFLH_{Heat}$ = Equivalent Full load hours of heating

= Dependent on location[394]:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	$EFLH_{Heat}$ (Hours)	
	Single Family New	Manufactured New
Zone 5 (Burlington)	1922	1797
Zone 6 (Mason City)	2732	2554
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	2160	2019

$Capacity_{Heat}$ = Heating Capacity of equipment in (Btu/hr)

= Actual (where 1 ton = 12,000Btu/hr)

$HSPF_{Exist}$ = Heating System Performance Factor of existing heating system (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual. Note: resistance heat will have an HSPF of 3.412 [395]

1000 = Converts Btu to kBtu

RF_{heat} = Recovery factor, expressed as a percentage of total design load reduction for heating

= 10%[396]

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh_cooling}{EFLH_{cool}} * CF$$

Where:

CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling

= 97%[397]

Other factors as defined above.

[393] Based on modeling performed for the Minnesota Sustainable Housing Initiative. Results obtained using REM Rate 12.3 based on an 864sf Minnesota code base house, with wood siding, 15% window-to-floor area, window U-value 0.33 and SHGC 0.3, 80 AFUE furnace, and 10 EER air conditioning. Value is assumed to be reasonably applicable for a home in Iowa.

[394] Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Heating Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

[395] Electric resistance has a COP of 1.0, which equals 1/0.293 = 3.412 HSPF.

[396] Based on modeling performed for the Minnesota Sustainable Housing Initiative. Results obtained using REM Rate 12.3 based on an 864sf Minnesota code base house, with wood siding, 15% window-to-floor area, window U-value 0.33 and SHGC 0.3, 80 AFUE furnace, and 10 EER air conditioning. Value is assumed to be reasonably applicable for a home in Iowa.

[397] Based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

ΔTherms (if Natural Gas heating)

$$\Delta Therms = \frac{EFLH_{Heat} * Capacity_{Heat}}{\eta_{Heat} * 100{,}000} * RF_{heat}$$

Where:

η_{Heat}	= Efficiency of heating system
	= Actual[398]
100,000	= Converts Btu to Therms

Other factors as defined above.

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{PeakTherms} = \Delta Therms * GCF$$

Where:

ΔTherms	= Therm impact calculated above
GCF	= Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating[399]
	= 0.014378 for Residential Boiler
	= 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-ERVE-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2022

[398] Ideally, the System Efficiency should be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit, or performing a steady state efficiency test.

[399] Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.4.9 Gas Fireplace

DESCRIPTION

This measure characterizes the energy savings from the installation of a new gas fireplace with a 70% AFUE.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, RF, NC.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The criterion for this measure is a heat rated gas fireplace with 70%+ AFUE, intermittent ignition, and thermostatic control with blower.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline equipment is a gas fireplace with <64% AFUE[400].

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life of a gas fireplace is assumed to be 20 years[401].

DEEMED MEASURE COST

Actual material and labor costs should be used if the implementation method allows. If unknown, the incremental equipment cost of this measure is $244 and the incremental installation cost is $18. Total incremental cost is $262[402].

LOADSHAPE

N/A

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

N/A

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

N/A

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

N/A

NATURAL GAS ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta Therms = Capacity_{\ output} * \left(\frac{1}{eff_b} - \frac{1}{eff_e}\right) * Hours\ of\ Use * \ 0.01$$

[400] "Direct Heating Equipment: Market Technology and Characterization," *Consortium for Energy Efficiency*, January, 2011.
[401] *InterNachi's Standard Estimated Life Expectancy Chart for Homes.* .International Association of Certified Home Inspectors. https://www.nachi.org/life-expectancy.htm. Accessed January 21, 2016.
[402] Incremental costs developed through linear extrapolation from incremental costs provided in "Direct Heating Equipment: Market and Technology Characterization," *Consortium for Energy Efficiency*, January 2011. Tables 5 and 6.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Where:

$Capacity_{output}$	= Output Capacity in kBTU
	= Actual, if unknown assume 37kBtu
eff_b	= Efficiency of baseline equipment
	= 64%
eff_e	= Efficiency of new unit
	= Actual, if unknown assume 70%
$Hours\ of\ Use$	= 135[403]
0.01	= Conversion factor kBtu to Therms

Using default assumptions, deemed savings is:

ΔTherms = 37 * (1/0.64 – 1/0.70) * 135 * 0.01

= 6.7 Therms

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \Delta Therms * GCF$$

Where:

ΔTherms	= Therm impact calculated above
GCF	= Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating[404]
	= 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

Using default assumptions, deemed savings is:

ΔPeakTherms = 6.7 * 0.016525

= 0.1107 Therms

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-GASF-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2023

[403] This value was calculated using the data available on the website that a typical fireplace is used 52 times a year and with an average usage time of 2.6 hours. http://www.hpba.org/media/hearth-industry-prs/2011-state-of-the-hearth-industry-report

[404] Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.4.10 Whole House Fan

DESCRIPTION

A whole house fan can be a simple and inexpensive method of cooling a house. During shoulder seasons, it is possible to reduce or even eliminate the need for air conditioning by operating the fans during periods when outside air is cooler than that inside a home. The fan draws cool outdoor air inside through open windows and exhausts hot indoor air through the attic to the outside. As temperatures rise during the daytime, the fan is turned off and windows are shut to allow the home to "coast" through the hottest part of the day, reducing or eliminating the need for supplemental air conditioning.

The use of timers or thermostatic controls is highly recommended to safeguard against situations that could result in increased energy consumption. For example, prolonged operation of the fan, long after the temperature inside the house has been equalized to temperatures outside could potentially create a situation where more energy is used than would have been by an air conditioning unit.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF, NC, TOS

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient condition is a home equipped with a whole house fan. A whole house fan is distinct from an exhaust fan, which may be intended to ventilate specific areas of a home. Whole house fans are installed in the attic and sized to provide 30 to 60 air changes per hour throughout the entire home.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is a home without a whole house fan that operates an air conditioner during shoulder seasons and periods.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 25 years.[405]

DEEMED MEASURE COST

For all project types, full installation costs should be used for screening purposes.

LOADSHAPE

RE17: Whole House Fan.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Electric energy savings are deemed based on building type and vintage[406]:

[405] Conservative estimate based upon GDS Associates Measure Life Report "Residential and C&I Lighting and HVAC measures" 25 years for whole-house fans, and 19 for thermostatically-controlled attic fans.

[406] Inferred from the 2011 Assessment of Potential [IPL], deemed based on 15% savings of CAC/ASHP system from shoulder periods. These values should be reevaluated if there is significant uptake in this measure.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Building Type	Vintage	Annual Energy Savings kWh
Manufactured	Existing	284
Manufactured	New	155
Single Family	Existing	343
Single Family	New	197

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

There are no coincident peak demand savings expected for this measure.

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-WHF-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2023

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.4.11 Central Air Source Heat Pump Tune-Up

DESCRIPTION

This measure is for the tune-up of a central Air Source Heat Pump (ASHP). The tune-up will improve heat pump performance by inspecting, cleaning, and adjusting the heat pump for correct and efficient operation.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

This measure refers to tune-ups through the HVAC SAVE program and requires certified technicians adhering to all of the requirements of the program. The following are key activities that are provided through an HVAC SAVE program beyond those of a routine annual maintenance[407]:

- Measure pressure drops at return, filter, coil, and supply.
- Determine equipment air flow using OEM blower data or measuring.
- Measure temperature difference (DB, RH or WB) across equipment.
- Determine the OEM's current capacity rating from expanded tables.
- Record outdoor temperature & elevation, and complete test-in.
- Clean evaporator coil to OEM pressure drop specification.
- Clean/replace/modify air filter to OEM pressure drop specification.
- Reset air flow based on up design parameter and updated pressure conditions.
- Calibrate refrigerant charge.
- Complete final test-out, compare before and after.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline is a residential heat pump (≤ 65,000 Btu/hr) that was installed without Quality Installation and has not already received an HVAC SAVE tune-up.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life of an HVAC SAVE tune-up is the remaining life of the equipment, assume 9 years (half the new ASHP measure life.)

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for this measure should be the actual cost of tune-up.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE012 - Residential Single Family Heat Pump

[407] As provided in ANSI approved ACCA 4 specification for Quality Maintenance.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Algorithms

CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \left[\frac{EFLH_{cool} * Capacity_{Cool} * \left(\frac{SF_{cool}}{SEER}\right)}{1000}\right] + \left[\frac{EFLH_{Heat} * Capacity_{Heat} * \left(\frac{SF_{heat}}{HSPF}\right)}{1000}\right]$$

Where:

$EFLH_{cool}$ = Equivalent Full load hours of air conditioning

= Dependent on location[408]:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	$EFLH_{cool}$ (Hours)		
	Single Family Existing	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	811	650	764

$Capacity_{Cool}$ = Cooling Capacity of Air Source Heat Pump (Btu/hr)

= Actual (where 1 ton = 12,000Btu/hr)

SF_{cool} = Cooling Savings Factor for ASHP tune-ups

=7.5% [409]

SEER = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of existing cooling system (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual

SF_{heat} = Heating Savings Factor for ASHP tune-ups

=2.3% [410]

$EFLH_{Heat}$ = Equivalent Full load hours of heating

= Dependent on location[411]:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	$EFLH_{Heat}$ (Hours)		
	Single Family Existing	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	2022	1643	2137
Zone 6 (Mason City)	2874	2335	3037

[408] Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from National Climatic Data Center, NCDC).
[409] Calculated based on Cadmus report: Savings percent for a refrigerant charged AC unit, Bin Analysis, Energy Savings Impact of Improving the Installation of Residential Central Air Conditioners, 2005
[410] Calculated based on Cadmus report: Savings percent for a refrigerant charged AC unit, Bin Analysis, Energy Savings Impact of Improving the Installation of Residential Central Air Conditioners, 2005
[411] Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Heating Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Climate Zone (City based upon)	$EFLH_{Heat}$ (Hours)		
	Single Family Existing	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured Existing
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	2272	1846	2401

$Capacity_{Heat}$ = Heating Capacity of Air Source Heat Pump (Btu/hr)

= Actual (where 1 ton = 12,000Btu/hr)

HSPF = Heating System Performance Factor of existing heating system (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \left[\frac{Capacity_{Cool} * \left(\frac{SF_{cool}}{EER}\right)}{1000}\right] * CF$$

Where:

EER = Energy Efficiency Ratio of existing cooling system (kBtu/hr / kW)

= Use actual EER rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. If EER unknown but SEER available, convert using the equation:

EER = (-0.02 * $SEER^2$) + (1.12 * SEER)

CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling

= 97%[412]

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

While there are likely to be some O&M cost savings due to reduced service calls, increased equipment life, etc., these will only be realized with a regular maintenance schedule, which cannot be assumed for each individual tune-up measure. This benefit is therefore conservatively excluded.

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-ASHP-TUN-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2019

[412] Based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.4.12 Central Air Conditioner Tune-Up

DESCRIPTION

This measure is for the tune-up of a Central Air Conditioner. The tune-up will improve performance by inspecting, cleaning, and adjusting the system for correct and efficient operation.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

This measure refers to tune-ups through the HVAC SAVE program and requires certified technicians adhering to all of the requirements of the program. The following are key activities that are provided through an HVAC SAVE program beyond those of a routine annual maintenance[413]:

- Measure pressure drops at return, filter, coil, and supply.
- Determine equipment air flow using OEM blower data or measuring.
- Measure temperature difference (DB, RH or WB) across equipment.
- Determine the OEM's current capacity rating from expanded tables.
- Record outdoor temperature & elevation, and complete test-in.
- Clean evaporator coil to OEM pressure drop specification.
- Clean/replace/modify air filter to OEM pressure drop specification.
- Reset air flow based on up design parameter and updated pressure conditions.
- Calibrate refrigerant charge.
- Complete final test-out, compare before and after.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline is a central air conditioner with a capacity up to 135,000 Btu/hr that was installed without Quality Installation and has not already received an HVAC SAVE tune-up.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life of an HVAC SAVE tune-up is the remaining life of the equipment, assume 9 years (half the new CAC measure life.)

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for this measure should be the actual cost of tune-up.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE11 - Residential Single Family Cooling

Loadshape RE11 - Residential Multi-family Cooling

[413] As provided in ANSI approved ACCA 4 specification for Quality Maintenance.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Algorithms

CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

For units with cooling capacities less than 65 kBtu/hr:

$$\Delta kWh = \left[\frac{EFLH_{cool} * Capacity_{Cool} * \left(\frac{SF_{cool}}{SEER}\right)}{1000}\right]$$

For units with cooling capacities equal to or greater than 65 kBtu/hr:

$$\Delta kWh = \left[\frac{EFLH_{cool} * Capacity_{Cool} * \left(\frac{SF_{cool}}{IEER}\right)}{1000}\right]$$

Where:

$EFLH_{cool}$ = Equivalent Full load hours of air conditioning

= Dependent on location[414]:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	$EFLH_{cool}$ (Hours)		
	Single Family Existing	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	811	650	764

$Capacity_{Cool}$ = Cooling Capacity (Btu/hr)

= Actual (where 1 ton = 12,000Btu/hr)

SF_{cool} = Cooling Savings Factor for CAC tune-ups

=7.5% [415]

SEER = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of existing cooling system (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual

IEER = Integrated Energy Efficiency Ratio of existing cooling system (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \left[\frac{Capacity_{Cool} * \left(\frac{SF_{cool}}{EER}\right)}{1000}\right] * CF$$

[414] Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from National Climatic Data Center, NCDC).

[415] Calculated based on Cadmus report: Savings percent for a refrigerant charged AC unit, Bin Analysis, Energy Savings Impact of Improving the Installation of Residential Central Air Conditioners, 2005

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Where:

EER = Energy Efficiency Ratio of existing cooling system (kBtu/hr / kW)

= Use actual EER rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. If EER unknown but SEER available, convert using the equation:

$EER = (-0.02 * SEER^2) + (1.12 * SEER)$

CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling

= 97%[416]

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

While there are likely to be some O&M cost savings due to reduced service calls, increased equipment life, etc., these will only be realized with a regular maintenance schedule, which cannot be assumed for each individual tune-up measure. This benefit is therefore conservatively excluded.

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-ASHP-TUN-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2019

[416] Based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.4.13 Boiler Tune-up

DESCRIPTION

This measure is for a residential boiler that provides space heating. The tune-up will improve boiler efficiency by cleaning and/or inspecting burners, combustion chamber, and burner nozzles. Components of tune-up: adjust air flow and reduce excessive stack temperatures; adjust burner and gas input; check venting, safety controls, and adequacy of combustion air intake. Combustion efficiency should be measured before and after tune-up using an electronic flue gas analyzer.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The recommended tune-up requirements are listed below. It is recommended that utility programs require that technicians performing the work are appropriately certified.

- Measure combustion efficiency using an electronic flue gas analyzer.
- Adjust airflow and reduce excessive stack temperatures.
- Adjust burner and gas input, manual or motorized draft control.
- Check for proper venting.
- Complete visual inspection of system piping and insulation.
- Check safety controls.
- Check adequacy of combustion air intake.
- Clean fireside surfaces.
- Inspect all refractory. Patch and wash coat as required.
- Inspect gaskets on front and rear doors and replace as necessary.
- Seal and close front and rear doors properly.
- Clean low and auxiliary low water cut-off controls, then re-install using new gaskets.
- Clean plugs in control piping.
- Remove all hand hole and man hole plates. Flush boiler with water to remove loose scale and sediment.
- Replace all hand hole and man hole plates with new gaskets.
- Open feedwater tank manway, inspect and clean as required. Replace manway plate with new gasket.
- Clean burner and burner pilot.
- Check pilot electrode and adjust or replace.
- Clean air damper and blower assembly.
- Clean motor starter contacts and check operation.
- Make necessary adjustments to burner for proper combustion.
- Perform all flame safeguard and safety trip checks.
- Check all hand hole plates and man hole plates for leaks at normal operating temperatures and pressures.
- Troubleshoot any boiler system problems as requested by on-site personnel.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition of this measure is a boiler that has not had a tune-up within the past 12 months

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The life of this measure is 1 year.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The cost of this measure is the actual tune-up cost.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RG01 – Residential Boiler

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

N/A

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

N/A

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta Therms = \frac{Capacity * EFLH * \left(\frac{(Effbefore + Ei)}{Effbefore} - 1\right)}{100{,}000}$$

Where:

Capacity = Boiler gas input size (Btu/hr)

= Actual

EFLH =Equivalent Full Load Hours for heating

= Dependent on location[417]:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH (Hours)		
	Single Family	Multifamily	Manufactured
Zone 5 (Burlington)	611	657	635
Zone 6 (Mason City)	868	934	903
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	686	738	714

Effbefore = Combustion efficiency of the boiler before the tune-up[418]

= Actual

Ei = Combustion efficiency Improvement of the boiler tune-up measure

= Actual

100,000 = Converts Btu to therms

[417] Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Heating Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

[418] The percentage improvement in combustion efficiency is deemed a reasonable proxy for the system improvement. If a full thermal efficiency test is performed instead, that should be used.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

For example, for a 100 kBtu boiler in a Des Moines single family house that records an efficiency prior to tune-up of 82% AFUE and has a 1.8% improvement in efficiency after tune-up:

Δtherms = (100,000 * 747 * (((0.82 + 0.018)/ 0.82) – 1)) /100,000

= 16.4 therms

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \Delta Therms * GCF$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above

GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating[419]

= 0.014378 for Residential Boiler

For example, for a 100 kBtu boiler in a Des Moines single family house that records an efficiency prior to tune up of 82% AFUE and has a 1.8% improvement in efficiency after tune up:

ΔPeakTherms = 16.4 * 0.014378

= 0.2358 therms

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

While there are likely to be some O&M cost savings due to reduced service calls, increased equipment life, etc., these will only be realized with a regular maintenance schedule, which cannot be assumed for each individual tune-up measure. This benefit is therefore conservatively excluded.

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-BLRT-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2023

[419] Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.4.14 Furnace Tune-Up

DESCRIPTION

This measure is for the tune-up of a natural gas Residential furnace. The tune-up will improve furnace performance by inspecting, cleaning, and adjusting the furnace and appurtenances for correct and efficient operation. Additional savings maybe realized through a complete system tune-up.

Two savings algorithms are provided for tune-up programs: through the HVAC SAVE program and for other tune-up programs, the difference being how relative efficiencies are measured.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The recommended tune-up requirements are listed below. It is recommended that utility programs require that technicians performing the work are appropriately certified.

- Measure combustion efficiency using an electronic flue gas analyzer.
- Check and clean blower assembly and components per manufacturer's recommendations.
- Where applicable, lubricate motor and inspect and replace fan belt if required.
- Inspect for gas leaks.
- Clean burner per manufacturer's recommendations and adjust as needed.
- Check ignition system and safety systems and clean and adjust as needed.
- Check and clean heat exchanger per manufacturer's recommendations.
- Inspect exhaust/flue for proper attachment and operation.
- Inspect control box, wiring, and controls for proper connections and performance.
- Check air filter and clean or replace per manufacturer's recommendations.
- Inspect duct work connected to furnace for leaks or blockages.
- Measure temperature rise and adjust flow as needed.
- Check for correct line and load volts/amps.
- Check that thermostat operation is per manufacturer's recommendations (if adjustments are made, refer to 'Residential Programmable Thermostat' measure for savings estimate).
- Perform Carbon Monoxide test and adjust heating system until results are within standard industry acceptable limits.

The HVAC SAVE program has its own certifications and requirements. In addition to the maintenance described above, the following are key activities that are provided through an HVAC SAVE maintenance program[420]:

- Measure pressure drops at return, filter, coil, and supply.
- Determine equipment air flow using OEM blower data or measuring.
- Measure temperature rise across heat exchanger.
- Determine on-rate for a furnace by clocking the clock gas meter.
- Record outdoor temperature & elevation, and complete test-in.
- Clean evaporator coil to OEM pressure drop specification.
- Clean/replace/modify air filter to OEM pressure drop specification.
- Reset air flow based on up design parameter and updated pressure conditions.
- Adjust/modify gas pressure and venting to OEM specifications.
- Complete final test-out, compare before and after

[420] As provided in ANSI approved ACCA 4 specification for Quality Maintenance.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline for a clean and check tune-up is a furnace assumed not to have had a tune-up in the past 12 months. HVAC SAVE tune-ups are a one-time measure and cannot be performed more than once on the same piece of equipment.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life of a clean and check tune-up is 1 year.

An HVAC SAVE tune-up lasts the remaining life of the equipment because they come from adjustments to fans and ducts that remain effective through normal operation of the equipment. Assume 10 years.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for this measure should be the actual cost of tune-up.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE10 - Residential Single Family Central Heat

Loadshape RG04 – Residential Other Heating

Algorithms

CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \Delta Therms * Fe * 29.3$$

Where:

ΔTherms	= as calculated below
F_e	= Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption
	= 3.14%[421]
29.3	= kWh per therm

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

N/A

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

1. HVAC SAVE Tune-up Programs:

$$\Delta Therms = \frac{\frac{EFLH * Capacity}{(1 - Derating_{eff})} * \left(\frac{AFUE * (1 - Derating_{eff})}{AFUE * (1 - Derating_{base})} - 1\right)}{100{,}000}$$

Where:

[421] F_e is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy (Ef in MMBtu/yr) and Eae (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the ENERGY STAR version 3 criteria for 2% F_e. See "Programmable Thermostats Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx" for reference.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Capacity = Gas Furnace input size (Btu/hr)

= Actual

EFLH =Equivalent Full Load Hours for heating

= Dependent on location[422]:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH (Hours)		
	Single Family Existing	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	545	463	558
Zone 6 (Mason City)	774	658	793
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	612	520	627

AFUE = Existing Furnace Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency Rating

= Actual

$Derating_{eff}$ = Furnace AFUE Derating after HVAC SAVE tune-up

= 0%

$Derating_{base}$ = Furnace AFUE Derating before HVAC SAVE tune-up

= 6.4%[423]

100,000 = Converts Btu to therms

2. Other Tune-up Programs:

$$\Delta Therms = \frac{Capacity * EFLH * \left(\frac{(Effbefore + Ei)}{Effbefore} - 1\right)}{100{,}000}$$

Where:

Effbefore = Combustion Efficiency of the furnace before the tune-up

= Actual

EI = Combustion Efficiency Improvement of the furnace tune-up measure[424]

= Actual

[422] Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Heating Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

[423] Based on findings from Building America, US Department of Energy, Brand, Yee and Baker "Improving Gas Furnace Performance: A Field and Laboratory Study at End of Life", February 2015.

[424] The percentage improvement in combustion efficiency is deemed a reasonable proxy for the system improvement. If a full thermal efficiency test is performed instead, that should be used.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

For example, for a 100 kBtu furnace in a Des Moines single family house that records an efficiency prior to tune-up of 82% AFUE and has a 1.8% improvement in efficiency after tune-up:

ΔTherms = (100,000 * 603 * (((0.82 + 0.018)/ 0.82) – 1)) /100,000

= 13.2 therms

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \Delta Therms * GCF$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above

GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating[425]

= 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

For example, for a 100 kBtu furnace in a Des Moines single family house that records an efficiency prior to tune-up of 82% AFUE and has a 1.8% improvement in efficiency after tune-up:

ΔPeakTherms = 13.2 * 0.016525

= 0.2181 therms

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

While there are likely to be some O&M cost savings due to reduced service calls, increased equipment life, etc., these will only be realized with a regular maintenance schedule, which cannot be assumed for each individual tune-up measure. This benefit is therefore conservatively excluded.

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-FTUN-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2019

[425] Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.4.15 Geothermal Source Heat Pump Tune-Up

DESCRIPTION

This measure is for the tune-up of a Geothermal Source Heat Pump (GSHP). The tune-up will improve heat pump performance by inspecting, cleaning, and adjusting the heat pump for correct and efficient operation.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

This measure refers to tune-ups through the HVAC SAVE program and requires certified technicians adhering to all of the requirements of the program. The following are key activities that are provided through an HVAC SAVE program beyond those of a routine annual maintenance[426]:

- Measure pressure drops at return, filter, coil, and supply.
- Determine equipment air flow using OEM blower data or measuring.
- Measure temperature difference (DB, RH or WB) across equipment.
- Determine the OEM's current capacity rating from expanded tables.
- Record outdoor temperature & elevation, and complete test-in.
- Clean evaporator coil to OEM pressure drop specification.
- Clean/replace/modify air filter to OEM pressure drop specification.
- Reset air flow based on up design parameter and updated pressure conditions.
- Calibrate refrigerant charge.
- Complete final test-out, compare before and after.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline is a residential heat pump that was installed without Quality Installation and has not already received an HVAC SAVE tune-up.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life of an HVAC SAVE tune-up is the remaining life of the equipment, assume 12 years.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for this measure should be the actual cost of tune-up.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE12 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Loadshape RE15 – Residential Single Family Water Heat (Electric)

Loadshape RG07 – Residential Water Heat (Gas)

[426] As provided in ANSI approved ACCA 4 specification for Quality Maintenance.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Algorithms

CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = [Cooling\ savings] + [Heating\ savings]$$

$$= \left[\frac{EFLH_{Cool} * Capacity_{Cool} * \left(PLF_{Cool} * \left(\frac{SF_{cool}}{EER_{PL}}\right) + FLF_{Cool} * \left(\frac{SF_{cool}}{EER_{FL}}\right)\right)}{1000}\right]$$

$$+ \left[\frac{EFLH_{Heat} * Capacity_{Heat} * \left(PLF_{Heat} * \left(\frac{SF_{heat}}{(COP_{PL} * 3.412)}\right) + FLF_{Heat} * \left(\frac{SF_{heat}}{(COP_{FL} * 3.412)}\right)\right)}{1000}\right]$$

Where:

$EFLH_{Cool}$ = Full load cooling hours

= Dependent on location[427]:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	$EFLH_{cool}$ (Hours)		
	Single Family Existing	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	811	650	764

$Capacity_{Cool}$ = Cooling capacity of Geothermal Source Heat Pump (Btu/hr)

= Actual (1 ton = 12,000 Btu/hr)

PLF_{Cool} = Part load cooling mode operation

= 0.85[428] if variable speed GSHP

= 0 if single/constant speed GSHP

SF_{cool} = Cooling Savings Factor for GSHP tune-ups

=7.5% [429]

FLF_{Cool} = Equivalent full load cooling mode operation factor

= 0.15 if variable speed GSHP

= 1 if single/constant speed GSHP

EER_{PL} = Part load Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of efficient GSHP unit

[427] Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

[428] Based on Cadmus analysis of the relationship between part- and full-load capacities from building simulations of BEopt (Building Energy Optimization) to generate the energy models. The models were calibrated using Cadmus metered data of 13 high efficiency multi-stage GSHP models functioning in both part- and full-loads.

[429] Calculated based on Cadmus report: Savings percent for a refrigerant charged AC unit, Bin Analysis, Energy Savings Impact of Improving the Installation of Residential Central Air Conditioners, 2005

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

= Actual installed

EER_{FL} = Full load Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of ENERGY STAR GSHP unit

= Actual installed

$EFLH_{Heat}$ = Equivalent Full Load Hours for heating

= Dependent on location[430]:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	$EFLH_{Heat}$ (Hours)		
	Single Family Existing	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	2022	1643	2137
Zone 6 (Mason City)	2874	2335	3037
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	2272	1846	2401

$Capacity_{Heat}$ = Full load heating capacity of Geothermal Source Heat Pump (Btu/hr)

= Actual (1 ton = 12,000 Btu/hr)

PLF_{Heat} = Part load heating mode operation

= 0.5[431] if variable speed GSHP

= 0 if single/constant speed GSHP

FLF_{Heat} = Full load heating mode operation factor

= 0.5 if variable speed GSHP

= 1 if single/constant speed GSHP

SF_{heat} = Heating Savings Factor for ASHP tune-ups

=2.3% [432]

COP_{PL} = Part load Coefficient of Performance of efficient unit

= Actual Installed

COP_{FL} = Full load Coefficient of Performance of efficient unit

= Actual Installed

3.412 = Constant to convert the COP of the unit to the Heating Season Performance Factor (HSPF)

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \left[\frac{Capacity_{Cool} * \left(\frac{SF_{cool}}{EER}\right)}{1000}\right] * CF$$

[430] Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

[431] Based on Cadmus analysis of the relationship between part- and full-load capacities from building simulations of BEopt (Building Energy Optimization) to generate the energy models. The models were calibrated using Cadmus metered data of 13 high efficiency multi-stage GSHP models functioning in both part- and full-loads.

[432] Calculated based on Cadmus report: Savings percent for a refrigerant charged AC unit, Bin Analysis, Energy Savings Impact of Improving the Installation of Residential Central Air Conditioners, 2005

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Where:

EER	= Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of existing cooling system (kBtuh/kW)
	= Actual Installed
CF	= Summer system peak Coincidence Factor for cooling
	= 97%[433]

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

While there are likely to be some O&M cost savings due to reduced service calls, increased equipment life, etc., these will only be realized with a regular maintenance schedule, which cannot be assumed for each individual tune-up measure. This benefit is therefore conservatively excluded.

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-ASHP-TUN-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2019

[433] Based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.4.16 Duct Sealing

DESCRIPTION

This measure describes evaluating the savings associated with performing duct sealing using mastic sealant, aerosol, or UL-181 compliant duct sealing tape to the distribution system of homes with either Central Air Conditioner or a ducted heating system. While sealing ducts in conditioned space can help with control and comfort, energy savings are largely limited to sealing ducts in unconditioned space where the heat loss is to outside the thermal envelope. Therefore, for this measure to be applicable, at least 30% of ducts should be within unconditioned space (e.g., attic with floor insulation, vented crawlspace, unheated garages. Basements should be considered conditioned space).

Three methodologies for estimating the savings associate from sealing the ducts are provided.

1. **Modified Blower Door Subtraction** – this technique is described in detail on p. 44 of the Energy Conservatory Blower Door Manual; http://www.energyconservatory.com/download/bdmanual.pdf. It involves performing a whole house depressurization test and repeating the test with the ducts excluded.
2. **Duct Blaster Testing** - as described in RESNET Test 803.7: http://www.resnet.us/standards/DRAFT_Chapter_8_July_22.pdf

   This involves using a blower door to pressurize the house to 25 Pascals and pressurizing the duct system using a duct blaster to reach equilibrium with the inside. The air required to reach equilibrium provides a duct leakage estimate.
3. **Deemed Savings per Linear Foot** – this method provides a deemed conservative estimate of savings and should only be used where performance testing described above is not possible.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient condition is sealed duct work throughout the unconditioned space in the home.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The existing baseline condition is leaky duct work within the unconditioned space in the home.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The assumed lifetime of this measure is 20 years[434].

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual duct sealing measure cost should be used.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE11 - Residential Single Family Cooling

Loadshape RE10 - Residential Single Family Central Heat

Loadshape RE12 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

[434] Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, June 2007.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Methodology 1: Modified Blower Door Subtraction

Claiming Cooling savings from reduction in Air Conditioning Load:

a. Determine Duct Leakage rate before and after performing duct sealing:

$$Duct\ Leakage\ (CFM50_{DL}) = (CFM50_{Whole\ House} - CFM50_{Envelope\ Only}) * SCF$$

Where:

$CFM50_{Whole\ House}$ = Standard Blower Door test result finding Cubic Feet per Minute at 50 Pascal pressure differential

$CFM50_{Envelope\ Only}$ = Blower Door test result finding Cubic Feet per Minute at 50 Pascal pressure differential with all supply and return registers sealed

SCF = Subtraction Correction Factor to account for underestimation of duct leakage due to connections between the duct system and the home. Determined by measuring pressure in duct system with registers sealed and using look up table provided by Energy Conservatory below:

House to Duct Pressure	Subtraction Correction Factor
50	1.00
49	1.09
48	1.14
47	1.19
46	1.24
45	1.29
44	1.34
43	1.39
42	1.44
41	1.49
40	1.54
39	1.60
38	1.65
37	1.71
36	1.78
35	1.84
34	1.91
33	1.98
32	2.06
31	2.14

House to Duct Pressure	Subtraction Correction Factor
30	2.23
29	2.32
28	2.42
27	2.52
26	2.64
25	2.76
24	2.89
23	3.03
22	3.18
21	3.35
20	3.54
19	3.74
18	3.97
17	4.23
16	4.51
15	4.83
14	5.20
13	5.63
12	6.12
11	6.71

b. Calculate duct leakage reduction, convert to $CFM25_{DL}$[435], and factor in Supply and Return Loss Factors:

[435] 25 Pascals is the standard assumption for typical pressures experienced in the duct system under normal operating conditions.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

$$Duct\ Leakage\ Reduction\ (\Delta CFM25_{\mathrm{DL}}) = (Pre\ CFM50_{DL} - Post\ CFM50_{DL}) * 0.64 * (SLF + RLF)$$

Where:

0.64	= Converts $CFM50_{DL}$ to $CFM25_{DL}$[436]
SLF	= Supply Loss Factor[437]
	= % leaks sealed located in Supply ducts * 1
	Default = 0.5[438]
RLF	= Return Loss Factor[439]
	= % leaks sealed located in Return ducts * 0.5
	Default = 0.25[440]

c. Calculate Energy Savings:

$$\Delta kWh = \Delta kWhcooling + \Delta kWhFan$$

$$\Delta kWhcooling = \frac{\frac{\Delta CFM25_{DL}}{(CapacityCool/12000 * 400)} * EFLHcool * CapacityCool}{1000 * \eta Cool}$$

$$\Delta kWhFan = (\Delta Therms * Fe * 29.3)$$

Where:

$\Delta CFM25_{DL}$	= Duct leakage reduction in CFM25
CapacityCool	= Capacity of Air Cooling system (Btu/hr)
	= Actual
12,000	= Converts Btu/H capacity to tons
400	= Conversion of Capacity to CFM (400CFM / ton) [441]

[436] To convert CFM50 to CFM25, multiply by 0.64 (inverse of the "Can't Reach Fifty" factor for CFM25; see Energy Conservatory Blower Door Manual).

[437] Assumes that for each percent of supply air loss there is one percent annual energy penalty. This assumes supply side leaks are direct losses to the outside and are not recaptured back to the house. This could be adjusted downward to reflect regain of usable energy to the house from duct leaks. For example, during the winter some of the energy lost from supply leaks in a crawlspace will probably be regained back to the house (sometimes 1/2 or more may be regained). More information provided in "Appendix E Estimating HVAC System Loss From Duct Airtightness Measurements" from Energy Conservatory Blower Door Manual.

[438] Assumes 50% of leaks are in supply ducts.

[439] Assumes that for each percent of return air loss there is a half percent annual energy penalty. Note that this assumes that return leaks contribute less to energy losses than do supply leaks. This value could be adjusted upward if there was reason to suspect that the return leaks contribute significantly more energy loss than "average" (e.g., pulling return air from a super-heated attic), or can be adjusted downward to represent significantly less energy loss (e.g., pulling return air from a moderate temperature crawl space). More information provided in "Appendix E Estimating HVAC System Loss From Duct Airtightness Measurements" from Energy Conservatory Blower Door Manual.

[440] Assumes 50% of leaks are in return ducts.

[441] This conversion is an industry rule of thumb; e.g., see http://www.hvacsalesandsupply.com/Linked%20Documents/Tech%20Tips/61-Why%20400%20CFM%20per%20ton.pdf

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

EFLHcool = Equivalent Full Load Cooling Hours

= Dependent on location[442]:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLHcool (Hours)		
	Single Family	Multifamily	Manufactured
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	811	650	764

1000 = Converts Btu to kBtu

ηCool = Efficiency in SEER of Air Conditioning equipment

= Actual - If not available, use[443]:

Equipment Type	Age of Equipment	SEER Estimate
Central AC	Before 2006	10
	After 2006	13
Heat Pump	Before 2006	10
	2006-2014	13
	2015 on	14

ΔTherms = Therm savings as calculated in Natural Gas Savings

F_e = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption

= 3.14%[444]

29.3 = kWh per therm

[442] Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

[443] These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

[444] F_e is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy (Ef in MMBtu/yr) and Eae (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the ENERGY STAR version 3 criteria for 2% F_e.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

For example, for duct sealing in a single family house in Burlington with a 36,000 Btu/H, SEER 11 Central Air Conditioner, an 80% AFUE, 105,000 Btu/H natural gas furnace, and the following blower door test results:

Before: $CFM50_{Whole\ House}$ = 4800 CFM50
$CFM50_{Envelope\ Only}$ = 4500 CFM50
House to duct pressure of 45 Pascals. = 1.29 SCF (Energy Conservatory look up table)

After: $CFM50_{Whole\ House}$ = 4600 CFM50
$CFM50_{Envelope\ Only}$ = 4500 CFM50
House to duct pressure of 43 Pascals = 1.39 SCF (Energy Conservatory look up table)

Duct Leakage:
$CFM50_{DL\ before}$ = (4800 – 4500) * 1.29
= 387 CFM
$CFM50_{DL\ after}$ = (4600 – 4500) * 1.39
= 139 CFM

Duct Leakage reduction at CFM25:
$\Delta CFM25_{DL}$ = (387 – 139) * 0.64 * (0.5 + 0.25)
= 119 CFM25

Energy Savings:
ΔkWh = [((119 / ((36,000/12,000) * 400)) * 918 * 36,000) / (1000 * 11)] + [51.6 * 0.0314 * 29.3]
= 297.9 + 47.5
= 345.4 kWh

Claiming Heating savings for homes with electric heat (Heat Pump):

$$\Delta kWh = \frac{\frac{\Delta CFM25_{DL}}{(CapacityHeat/12000 * 400)} * EFLHelectricheat * CapacityHeat}{\eta Heat * 3412}$$

Where:

$\Delta CFM25_{DL}$ = Duct leakage reduction in CFM25

CapacityHeat = Heating output capacity (Btu/hr) of electric heat

= Actual

EFLHelectricheat = Equivalent Full Load Heating Hours for ASHP

= Dependent on location[445]:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLHelectricheat		
	Single Family Existing	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	2022	1643	2137
Zone 6 (Mason City)	2874	2335	3037
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	2272	1846	2401

[445] Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

ηHeat = Efficiency in COP of Heating equipment

= Actual - If not available, use[446]:

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	ηHeat (Effective COP Estimate) (HSPF/3.412)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	2006 - 2014	7.7	1.92
	2015 on	8.2	2.04
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1

3412 = Converts Btu to kWh

For example, for duct sealing in a 36,000 Btu/H 2.5 COP heat pump heated single family house in Burlington with the blower door results in the example described above:

$\Delta kWh_{heating}$ = ((119 / ((36,000/12,000) * 400)) * 2022 * 36,000) / (2.5 * 3412)

= 846.3 kWh

Methodology 2: Duct Blaster Testing

Claiming Cooling savings from reduction in Air Conditioning Load:

$$\Delta kWh = \Delta kWhcooling + \Delta kWhFan$$

$$\Delta kWhcooling = \frac{\frac{Pre_CFM25 - Post_CFM25}{CapacityCool/12000 * 400} * EFLHcool * CapacityCool}{1000 * \eta Cool}$$

$$\Delta kWhFan = (\Delta Therms * Fe * 29.3)$$

Where:

Pre_CFM25 = Duct leakage in CFM25 as measured by duct blaster test before sealing

Post_CFM25 = Duct leakage in CFM25 as measured by duct blaster test after sealing

All other variables as provided above

[446] These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

For example, for duct sealing in a single family house in Burlington with a 36,000 Btu/H, SEER 11 Central Air Conditioner, an 80% AFUE, 105,000 Btu/H natural gas furnace, and the following duct blaster test results:

Pre_CFM25 = 220 CFM25

Post_CFM25 = 80 CFM25

ΔkWh = [(((220 - 80) / (36000/12000 * 400)) * 918 * 36000) / (1000 * 11)] + [60.7 * 0.0314 * 29.3]

= 350.5 + 55.8

= 406.3 kWh

Claiming Heating savings for homes with electric heat (Heat Pump):

$$\Delta kWhheating = \frac{\frac{Pre_CFM25 - Post_CFM25}{CapacityCool/12000 * 400} * EFLHelectricheat * CapacityHeat}{\eta Heat * 3412}$$

Where:

All other variables as provided above

For example, for duct sealing in a 36,000 Btu/H 2.5 COP heat pump heated single family house in Burlington with the duct blaster results described in the example above:

$\Delta kWh_{heating}$ = (((220 - 80) / (36000/12000 * 400)) * 2022 * 36000) / (2.5 * 3412)

= 995.6 kWh

Methodology 3: Deemed Savings[447]

Claiming Cooling savings from reduction in Air Conditioning Load:

$$\Delta kWh = \Delta kWhcooling + \Delta kWhFan$$

$$\Delta kWhcooling = CoolSavingsPerUnit * Duct_{Length}$$

$$\Delta kWhFan = (\Delta Therms * Fe * 29.3)$$

Where:

CoolSavingsPerUnit = Annual cooling savings per linear foot of duct

Building Type	HVAC System	CoolSavingsPerUnit (kWh/ft)
Manufactured	Cool Central	0.95
Multifamily	Cool Central	0.70

[447] Savings per unit are based upon analysis performed by Cadmus for the 2011 Joint Assessment of Potential. It was based on 10% savings in system efficiency (ENERGY STAR suggests savings potential of up to 20% on its website). This would represent savings from homes with significant duct work outside of the thermal envelope. With no performance testing or verification, a deemed savings value should be very conservative and therefore the values provided in this section represent half of the savings – or 5% improvement.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Building Type	HVAC System	CoolSavingsPerUnit (kWh/ft)
Single-family	Cool Central	0.81
Manufactured	Heat Pump—Cooling	0.95
Multifamily	Heat Pump—Cooling	0.70
Single-family	Heat Pump—Cooling	0.81

$Duct_{Length}$ = Linear foot of duct

= Actual

Claiming Heating savings for homes with electric heat (Heat Pump):

$$\Delta kWheating = HeatSavingsPerUnit * Duct_{Length}$$

Where:

HeatSavingsPerUnit = Annual heating savings per linear foot of duct

Building Type	HVAC System	HeatSavingsPerUnit (kWh/ft)
Manufactured	Heat Pump—Cooling	5.06
Multifamily	Heat Pump—Cooling	3.41
Single-family	Heat Pump—Cooling	4.11

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWhcooling}{EFLHcool} * CF$$

Where:

EFLHcool = Equivalent Full load cooling hours:

= Dependent on location[448]:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLHcool		
	Single Family	Multifamily	Manufactured
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	811	650	764

CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling

= 97%[449]

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

For homes with Natural Gas Heating:

[448] Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).
[449] Based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Methodology 1: Modified Blower Door Subtraction

$$\Delta Therm = \frac{\frac{\Delta CFM25_{DL}}{CapacityHeat * 0.0136} * EFLHgasheat * CapacityHeat * \frac{\eta Equipment}{\eta System}}{100{,}000}$$

Where:

$\Delta CFM25_{DL}$ = Duct leakage reduction in CFM25

= As calculated in Methodology 1 under electric savings

CapacityHeat = Heating input capacity (Btu/hr)

= Actual

0.0136 = Conversion of Capacity to CFM (0.0136CFM / Btu/hr)[450]

EFLHgasheat = Equivalent Full load heating hours for Furnaces

= Dependent on location[451]:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Single Family Existing	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	545	463	558
Zone 6 (Mason City)	774	658	793
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	612	520	627

ηEquipment = Heating Equipment Efficiency

= Actual[452] - If not available, use 87%[453]

ηSystem = Pre duct sealing Heating System Efficiency (Equipment Efficiency * Pre Distribution Efficiency)[454]

[450] Based on Natural Draft Furnaces requiring 100 CFM per 10,000 Btu, Induced Draft Furnaces requiring 130CFM per 10,000Btu and Condensing Furnaces requiring 150 CFM per 10,000 Btu (rule of thumb from http://contractingbusiness.com/enewsletters/cb_imp_43580/). Data provided by GAMA during the federal rule-making process for furnace efficiency standards, suggested that in 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Illinois were condensing units. Therefore a weighted average required airflow rate is calculated assuming a 50:50 split of natural v induced draft non-condensing furnaces, as 123 per 10,000Btu or 0.0136/Btu.

[451] Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

[452] The Equipment Efficiency can be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit, or performing a steady state efficiency test.
If there is more than one heating system, the weighted (by consumption) average efficiency should be used.
If the heating system or distribution is being upgraded within a package of measures together with the insulation upgrade, the new average heating system efficiency should be used.

[453] In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the state. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows: (0.60*0.92) + (0.40*0.8) = 0.872.

[454] The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look-up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute: (http://www.bpi.org/files/pdf/DistributionEfficiencyTable-BlueSheet.pdf) or by performing duct blaster testing.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

= Actual - If not available use 74%[455]

100,000 = Converts Btu to therms

For example, for duct sealing in a house in Burlington with an 80% AFUE, 105,000 Btu/H (input capacity) natural gas furnace and the following blower door test results:

Before: $CFM50_{Whole\ House}$ = 4800 CFM50

$CFM50_{Envelope\ Only}$ = 4500CFM50

House to duct pressure of 45 Pascals = 1.29 SCF (Energy Conservatory look up table)

After: $CFM50_{Whole\ House}$ = 4600 CFM50

$CFM50_{Envelope\ Only}$ = 4500CFM50

House to duct pressure of 43 Pascals = 1.39 SCF (Energy Conservatory look up table)

Duct Leakage:

$CFM50_{DL\ before}$ = (4800 – 4500) * 1.29

= 387 CFM

$CFM50_{DL\ after}$ = (4600 – 4500) * 1.39

= 139 CFM

Duct Leakage reduction at CFM25:

$\Delta CFM25_{DL}$ = (387 – 139) * 0.64 * (0.5 + 0.25)

= 119 CFM25

Energy Savings:

Pre Distribution Efficiency = 1 – (387/4800) = 92%

ηSystem = 80% * 92% = 74%

ΔTherm = ((119/ (105,000 * 0.0136)) * 545 * 105,000 * (0.8/0.74)) / 100,000

= 51.6 therms

Methodology 2: Duct Blaster Testing

$$\Delta Therms = \frac{\frac{Pre_CFM25 - Post_CFM25}{CapacityHeat * 0.0136} * EFLHgasheat * CapacityHeat * \frac{\eta Equipment}{\eta System}}{100{,}000}$$

Where:

All variables as provided above

[455] Estimated as follows: 0.872 * (1-0.15) = 0.74.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

For example, for duct sealing in a single family house in Burlington with a 36,000 Btu/H, SEER 11 Central Air Conditioner, an 80% AFUE, 105,000 Btu/H natural gas furnace and the following duct blaster test results:

Pre_CFM25 = 220 CFM25

Post_CFM25 = 80 CFM25

ΔTherms = (((220 – 80)/ (105,000 * 0.0136)) * 545 * 105,000 * (0.8/0.74)) / 100,000

= 60.7 therms

Methodology 3: Deemed Savings[456]

$$\Delta Therms = HeatSavingsPerUnit * Duct_{Length}$$

Where:

HeatSavingsPerUnit = Annual heating savings per linear foot of duct

Building Type	HVAC System	HeatSavingsPerUnit (Therms/ft)
Manufactured	Heat Central Furnace	0.26
Multifamily	Heat Central Furnace	0.19
Single-family	Heat Central Furnace	0.21

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \Delta Therms * GCF$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above

GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating[457]

= 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

For example, for duct sealing in a single family house in Burlington with a 36,000 Btu/H, SEER 11 Central Air Conditioner, an 80% AFUE, 105,000 Btu/H natural gas furnace, and the following duct blaster test results:

Pre_CFM25 = 220 CFM25

Post_CFM25 = 80 CFM25

ΔPeakTherms = 60.7 * 0.016525

= 1.003 therms

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

[456] Savings per unit are based upon analysis performed by Cadmus for the 2011 Joint Assessment of Potential. It was based on 10% savings in system efficiency (ENERGY STAR suggests savings potential of up to 20% on its website). This would represent savings from homes with significant duct work outside of the thermal envelope. With no performance testing or verification, a deemed savings value should be very conservative and therefore the values provided in this section represent half of the savings – or 5% improvement.

[457] Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-DINS-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2022

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.4.17 Programmable Thermostats

DESCRIPTION

This measure characterizes the household energy savings from the installation of a new standard Programmable Thermostat for reduced heating energy consumption through temperature set-back during unoccupied or reduced demand times. Because a literature review was not conclusive in providing a defensible source of prescriptive cooling savings from standard programmable thermostats, cooling savings are assumed to be zero for this version of the measure.

Note that the EPA's ENERGY STAR program[458] has a new specification for this project category for Smart Thermostats, and when evaluation results become public this will be reviewed to potentially add a further tier to this measure.

Energy savings are applicable at the household level; all thermostats controlling household heat should be programmable and installation of multiple programmable thermostats per home does not accrue additional savings.

If the home has a Heat Pump, a programmable thermostat specifically designed for heat pumps should be used to minimize the use of backup electric resistance heat systems.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF, DI.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The criteria for this measure are established by replacement of a manual-only temperature control with one that has the capability to adjust temperature setpoints according to a schedule without manual intervention. This category of equipment is broad and rapidly advancing with regard to the capability and usability of the controls and their sophistication in setpoint adjustment and information display, but for the purposes of this characterization, eligibility is perhaps most simply defined by what it is not: a manual only temperature control.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

For new thermostats the baseline is a non-programmable thermostat requiring manual intervention to change temperature set point.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected equipment life of a programmable thermostat is assumed to be 10 years[459]. For the purposes of claiming savings for a new programmable thermostat, this equipment life is reduced by a 50% persistence factor to give final measures life of 5 years.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

Actual material and labor costs should be used if the implementation method allows. If unknown (e.g. through a retail program), the capital cost for the new installation is assumed to be $70[460].

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE10 - Residential Single Family Central Heat

---

[458] The EnergyStar program discontinued its support for standard programmable thermostats effective 12/31/09.

[459] Table 1, HVAC Controls, Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, 2007. Future evaluation is strongly encouraged to inform the persistence of savings to further refine measure life assumption. As this characterization depends heavily upon a large scale but only 2-year study of the energy impacts of programmable thermostats, the longer term impacts should be assessed.

[460] Market prices vary significantly in this category, generally increasing with thermostat capability and sophistication. The basic functions required by this measure's eligibility criteria are available on units readily available in the market for $30. Labor is assumed to be one hour at $40 per hour.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Loadshape RE12 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Loadshape RG01 – Residential Boiler

Loadshape RG04 – Residential Other Heating

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh^{461} = \%ElectricHeat * Elec_Heating_Consumption * Heating_Reduction * Eff_ISR + (\Delta Therms * Fe * 29.3)$$

Where:

%ElectricHeat = Percentage of heating savings assumed to be electric

Heating fuel	%ElectricHeat
Electric	100%
Natural Gas	0%
Unknown	17%[462]

Elec_Heating_ Consumption

= Estimate of annual household heating consumption for electrically heated homes[463]. If location and heating type is unknown, assume 12,146 kWh[464]

		Elec_Heating_ Consumption (kWH) by Climate Zone (City based upon)		
Heating System[465]	Building Type	Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average/ unknown (Des Moines)
Air-Source Heat Pump	Manufactured	9,031	12,838	10,148
	Multifamily	5,576	7,927	6,266
	Single-family	10,396	14,778	11,682
Ground-Source Heat Pump	Manufactured	5,247	7,459	5,896
	Multifamily	3,234	4,597	3,634
	Single-family	6,029	8,571	6,775
Electric Furnace/Baseboard	Manufactured	11,325	16,098	12,725
	Multifamily	7,619	10,830	8,561
	Single-family	12,454	17,703	13,994

[461] Note the second part of the algorithm relates to furnace fan savings if the heating system is Natural Gas.
[462] Average (default) value of 17% electric space heating from 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey for Iowa. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area, then they should be used.
[463] Based on Cadmus modeling performed for the 2011 Joint Assessment.
[464] Assumption that 67% of electrically heated homes have electric resistance and 33% have Air Source Heat Pump, based on 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey for Iowa, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls". Assume 80% Single Family and 20% Multi Family, based on 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey for Iowa, see "HC2.9 Structural and Geographic in Midwest Region.xls".
[465] If the home has a Heat Pump, a programmable thermostat specifically designed for heat pumps should be used to minimize the use of backup electric resistance heat systems.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Heating_Reduction = Assumed percentage reduction in total household heating energy consumption due to programmable thermostat

= 6.8%[466]

Eff_ISR = Effective In-Service Rate, the percentage of thermostats installed and programmed effectively

Program Delivery	Eff_ISR
Direct Install	100%
Other, or unknown	56%[467]

ΔTherms = Therm savings if Natural Gas heating system

= See calculation in Natural Gas section below

F_e = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption

= 3.14%[468]

29.3 = kWh per therm

Based on defaults provided above[469]:

			ΔkWh by Climate Zone (city based upon)					
			Direct Install			Other Programs		
Heating Fuel	Heating System	Building Type	Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average/ unknown (Des Moines)
Electrically Heated Home	Air-Source Heat Pump	Manufactured	614.1	873.0	690.1	343.9	488.9	386.4
		Multifamily	379.2	539.0	426.1	212.3	301.9	238.6
		Single-family	707.0	1,004.9	794.4	395.9	562.8	444.9
	Ground-Source Heat Pump	Manufactured	356.8	507.2	400.9	199.8	284.0	224.5
		Multifamily	219.9	312.6	247.1	123.2	175.1	138.4
		Single-family	410.0	582.8	460.7	229.6	326.4	258.0
	Electric Furnace/ Baseboard	Manufactured	770.1	1,094.6	865.3	431.2	613.0	484.6
		Multifamily	518.1	736.4	582.1	290.1	412.4	326.0
		Single-family	846.9	1,203.8	951.6	474.2	674.1	532.9
Gas Heated Home	Furnace	Manufactured	29.2	41.5	32.8	16.4	23.3	18.4
		Multifamily	19.4	27.5	21.8	10.9	15.4	12.2

[466] The savings from programmable thermostats are highly susceptible to many factors best addressed, so far for this category, by a study that controlled for the most significant issues with a very large sample size. To the extent that the treatment group is representative of the program participants for IA, this value is suitable. Higher and lower values would be justified based upon clear dissimilarities due to program and product attributes. Future evaluation work should assess program specific impacts associated with penetration rates, baseline levels, persistence, and other factors which this value represents.

[467] "Programmable Thermostats. Report to KeySpan Energy Delivery on Energy Savings and Cost Effectiveness," GDS Associates, Marietta, GA. 2002GDS

[468] F_e is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy (Ef in MMBtu/yr) and Eae (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the ENERGY STAR version 3 criteria for 2% F_e. See "Programmable Thermostats Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx" for reference.

[469] See "Programmable Thermostat Savings.xls" for calculation detail.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

			ΔkWh by Climate Zone (city based upon)					
			Direct Install			Other Programs		
Heating Fuel	Heating System	Building Type	Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average/ unknown (Des Moines)
		Single-family	33.6	47.7	37.7	18.8	26.7	21.1
	Boiler	Manufactured	37.4	53.2	42.0	21.0	29.8	23.5
		Multifamily	30.1	42.8	33.8	16.9	24.0	19.0
		Single-family	41.6	59.1	46.7	23.3	33.1	26.2
Unknown Heat and Location			N/A	N/A	170.4	N/A	N/A	95.4

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

N/A due to no savings from cooling during the summer peak period.

NATURAL GAS ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta Therms = \%FossilHeat * Gas_Heating_Consumption * Heating_Reduction * Eff_ISR$$

Where:

%FossilHeat = Percentage of heating savings assumed to be Natural Gas

Heating fuel	%FossilHeat
Electric	0%
Natural Gas	100%
Unknown	83%[470]

Gas_Heating_Consumption

= Estimate of annual household heating consumption for gas heated single-family homes[471]. If location is unknown, assume 578therms[472]

		Gas_Heating_Consumption (Therms) by Climate Zone (City based upon)		
Heating System	Building Type	Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average/ unknown (Des Moines)
Heat Central Furnace	Manufactured	467	664	525
	Multifamily	310	440	348
	Single-family	537	763	603
Heat Central Boiler	Manufactured	598	850	672
	Multifamily	481	684	541
	Single-family	665	945	747

[470] Average (default) value of 83% gas space heating from 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey for Iowa. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area, then they should be used.

[471] Based on Cadmus modeling performed for the 2011 Joint Assessment.

[472] Assumption that 83% of gas heated homes have furnaces and 17% have boilers, based on 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey for Iowa, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls". Assume 80% Single Family and 20% Multifamily, based on 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey for Iowa, see "HC2.9 Structural and Geographic in Midwest Region.xls".

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Based on defaults provided above[473]:

		ΔTherms by Climate Zone (city based upon)					
		Direct Install			Other Programs		
Heating System	Building Type	Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average/ unknown (Des Moines)
Heat Central Furnace	Manufactured	31.8	45.2	35.7	17.8	25.3	20.0
	Multifamily	21.1	29.9	23.7	11.8	16.8	13.3
	Single-family	36.5	51.9	41.0	20.4	29.0	23.0
Heat Central Boiler	Manufactured	40.7	57.8	45.7	22.8	32.4	25.6
	Multifamily	32.7	46.5	36.8	18.3	26.1	20.6
	Single-family	45.2	64.3	50.8	25.3	36.0	28.4
Unknown Heat and Location		N/A	N/A	32.6	N/A	N/A	18.3

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-PROG-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2018

[473] See "Programmable Thermostat Savings.xls" for calculation detail.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.4.18 Advanced Thermostats

DESCRIPTION

This measure characterizes the household energy savings from the installation of a new thermostat(s) for reduced heating and cooling consumption through a configurable schedule of temperature setpoints (like a programmable thermostat) *and* automatic variations to that schedule to better match HVAC system runtimes to meet occupant comfort needs. These schedules may be defaults, established through user interaction, and be changed manually at the device or remotely through a web or mobile app. Automatic variations to that schedule could be driven by local sensors and software algorithms, and/or through connectivity to an internet software service. Data triggers to automatic schedule changes might include, for example: occupancy/activity detection, arrival & departure within conditioned spaces, optimization based on historical or population-specific trends, weather data and forecasts.[474] This class of products and services are relatively new, diverse, and rapidly changing. Generally, the savings expected for this measure aren't yet established at the level of individual features, but rather at the system level and how it performs overall. Like programmable thermostats, it is not suitable to assume that heating and cooling savings follow a similar pattern of usage and savings opportunity, and so here too this measure treats these savings independently. Note that it is a very active area of ongoing study to better map features to savings value, and establish standards of performance measurement based on field data so that a standard of efficiency can be developed. [475] That work is not yet complete but does inform the treatment of some aspects of this characterization and recommendations. Energy savings are applicable at the household level; all thermostats controlling household heat should be programmable and installation of multiple advanced thermostats per home does not accrue additional savings.

Note that though these devices and service could potentially be used as part of a demand response program, the costs, delivery, impacts, and other aspects of DR-specific program delivery are not included in this characterization at this time, though they could be added in the future.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, RF, DI.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The criteria for this measure are established by replacement of a manual-only or programmable thermostat, with one that has the default enabled capability—or the capability to automatically—a schedule of temperature setpoints according to driving device inputs above and beyond basic time and temperature data of conventional programmable thermostats. As summarized in the description, this category of products and services is broad and rapidly advancing in regards to their capability, usability, and sophistication, but at a minimum must be capable of two-way communication[476] and exceed the typical performance of manual and conventional programmable thermostats through the automatic or default capabilities described above.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline is either the actual type (manual or programmable) if it is known,[477] or an assumed mix of these two types based upon information available from evaluations or surveys that represent the population of program

[474] For example, the capabilities of products and added services that use ultrasound, infrared, or geofencing sensor systems, automatically develop individual models of home's thermal properties through user interaction, and optimize system operation based on equipment type and performance traits based on weather forecasts demonstrate the type of automatic schedule change functionality that apply to this measure characterization.

[475] The ENERGY STAR program discontinued its support for basic programmable thermostats effective 12/31/09, and is presently developing a new specification for 'Residential Climate Controls'.

[476] This measure recognizes that field data may be available, through this 2-way communication capability, to better inform characterization of efficiency criteria and savings calculations. It is recommended that program implementations incorporate this data into their planning and operation activities to improve understanding of the measure to manage risks and enhance savings results.

[477] If the actual thermostat is a programmable and it is found to be used in override mode or otherwise effectively being operated like a manual thermostat, then the baseline may be considered to be a manual thermostat

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

participants. This mix may vary by program, but as a default, 44% programmable and 56% manual thermostats may be assumed[478].

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life for advanced thermostats is assumed to be similar to that of a programmable thermostat 10 years[479] based upon equipment life only.[480]

DEEMED MEASURE COST

For DI and other programs for which installation services are provided, the actual material, labor, and other costs should be used. For retail, BYOT, or other program types actual costs are still preferable[481] but if unknown then the average incremental cost for the new installation measure is assumed to be $175[482].

LOADSHAPE

ΔkWh	→ RE08 - Residential Single Family Heat Pump
$\Delta kWh_{heating}$	→ RE06 - Residential Single Family Central Heat
	→ RE01 - Residential Multi-family Central Heat
$\Delta kWh_{cooling}$	→ RE07 - Residential Single Family Cooling
	→ RE02 - Residential Multi-family Cooling
ΔTherms	→ RG02 - Residential Boiler
	→ RG04 - Residential Other Heating

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \Delta kWh_{heat} + kWh_{cool}$$

$$\Delta kWh_{heat} = \%ElectricHeat * Elec_Heating_Consumption * Heating_Reduction * HF * Eff_ISR + (\Delta Therms * Fe * 29.3)$$

$$\Delta kWh_{cool} = \%AC * ((EFLH_{cool} * Capacity_{cool} * 1/SEERbase)/1000) * Cooling_Reduction * Eff_ISR$$

Where:

[478] Value for blend of baseline thermostats comes from an IL Potential Study conducted by ComEd in 2013

[479] Table 1, HVAC Controls, Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, 2007

[480] Future evaluation is strongly encouraged to inform the persistence of savings to further refine measure life assumption. As this characterization depends heavily upon a number of savings studies that only lasted a single year or less, the longer term impacts should be assessed.

[481] Including any one-time software integration or annual software maintenance, and or individual device energy feature fees.

[482] Market prices vary considerably in this category, generally increasing with thermostat capability and sophistication. The core suite of functions required by this measure's eligibility criteria are available on units readily available in the market roughly in the range of $200 and $250, excluding the availability of any wholesale or volume discounts. The assumed incremental cost is based on the middle of this range ($225) minus a cost of $50 for the baseline equipment blend of manual and programmable thermostats. Note that any add-on energy service costs, which may include one-time setup and/or annual per device costs are not included in this assumption.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

%ElectricHeat = Percentage of heating savings assumed to be electric

Heating fuel	%ElectricHeat
Electric	100%
Natural Gas	0%
Unknown	17%[483]

Elec_Heating_Consumption

= Estimate of annual household heating consumption for electrically heated single-family homes[484]. If location and heating type is unknown, assume 12,146 kWh[485].

		Elec_Heating_ Consumption (kWH) by Climate Zone (City based upon)		
Heating System[486]	Building Type	Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average/ unknown (Des Moines)
Air-Source Heat Pump	Manufactured	9,031	12,838	10,148
	Multifamily	5,576	7,927	6,266
	Single-family	10,396	14,778	11,682
Ground-Source Heat Pump	Manufactured	5,247	7,459	5,896
	Multifamily	3,234	4,597	3,634
	Single-family	6,029	8,571	6,775
Electric Furnace/Baseboard	Manufactured	11,325	16,098	12,725
	Multifamily	7,619	10,830	8,561
	Single-family	12,454	17,703	13,994

Heating_Reduction = Assumed percentage reduction in total household heating energy consumption due to advanced thermostat

= If programs are evaluated during program deployment then custom savings a ssumptions should be applied. Otherwise use:

Existing Thermostat Type	Heating_Reduction[487]
Manual	8.8%
Programmable	5.6%
Unknown (Blended)	7.4%

HF = Household factor, to adjust heating consumption for non-single-family households.

[483] Average (default) value of 17% electric space heating from 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey for Iowa. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area, then they should be used.

[484] Based on Cadmus modeling performed for the 2011 Joint Assessment.

[485] Assumption that 67% of electrically heated homes have electric resistance and 33% have Air Source Heat Pump, based on 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey for Iowa, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls". Assume 80% Single Family and 20% Multi Family, based on 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey for Iowa, see "HC2.9 Structural and Geographic in Midwest Region.xls".

[486] If the home has a Heat Pump, a programmable thermostat specifically designed for heat pumps should be used to minimize the use of backup electric resistance heat systems.

[487] These values represent adjusted baseline savings values for different existing thermostats as presented in Navigant's IL TRM Workpaper on Impact Analysis from Preliminary Gas savings findings (page 28). The unknown assumption is calculated by multiplying the savings for manual and programmable thermostats by their respective share of baseline.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Household Type	HF
Single-Family	100%
Multi-Family	65%[488]
Actual	Custom[489]

Eff_ISR = Effective In-Service Rate, the percentage of thermostats installed and configured effectively for 2-way communication

= If programs are evaluated during program deployment then custom ISR assumptions should be applied. If in service rate is captured within the savings percentage, ISR should be 100%. If using default savings:

Program Delivery	Eff_ISR
Direct Install	100%
Other	100%[490]

ΔTherms = Therm savings if Natural Gas heating system

= See calculation in Natural Gas section below

F_e = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption

= 3.14%[491]

29.3 = kWh per therm

%AC = Fraction of customers with thermostat-controlled air-conditioning

Thermostat control of air conditioning?	%AC
Yes	100%
No	0%
Unknown	Actual population data, or 64%[492]

$EFLH_{cool}$ = Estimate of annual household full load cooling hours for air conditioning equipment based on location and home type. If location and cooling type are unknown, assume the weighted average.

[488] Multifamily household heating consumption relative to single-family households is affected by overall household square footage and exposure to the exterior. This 65% reduction factor is applied to MF homes with electric resistance, based on professional judgment that average household size, and heat loads of MF households are smaller than single-family homes

[489] Program-specific household factors may be utilized on the basis of sufficiently validated program evaluations.

[490] As a function of the method for determining savings impact of these devices, in-service rate effects are already incorporated into the savings value for heating reduction above.

[491] F_e is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy (Ef in MMBTU/yr) and Eae (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the Energy Star version 3 criteria for 2% F_e. See "Programmable Thermostats Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx" for reference.

[492] 64% of homes have central cooling (based on 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Midwest Region.xls").

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Climate Zone (City based upon)	FLH (Hours)					
	Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	548	918	504	736	508	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	279	468	257	375	259	441
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	484	811	445	650	449	764

$Capacity_{cool}$ = Cooling Capacity of new equipment in Btu/hr (note 1 ton = 12,000Btu/hr)

= Actual installed - If actual size unknown, assume 36,000

SEERbase = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of baseline unit (kBtu/kWh)

= 13[493]

1/1000 = kBtu per Btu

Cooling_Reduction = Assumed percentage reduction in total household cooling energy consumption due to installation of advanced thermostat

= If programs are evaluated during program deployment then custom savings assumptions should be applied. Otherwise use:

= 8.0%[494]

For example, an advanced thermostat replacing a programmable thermostat directly installed in an electric resistance heated, single-family home in Mason City with advanced thermostat-controlled air conditioning of a system of unknown size and seasonal efficiency rating:

$\Delta kWH = \Delta kWh_{heating} + \Delta kWh_{cooling}$

= 1 * 17,703 * 5.6% * 100% * 100% + (33.77 * 3.14% * 29.3) + 100% * ((468 * 36,000 * (1/13))/1000) * 8% * 100%

= 1,172kWh + 215 kWh

= 1,126 kWh

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = (Cooling_Reduction * Capacity_{cool} * (1/EER))/1000 * Eff_ISR * CF$$

Where:

EER = Energy Efficiency Ratio of existing cooling system (kBtu/hr / kW)

= Use actual EER rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. If EER unknown but SEER available convert using the equation:

$$EER = (-0.02 * SEER_exist^2) + (1.12 * SEER_exist)$$

[493] Based on Minimum Federal Standard; http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/residential/residential_cac_hp.html.

[494] This assumption is based upon the review of many evaluations from other regions in the US. Cooling savings are more variable than heating due to significantly more variability in control methods and potential population and product capability.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

If SEER or EER rating unavailable use[495]:

Cooling System	EER[496]
Air Source Heat Pump	8.55
Central AC	8.15

CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling

= 48.5%[497]

For example, an advanced thermostat replacing a programmable thermostat directly installed in an electric resistance heated, single-family home in Mason City with advanced thermostat-controlled air conditioning of a system of unknown size and seasonal efficiency rating:

ΔkW = (8% * 36,000 * (1/8.15))/1000 * 100% * 48.5%

= 0.17 kW

NATURAL GAS ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta Therms = \%FossilHeat * Gas_Heating_Consumption * Heating_Reduction * HF * Eff_ISR$$

Where:

%FossilHeat

= Percentage of heating savings assumed to be Natural Gas

Heating fuel	%FossilHeat
Electric	0%
Natural Gas	100%
Unknown	83%[498]

Gas_Heating_Consumption

= Estimate of annual household heating consumption for gas heated single-family homes. If location is unknown, assume 578 therms[499].

[495] From Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder.

[496] Average nameplate efficiencies of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren, Illinois PY3-PY4 program data.

[497] In the absence of conclusive results from empirical studies on peak savings, we recommend a temporary assumption of 50% of the cooling coincidence factor (which is based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.), acknowledging that while the savings from the advanced Thermostat will track with the cooling load, the impact during peak periods may be lower. This is an assumption that could use future evaluation to improve these estimates.

[498] Average (default) value of 83% gas space heating from 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey for Iowa. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area, then they should be used.

[499] Assumption that 83% of gas heated homes have furnaces and 17% have boilers, based on 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey for Iowa, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls". Assume 80% Single Family and 20% Multifamily, based on 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey for Iowa, see "HC2.9 Structural and Geographic in Midwest Region.xls".

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

		Gas_Heating_Consumption (Therms) by Climate Zone (City based upon)		
Heating System	Building Type	Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average/ unknown (Des Moines)
Heat Central Furnace	Manufactured	467	664	525
	Multifamily	310	440	348
	Single-family	537	763	603
Heat Central Boiler	Manufactured	598	850	672
	Multifamily	481	684	541
	Single-family	665	945	747

Other variables as provided above

For example, an advanced thermostat replacing a programmable thermostat directly-installed in a gas heated furnace single-family home in Des Moines:

ΔTherms = 1.0 * 603 * 5.6% * 100% * 100%

= 33.77 therms

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-ADTH-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2018

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.5 Lighting

2.5.1 Compact Fluorescent Lamp - Standard

DESCRIPTION

A low wattage ENERGY STAR qualified compact fluorescent screw-in bulb (CFL) is installed in place of a baseline screw-in bulb.

This characterization provides assumptions for when the CFL is installed in a known location (i.e., residential and in-unit interior or exterior) or, if the implementation strategy does not allow for the installation location to be known (e.g., an upstream retail program or efficiency kit), an unknown residential location assumption is provided. For upstream programs, utilities should develop an assumption of the Residential v Nonresidential split and apply the relevant assumptions to each portion.

Federal legislation stemming from the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (EISA) requires all general-purpose light bulbs between 40W and 100W to be approximately 30% more energy efficient than standard incandescent bulbs. Production of 100W, standard efficacy incandescent lamps ended in 2012, followed by restrictions on 75W lamps in 2013 and 60W and 40W lamps in 2014. The baseline for this measure has therefore become bulbs (improved incandescent or halogen) that meet the new standard. Furthermore, the Technical Advisory Committee approved assuming a blended baseline condition of EISA qualified incandescent/halogen, CFL and LED lamps. This assumption should be reviewed during each update cycle and when the net to gross impacts for this measure are determined.

A provision in the EISA regulations requires that by January 1, 2020, all lamps meet efficiency criteria of at least 45 lumens per watt, in essence making the baseline equivalent to a current day CFL. Therefore, the measure life (number of years that savings should be claimed) should be reduced once the assumed lifetime of the bulb exceeds 2020.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, DI, KITS.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

In order for this characterization to apply, the high-efficiency equipment must be a standard general service ENERGY STAR qualified compact fluorescent lamp based upon the v1.1 ENERGY STAR specification for lamps (http://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Lamps%20V1%201_Specification.pdf). Note a new ENERGY STAR specification v2.0 will become effective on 1/2/2017 (https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Lamps%20V2%20Revised%20Spec.pdf).

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition for this measure is assumed to be a blend of 70% EISA qualified halogen or incandescent and 20% CFL and 5% LED[500].

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

For Residential, Multifamily In-unit bulbs, and Unknown: The expected lifetime of a CFL is assumed to be 5.2 years[501]. To account for the backstop provision of the EISA 2007 legislation, for bulbs installed in 2015 this would be reduced to 5 years, and then for every subsequent year should be reduced by one year[502].

---

[500] As proposed and discussed by Iowa TRM Oversight Committee and Technical Advisory Committee.

[501] Jump et al. 2008: "Welcome to the Dark Side: The Effect of Switching on CFL Measure Life" indicates that the "observed life" of CFLs with an average rated life of 8000 hours (8000 hours is the average rated life of ENERGY STAR bulbs (http://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=cfls.pr_crit_cfls) is 5.2 years.

[502] Since the replacement baseline bulb from 2020 on will be equivalent to a CFL, no additional savings should be claimed from

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Exterior bulbs: The expected measure life is 4.0 years[503] for bulbs up to 2016. For bulbs installed in 2017 this would be reduced to 3 years, etc.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

For the Retail (Time of Sale) measure, the incremental capital cost for all bulbs under 2,000 lumens is $1.03[504] (baseline cost of $2.17[505] and efficient cost of $3.20).

For bulbs over 2,000 lumens, the assumed incremental capital cost is $2.76[506] (baseline cost of $3.44[507] and efficient cost of $6.20).

For the Direct Install measure, actual program delivery costs should be used if available. If not, the full cost of $3.20[508] per bulb <2000 lumens or $6.20 per bulb ≥ 2000 lumens should be used, plus $10 labor[509], for a total measure cost of $13.20 per <2,000 lumen bulb and $16.20 per ≥ 2,000 lumen bulb.

For bulbs provided in Efficiency Kits, the actual program delivery costs should be used.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE03 - Residential Indoor Lighting

Loadshape RE08 - Residential Outdoor Lighting

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \frac{Watts_{Base} - Watts_{EE}}{1{,}000} * ISR * Hours * (WHFeHeat + (WHFeCool - 1))$$

Where:

$Watts_{Base}$ = Based on lumens of CFL bulb installed and includes blend of incandescent/halogen[510], CFL and LED by weightings provided in table below[511]. Note that when an IA net-to-gross (NTG) factor is determined for this measure, this blended baseline should be replaced

that point forward.

[503] Based on using 10,000 hour rated life, minimum ENERGY STAR v1.1 requirement. 10,000/2475 = 4.0 years

[504] Incandescent/halogen and CFL assumptions based on incremental costs for 60W equivalent (dominant bulb) from "2010-2012 WA017 Ex Ante Measure Cost Study Draft Report", Itron, February 28, 2014.

[505] Based on 70% Incandescent ($1.40), 25% CFL ($3.20) and 5% LED ($7.87). LED lamp costs are based on a 2014/2015 VEIC review of a year's worth of LED sales through VEIC implemented programs. The retail cost was averaged and then DOE price projection trends (from Department of Energy, 2012; "Energy Savings Potential of Solid-State Lighting in General Illumination Applications", Table A.1) used to decrease the cost for a 2017 TRM assumption (see 2015 LED Sales Review.xls). LED costs are falling rapidly and should be reviewed in each update cycle.

[506] Based on high brightness lamps from"2010-2012 WA017 Ex Ante Measure Cost Study Draft Report", Itron, February 28, 2014.

[507] Based on 70% Incandescent ($1.60), 25% CFL ($6.20) and 5% LED ($15.39)

[508] Based on 15W CFL, "2010-2012 WA017 Ex Ante Measure Cost Study Draft Report", Itron, February 28, 2014.

[509] Assumption based on 15 minutes (including portion of travel time) and $40 per hour.

[510] Incandescent/Halogen wattage is based upon the post first phase of EISA wattage and wattage bins consistent with ENERGY STAR, v1.1; http://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Lamps%20V1%201_Specification.pdf.

[511] Weightings were determined through discussions with the Technical Advisory Committee. These are based upon review of Itron socket saturation and inventory data, in addition to review of multiple other data sources on the lighting market in other jurisdictions.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

with the Incandescent/Halogen baseline only.

$Watts_{EE}$ = Actual wattage of CFL purchased / installed - If unknown, assume the following defaults[512]:

Lower Lumen Range	Upper Lumen Range	Inc/Halogen	$Watts_{EE}$ CFL	LED	$Watts_{Base}$	Delta Watts
		70%	25%	5%		
250	309	25	5.1	4.0	19.0	13.9
310	749	29	9.4	6.7	23.0	13.6
750	1,049	43	13.4	10.1	33.9	20.6
1,050	1,489	53	18.9	12.8	42.5	23.5
1,490	2,600	72	24.8	17.4	57.5	32.7
2,601	3,000	150	41.1	43.1	117.4	76.3
3,001	3,999	200	53.8	53.8	156.2	102.3
4,000	6,000	300	65.0	76.9	230.1	165.1

ISR = In Service Rate, the percentage of units rebated that are actually in service

Program		# of bulbs	Discounted In Service Rate (ISR)[513]
Retail (Time of Sale)[514]			92%
Direct Install[515]			97%
Efficiency Kits	School Kits[516]	1	57%
		2	48%
		3	42%
		Unknown[517]	49%
	EnergyWise (Low Income)[518]	1	79%
		2	74%
		Unknown[519]	76.5%

Hours = Average hours of use per year

Installation Location	Hours
Residential Interior and in-unit	894[520]

[512] $Watts_{EE}$ defaults are based upon the average available ENERGY STAR product, accessed 06/18/2015. For any lumen range where there is no ENERGY STAR product currently available, $Watts_{EE}$ is based upon the ENERGY STAR minimum luminous efficacy (55Lm/W for lamps with rated wattages less than 15W and 65 Lm/W for lamps with rated wattages ≥ 15 watts) for the mid-point of the lumen range. See calculation at "cerified-light-bulbs-2015-06-18.xlsx". These assumptions should be reviewed regularly to ensure they represent the available product.

[513] All Programs except for Direct Install assume that some lamps are not installed in the first year but are later installed in years 2 and 3. To ease implementation, these future installs are discounted using the statewide real discount rate (7.71%); see "Res Lighting ISR calculation.xlsx" for more information.

[514] In service rate for Retail CFLs is based upon recommendation in the Uniform Methods Project to use data from the Navigant Consulting and Apex Analytics (2013) study.

[515] Based upon review of the Illinois PY2 and PY3 ComEd Direct Install program surveys; http://www.ilsag.info/evaluation-documents.html

[516] Based on results provided in "School-based interim process memo_Final_100215.doc".

[517] Average of above.

[518] Based on Cadmus, "Final Report: Iowa 2015 Energy Wise Program", January 29, 2016, p16.

[519] Average of above.

[520] Average of four Midwest metering studies: 2011 Ameren Missouri Lighting and Appliance Evaluation – PY 2; 2012 Consumers

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Installation Location	Hours
Multifamily	
Exterior	2,475 [521]
Unknown (e.g., Retail, Upstream, and Efficiency Kits)	973[522]

$WHFe_{Heat}$ = Waste Heat Factor for energy to account for electric heating increase from reducing waste heat from efficient lighting (if fossil fuel heating – see calculation of heating penalty in that section)

= 1 - ((HF / $\eta Heat_{Electric}$) * %ElecHeat)

If unknown assume 0.94[523]

Where:

HF = Heating Factor or percentage of light savings that must now be heated

= 53%[524] for interior or unknown location

= 0% for exterior or unheated location

$\eta Heat_{Electric}$ = Efficiency in COP of Heating equipment

= Actual - If not available, use[525]:

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	ηHeat (COP Estimate)
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	2.00
	2006-2014	7.7	2.26
	2015 and after	8.2	2.40
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00
Unknown	N/A	N/A	1.38[526]

%ElecHeat = Percentage of home with electric heat

Heating fuel	%ElecHeat
Electric	100%
Fossil Fuel	0%
Unknown	15%[527]

$WHFe_{Cool}$ = Waste Heat Factor for energy to account for cooling savings from reducing waste heat

Energy - Technical Memo; 2012 DTE - Technical Memo; and PY5/PY6 ComEd, Illinois Residential Lighting Program evaluation.

[521] Based on secondary research conducted as part of the Illinois PY5/PY6 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation.

[522] Assumes 5% exterior lighting, based on Illinois PY5/PY6 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation.

[523] Calculated using defaults: 1-((0.53/1.38) * 0.15) = 0.94

[524] This means that heating loads increase by 53% of the lighting savings. This is based on the average result from REMRate modeling of several different building configurations in Des Moines, Mason City, and Burlington.

[525] These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 and 2015 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

[526] Calculation assumes 33% Heat Pump and 67% Resistance, which is based upon data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls". Average efficiency of heat pump is based on assumption 50% are units from before 2006 and 50% 2006-2014.

[527] Based on data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls".

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

from efficient lighting

Bulb Location	$WHFe_{Cool}$
Building with cooling	1.12[528]
Building without cooling or exterior	1.0
Unknown	1.08[529]

For example, for a 900 lumen 17W standard CFL in an unknown location:

ΔkWh = ((33.9 - 17) / 1000) * 0.92 * 973 * (0.94 + (1.08 – 1))

= 15.4 kWh

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{Watts_{Base} - Watts_{EE}}{1{,}000} * ISR * WHFdCool * CF$$

Where:

WHFdCool = Waste Heat Factor for demand to account for cooling savings from efficient lighting

Bulb Location	WHFdCool
Building with cooling	1.22[530]
Building without cooling or exterior	1.0
Unknown (e.g., Retail, Upstream, and Efficiency Kits)	1.14[531]

CF = Summer peak Coincidence Factor for measure

Bulb Location	CF
Residential Interior and in-unit Multifamily [532]	13.1%
Exterior [533]	1.8%
Unknown (e.g., Retail, Upstream and Efficiency Kits)[534]	12.5%

Other factors as defined above

[528] The value is estimated at 1.12 (calculated as 1 + (0.34 / 2.8)). Based on cooling loads decreasing by 34% of the lighting savings (average result from REMRate modeling of several different building configurations in Des Moines, Mason City, and Burlington), assuming typical cooling system operating efficiency of 2.8 COP (starting from standard assumption of SEER 10.5 central AC unit, converted to 9.5 EER using algorithm (-0.02 * $SEER^2$) + (1.12 * SEER) (from Wassmer, M. (2003); A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder), converted to COP = EER/3.412 = 2.8COP).

[529] The value is estimated at 1.09 (calculated as 1 + (0.64*(0.34 / 2.8)). Based on assumption that 64% of homes have central cooling (based on 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Midwest Region.xls").

[530] The value is estimated at 1.22 (calculated as 1 + (0.61 / 2.8)). See footnote relating to WHFe for details. Note the 61% factor represents the Residential cooling coincidence factor calculated using the average load during the peak period (as opposed to the peak hour) consistent with the lighting peak hours.

[531] The value is estimated at 1.14 (calculated as 1 + (0.64 * 0.61 / 2.8)).

[532] Based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.

[533] Based on Itron eShapes lighting loadprofiles.

[534] Assumes 5% exterior lighting, based on PYPY5/PY6 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

For example, for a 900 lumen 17W standard CFL in an unknown location:

ΔkW = ((33.9 - 17) / 1000) * 0.92 * 1.14 * 0.125

= 0.0022 kW

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Heating Penalty for Natural Gas heated homes[535]:

$$\Delta Therms = -\frac{\frac{Watts_{Base} - Watts_{EE}}{1{,}000} * ISR * Hours * HF * 0.03412}{\eta\text{Heat}} * \%\text{GasHeat}$$

Where:

HF = Heating Factor or percentage of light savings that must now be heated

= 53%[536] for interior or unknown location

= 0% for exterior or unheated location

0.03412 = Converts kWh to Therms

$\eta Heat_{Gas}$ = Efficiency of heating system

= 74%[537]

%GasHeat = Percentage of homes with gas heat

Heating fuel	%GasHeat
Electric	0%
Gas	100%
Unknown	85%[538]

For example, for a 900 lumen 17W standard CFL in an unknown location:

ΔTherms = - ((((33.9 - 17) / 1000) * 0.92 * 973 * 0.53 * 0.03412) / 0.74) * 0.85

= - 0.31 Therms

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

For ease of application, savings for this measure is assumed to be evenly spread across the year. The Peak Gas Savings is therefore assumed to be:

[535] Results in a negative value because this is an increase in heating consumption due to the efficient lighting.

[536] This means that heating loads increase by 53% of the lighting savings. This is based on the average result from REMRate modeling of several different building configurations in Des Moines, Mason City, and Burlington, IA.

[537] This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey)). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows: ((0.60*0.92) + (0.40*0.8)) * (1-0.15) = 0.74.

[538] Based on data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls".

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \frac{\Delta Therms}{HeatDays}$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above

HeatDays = Heat season days per year

= 217[539]

For example, for a 900 lumen 17W standard CFL in an unknown location:

ΔPeakTherms = - 0.31 /217

= -0.0014 therms

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

The O&M assumptions that should be used in cost effectiveness calculations are provided below:

Installation Location	Replacement Period (years)[540]	Replacement Cost
Residential Interior and in-unit Multifamily	4.7	$2.17 for bulbs <2,000 lumens $3.44 for bulbs ≥2,000 lumens
Exterior	1.7	
Unknown (e.g., Retail, Upstream, and Efficiency Kits)	4.3	

MEASURE CODE: RS-LTG-ESCF-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2018

[539] Number of days where HDD 60 >0.

[540] Calculated by dividing assumed rated life of baseline bulb by hours of use. Assumed lifetime of EISA qualified Halogen/ Incandescents is 1000 hours. The manufacturers are simply using a regular incandescent lamp with halogen fill gas rather than Halogen Infrared to meet the standard (as provided by G. Arnold, NEEP and confirmed by N. Horowitz at NRDC). Assumed lifetime of CFL is 10,000 and of LED is 20,000 hours. Values provided are an average based on 70% incandescent/halogen, 25% CFL and 5% LED (blended average of 4200 hours).

[541] Incandescen/halogen and CFL costs based on "2010-2012 WA017 Ex Ante Measure Cost Study Draft Report", Itron, February 28, 2014. LED lamp costs are based on a 2014/2015 VEIC review of a year's worth of LED sales through VEIC implemented programs. The retail cost was averaged and then DOE price projection trends (from Department of Energy, 2012; "Energy Savings Potential of Solid-State Lighting in General Illumination Applications", Table A.1) used to decrease the cost for a 2017 TRM assumption (see 2015 LED Sales Review.xls). LED costs are falling rapidly and should be reviewed in each update cycle. Baseline based on 70% Incandescent/halogen, 25% CFL and 5% LED.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.5.2 Compact Fluorescent Lamp - Specialty

DESCRIPTION

An ENERGY STAR qualified specialty compact fluorescent bulb is installed in place of an incandescent specialty bulb.

This characterization provides assumptions for when the CFL is installed in a known location (i.e., residential and in-unit interior or exterior) or, if the implementation strategy does not allow for the installation location to be known (e.g., an upstream retail program or efficiency kit), an unknown residential location assumption is provided. For upstream programs, utilities should develop an assumption of the Residential vs Nonresidential split and apply the relevant assumptions to each portion.

The Technical Advisory Committee approved assuming a blended baseline condition of EISA qualified incandescent/halogen, CFL and LED lamps. This assumption should be reviewed during each update cycle and when the net to gross impacts for this measure are determined.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, DI, KITS.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

ENERGY STAR qualified specialty CFL bulb based upon the v1.1 ENERGY STAR specification for lamps (http://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Lamps%20V1%201_Specification.pdf). Note a new ENERGY STAR specification v2.0 will become effective on 1/2/2017 (https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Lamps%20V2%20Revised%20Spec.pdf).

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition for this measure is assumed to be a blend of 80% EISA qualified halogen or incandescent and 10% CFL and 10% LED[542]. Lamp types include those exempt from the EISA 2007 standard: three-way, plant light, daylight bulb, bug light, post light, globes G40 (≤40W equivalent (We)), candelabra base (≤60We), vibration service bulb, decorative candle with medium or intermediate base (≤40We), shatter resistant, and reflector bulbs, and standard bulbs greater than 2601 lumens, and those non-exempt from EISA 2007: dimmable, globes (less than 5" diameter and >40We), candle (shapes B, BA, CA >40We), candelabra base lamps (>60We), and intermediate base lamps (>40We).

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be as follows:

Installation Location	Measure Life (years)[543]
Residential Interior and in-unit Multifamily	11.2
Exterior	4.0
Unknown (e.g., Retail, Upstream and Efficiency Kits)	10.3

DEEMED MEASURE COST

Wherever possible, actual incremental costs should be used. If unavailable, assume the following incremental costs[544]:

[542] As proposed and discussed by Iowa TRM Oversight Committee and Technical Advisory Committee.
[543] Based on dividing hours of use assumptions with rated life assumption of 10,000 hours as per ENERGY STAR v1.1 requirements.
[544] Incandescent/halogen and CFL costs are based on "2010-2012 WA017 Ex Ante Measure Cost Study Draft Report", Itron,

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Bulb Type	CFL Wattage	CFL	Incandescent	LED	Blended Baseline[545]	Incremental Cost
Directional	< 20W	$7.84	$6.31	$14.52	$7.28	$0.56
	≥20W	$9.31		$45.85	$10.56	-$1.25
Decorative and Globes	<15W	$7.80	$3.92	$8.09	$4.73	$3.08
	≥15W	$8.15		$15.86	$5.54	$2.61

For other bulb types, or unknown, assume the incremental capital cost of $1.81 (blended baseline cost of $6.01 and efficient cost of $7.82[546]).

For the Direct Install measure, the full CFL cost should be used plus $10 labor[547]. However, actual program delivery costs should be used if available.

For bulbs provided in Efficiency Kits, the actual program delivery costs should be used.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE03 - Residential Indoor Lighting

Loadshape RE08 - Residential Outdoor Lighting

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \frac{Watts_{Base} - Watts_{EE}}{1{,}000} * ISR * Hours * (WHFeHeat + (WHFeCool - 1))$$

Where:

$Watts_{Base}$ = Based on lumens of CFL bulb installed and includes blend of incandescent/halogen[548], CFL and LED by weightings provided in table below[549]. Note that when an IA net-to-gross (NTG) factor is determined for this measure, this blended baseline should be replaced with the Incandescent/Halogen baseline only.

$Watts_{EE}$ = Actual wattage of energy efficient specialty bulb purchased - If unknown, assume the following defaults[550]:

February 28, 2014. LED lamp costs are based on a 2014/2015 VEIC review of a year's worth of LED sales through VEIC implemented programs. The retail cost was averaged and then DOE price projection trends (from Department of Energy, 2012; "Energy Savings Potential of Solid-State Lighting in General Illumination Applications", Table A.1) used to decrease the cost for a 2017 TRM assumption (see 2015 LED Sales Review.xls). LED costs are falling rapidly and should be reviewed in each update cycle.

[545] Assumes 80% Incandescent/halogen, 10% CFL and 10% LED.

[546] Average of lower wattage bins.

[547] Assumption based on 15 minutes (including portion of travel time) and $40 per hour.

[548] Incandescent/Halogen wattage is based upon the ENERGY STAR specification for lamps (http://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Lamps%20V1%201_Specification.pdf) and the Energy Policy and Conservation Act of 2012.

[549] Weightings were determined through discussions with the Technical Advisory Committee. These are based upon review of Itron socket saturation and inventory data, in addition to review of multiple other data sources on the lighting market in other jurisdictions.

[550] $Watts_{EE}$ defaults are based upon the average available ENERGY STAR product, accessed 06/18/2015. For any lamp type / lumen range where there is no ENERGY STAR product currently available, $Watts_{EE}$ is based upon the ENERGY STAR minimum luminous

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

EISA exempt bulb types:

Bulb Type		Lower Lumen Range	Upper Lumen Range	Inc/Halogen	$Watts_{EE}$ CFL	LED	$Watts_{Base}$	Delta Watts CFL
				80%	10%	10%		
EISA Exempt	3-Way	250	449	25	6.4	6.4	21.3	14.9
		450	799	40	11.4	11.4	34.3	22.9
		800	1,099	60	13.0	10.0	50.3	37.3
		1,100	1,599	75	20.8	13.1	63.4	42.6
		1,600	1,999	100	26.0	19.4	84.5	58.6
		2,000	2,549	125	32.2	35.0	106.7	74.5
		2,550	2,999	150	40.0	42.7	128.3	88.3
	Globe (medium and intermediate bases less than 750 lumens)	90	179	10	3.0	3.0	8.6	5.6
		180	249	15	4.8	4.8	13.0	8.2
		250	349	25	6.7	4.1	21.1	14.4
		350	749	40	9.9	6.5	33.6	23.7
	Decorative (Shapes B, BA, C, CA, DC, F, G, medium and intermediate bases less than 750 lumens)	70	89	10	1.8	1.8	8.4	6.6
		90	149	15	2.7	2.7	12.5	9.9
		150	299	25	5.0	3.7	20.9	15.9
		300	749	40	7.5	5.3	33.3	25.7
	Globe (candelabra bases less than 1050 lumens)	90	179	10	3.0	3.0	8.6	5.6
		180	249	15	4.8	4.8	13.0	8.2
		250	349	25	6.7	4.1	21.1	14.4
		350	499	40	9.4	4.8	33.4	24.0
		500	1,049	60	15.5	7.0	50.2	34.8
	Decorative (Shapes B, BA, C, CA, DC, F, G, candelabra bases less than 1050 lumens)	70	89	10	1.8	1.8	8.4	6.6
		90	149	15	2.7	2.7	12.5	9.9
		150	299	25	5.0	3.0	20.8	15.8
		300	499	40	7.7	4.7	33.2	25.6
		500	1,049	60	15.5	6.9	50.2	34.7

Directional Lamps - For Directional R, BR, and ER lamp types[551]:

efficacy (Omnidirectional; 55Lm/W for lamps with rated wattages less than 15W and 65 Lm/W for lamps with rated wattages ≥ 15 watts, Directional; 40Lm/W for lamps with rated wattages less than 20Wand 50 Lm/W for lamps with rated wattages ≥ 20 watts and Decorative; 45Lm/W for lamps with rated wattages less than 15W, 50lm/W for lamps ≥15 and <25W, 60 Lm/W for ≥ 25 watts) for the mid-point of the lumen range. See calculation at "cerified-light-bulbs-2015-06-18.xlsx". These assumptions should be reviewed regularly to ensure they represent the available product.

[551] From pg 11 of the Energy Star Specification for lamps v1.1.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Bulb Type		Lower Lumen Range	Upper Lumen Range	Inc/Halogen	$Watts_{EE}$ CFL	LED	$Watts_{Base}$	Delta Watts CFL
				80%	10%	10%		
Directional	R, ER, BR with medium screw bases w/ diameter >2.25" (*see exceptions below)	420	472	40	11.0	7.5	33.9	22.9
		473	524	45	12.5	7.9	38.0	25.6
		525	714	50	14.9	9.1	42.4	27.5
		715	937	65	15.6	12.6	54.8	39.2
		938	1,259	75	21.1	16.1	63.7	42.6
		1,260	1,399	90	23.0	17.8	76.1	53.1
		1,400	1,739	100	31.4	19.2	85.1	53.7
		1,740	2,174	120	39.1	25.6	102.5	63.3
		2,175	2,624	150	48.0	28.8	127.7	79.7
		2,625	2,999	175	56.2	56.2	151.2	95.0
		3,000	4,500	200	75.0	75.0	175.0	100.0
	*R, BR, and ER with medium screw bases w/ diameter ≤2.25"	400	449	40	10.6	6.3	33.7	23.1
		450	499	45	11.9	6.8	37.9	26.0
		500	649	50	14.4	7.3	42.2	27.8
		650	1,199	65	18.5	13.3	55.2	36.7
	*ER30, BR30, BR40, or ER40	400	449	40	10.6	10.6	34.1	23.5
		450	499	45	11.9	11.9	38.4	26.5
		500	649	50	14.4	12.0	42.6	28.3
	*BR30, BR40, or ER40	650	1,419	65	18.0	12.4	55.0	37.1
	*R20	400	449	40	10.6	10.6	34.1	23.5
		450	719	45	12.5	7.7	38.0	25.5
	*All reflector lamps below lumen ranges specified above	200	299	20	6.2	4.0	17.0	10.8
		300	399	30	8.7	6.2	25.5	16.8

Directional lamps are exempt from EISA regulations.

EISA non-exempt bulb types:

Bulb Type		Lower Lumen Range	Upper Lumen Range	Inc/Halogen	$Watts_{EE}$ CFL	LED	$Watts_{Base}$	Delta Watts CFL
				80%	10%	10%		
EISA Non-Exempt	Dimmable Twist, Globe (less than 5" in diameter and > 749 lumens), candle (shapes B, BA, CA > 749 lumens), Candelabra Base Lamps (>1049 lumens), Intermediate Base Lamps (>749 lumens)	250	309	25	5.1	4.1	20.9	15.8
		310	749	29	9.5	6.6	24.8	15.3
		750	1049	43	13.5	10.1	36.8	23.3
		1050	1489	53	18.9	12.8	45.6	26.6
		1490	2600	72	24.8	17.4	61.8	37.0

ISR = In Service Rate, the percentage of units rebated that are actually in service

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Program		# of bulbs	Discounted In Service Rate (ISR)[552]
Retail (Time of Sale)[553]			92%
Direct Install[554]			97%
Efficiency Kits	School Kits[555]	1	57%
		2	48%
		3	42%
		Unknown[556]	49%
	EnergyWise (Low Income)[557]	1	79%
		2	74%
		Unknown[558]	76.5%

Hours = Average hours of use per year, varies by bulb type as presented below:

Installation Location	Hours
Residential Interior and in-unit Multifamily	894[559]
Exterior	2,475 [560]
Unknown (e.g., Retail, Upstream and Efficiency Kits)	973[561]

$WHFe_{Heat}$ = Waste Heat Factor for energy to account for electric heating increase from reducing waste heat from efficient lighting (if fossil fuel heating – see calculation of heating penalty in that section)

= 1 - ((HF / ηHeat) * %ElecHeat)

If unknown assume 0.94[562]

Where:

HF = Heating Factor or percentage of light savings that must now be heated

= 53%[563] for interior or unknown location

= 0% for exterior or unheated location

[552] All Programs except for Direct Install assume that some lamps are not installed in the first year but are later installed in years 2 and 3. To ease implementation, these future installs are discounted using the statewide real discount rate (7.71%); see "Res Lighting ISR calculation.xlsx" for more information.
[553] In service rate for Retail CFLs is based upon recommendation in the Uniform Methods Project to use data from the Navigant Consulting and Apex Analytics (2013) study.
[554] Based upon review of the Illinois PY2 and PY3 ComEd Direct Install program surveys; http://www.ilsag.info/evaluation-documents.html
[555] Based on results provided in "School-based interim process memo_Final_100215.doc".
[556] Average of above.
[557] Based on Cadmus, "Final Report: Iowa 2015 Energy Wise Program", January 29, 2016, p16.
[558] Average of above.
[559] Average of four Midwest metering studies: 2011 Ameren Missouri Lighting and Appliance Evaluation – PY 2; 2012 Consumers Energy - Technical Memo; 2012 DTE - Technical Memo; and PY5/PY6 ComEd, Illinois Residential Lighting Program evaluation.
[560] Based on secondary research conducted as part of the Illinois PY5/PY6 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation.
[561] Assumes 5% exterior lighting, based on PYPY5/PY6 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation.
[562] Calculated using defaults: 1-((0.53/1.38) * 0.15) = 0.94.
[563] This means that heating loads increase by 53% of the lighting savings. This is based on the average result from REMRate modeling of several different building configurations in Des Moines, Mason City, and Burlington.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

$\eta Heat_{Electric}$ = Efficiency in COP of Heating equipment

= Actual - If not available, use[564]:

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	ηHeat (COP Estimate)
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	2.00
	2006-2014	7.7	2.26
	2015 and after	8.2	2.40
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00
Unknown	N/A	N/A	1.38[565]

%ElecHeat = Percentage of home with electric heat

Heating fuel	%ElecHeat
Electric	100%
Fossil Fuel	0%
Unknown	15%[566]

$WHFe_{Cool}$ = Waste Heat Factor for energy to account for cooling savings from reducing waste heat from efficient lighting

Bulb Location	$WHFe_{Cool}$
Building with cooling	1.12[567]
Building without cooling or exterior	1.0
Unknown	1.08[568]

For example, for a lamp sold through a retail program, an 800 lumen R lamp with medium screw base with 2.5" diameter:

ΔkWh = ((54.8 – 15.6) / 1000) * 0.92 * 973 * (0.94 + (1.08 – 1))

= 35.8 kWh

[564] These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 and 2015 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

[565] Calculation assumes 33% Heat Pump and 67% Resistance, which is based upon data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls". Average efficiency of heat pump is based on assumption 50% are units from before 2006 and 50% 2006-2014.

[566] Based on data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls".

[567] The value is estimated at 1.12 (calculated as 1 + (0.34 / 2.8)). Based on cooling loads decreasing by 34% of the lighting savings (average result from REMRate modeling of several different building configurations in Des Moines, Mason City, and Burlington), assuming typical cooling system operating efficiency of 2.8 COP (starting from standard assumption of SEER 10.5 central AC unit, converted to 9.5 EER using algorithm $(-0.02 * SEER^2) + (1.12 * SEER)$ (from Wassmer, M. (2003); A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder), converted to COP = EER/3.412 = 2.8COP).

[568] The value is estimated at 1.09 (calculated as 1 + (0.64*(0.34 / 2.8)). Based on assumption that 64% of homes have central cooling (based on 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Midwest Region.xls").

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{Watts_{Base} - Watts_{EE}}{1{,}000} * ISR * WHFdCool * CF$$

Where:

WHFdCool = Waste Heat Factor for demand to account for cooling savings from efficient lighting.

Bulb Location	WHFdCool
Building with cooling	1.22[569]
Building without cooling or exterior	1.0
Unknown (e.g., Retail, Upstream, and Efficiency Kits)	1.14[570]

CF = Summer peak Coincidence Factor for measure.

Bulb Location	CF
Residential Interior and in-unit Multifamily [571]	13.1%
Exterior [572]	1.8%
Unknown (e.g., Retail, Upstream, and Efficiency Kits)[573]	12.5%

Other factors as defined above

For example, for a lamp sold through a retail program, an 800 lumen R lamp with medium screw base with 2.5" diameter:

ΔkW = ((54.8 – 15.6) / 1,000) * 0.92 * 1.14 * 0.125

= 0.0051 kW

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Heating Penalty for Natural Gas heated homes[574]:

$$\Delta Therms = -\frac{\frac{Watts_{Base} - Watts_{EE}}{1{,}000} * ISR * Hours * HF * 0.03412}{\eta\text{Heat}} * \%\text{GasHeat}$$

Where:

HF = Heating Factor or percentage of light savings that must now be heated

= 53%[575] for interior or unknown location

[569] The value is estimated at 1.22 (calculated as 1 + (0.61 / 2.8)). See footnote relating to WHFe for details. Note the 61% factor represents the Residential cooling coincidence factor calculated using the average load during the peak period (as opposed to the peak hour) consistent with the lighting peak hours.
[570] The value is estimated at 1.14 (calculated as 1 + (0.64 * 0.61 / 2.8)).
[571] Based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.
[572] Based on Itron eShapes lighting loadprofiles.
[573] Assumes 5% exterior lighting, based on PYPY5/PY6 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation.
[574] Negative value because this is an increase in heating consumption due to the efficient lighting.
[575] This means that heating loads increase by 53% of the lighting savings. This is based on the average result from REMRate modeling of several different building configurations in Des Moines, Mason City, and Burlington, IA.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

= 0% for exterior location

0.03412 =Converts kWh to Therms

$\eta Heat_{Gas}$ = Efficiency of heating system

=74%[576]

%GasHeat = Percentage of homes with gas heat

Heating fuel	%GasHeat
Electric	0%
Gas	100%
Unknown	85%[577]

For example, for a lamp sold through a retail program, an 800 lumen R lamp with medium screw base with 2.5" diameter:

ΔTherms = - ((((54.8 – 15.6) / 1000) * 0.92 * 973 * 0.53 * 0.03412) / 0.74) * 0.85

= - 0.7 Therms

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

For ease of application, savings for this measure is assumed to be evenly spread across the year. The Peak Gas Savings is therefore assumed to be:

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \frac{\Delta Therms}{HeatDays}$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above

HeatDays = Heat season days per year

= 217[578]

For example, using default assumptions provided in the example above:

ΔPeakTherms = - 0.7 /217

= -0.0032 therms

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

[576] This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey)). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows: ((0.60*0.92) + (0.40*0.8)) * (1-0.15) = 0.74.

[577] Based on data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls".

[578] Number of days where HDD 60 >0.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

The O&M assumptions that should be used in cost effectiveness calculations are provided below:

Bulb Type	Installation Location	Replacement Period (years)	Replacement Cost[579]
Directional	Residential Interior and in-unit Multifamily	4.8	$7.28 for < 20W, $10.56 for ≥20W
	Exterior	1.7	
	Unknown (e.g., Retail, Upstream, and Efficiency Kits)	4.4	
Decorative/Globe	Residential Interior and in-unit Multifamily	3.7	$4.73 for <15W, $5.54 for ≥15W
	Exterior	1.3	
	Unknown (e.g., Retail, Upstream, and Efficiency Kits)	3.4	
Unknown	Residential Interior and in-unit Multifamily	4.3	$6.01
	Exterior	1.5	
	Unknown (e.g., Retail, Upstream, and Efficiency Kits)	3.9	

MEASURE CODE: RS-LTG-ESCS-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2018

[579] Incandescen/halogen and CFL costs based on "2010-2012 WA017 Ex Ante Measure Cost Study Draft Report", Itron, February 28, 2014. LED lamp costs are based on a 2014/2015 VEIC review of a year's worth of LED sales through VEIC implemented programs. The retail cost was averaged and then DOE price projection trends (from Department of Energy, 2012; "Energy Savings Potential of Solid-State Lighting in General Illumination Applications", Table A.1) used to decrease the cost for a 2017 TRM assumption (see 2015 LED Sales Review.xls). LED costs are falling rapidly and should be reviewed in each update cycle. Baseline based on 80% Incandescent/halogen, 10% CFL and 10% LED.

[580] Calculated by dividing assumed rated life of baseline bulb by hours of use. Assumed lifetime of EISA qualified Halogen/ Incandescents is 1000 hours. The manufacturers are simply using a regular incandescent lamp with halogen fill gas rather than Halogen Infrared to meet the standard (as provided by G. Arnold, NEEP and confirmed by N. Horowitz at NRDC). Assumed lifetime of CFL is 10,000 and of LED is 25,000 hours. Values provided are an average based on 80% incandescent/halogen, 10% CFL and 10% LED (blended average of 4300 hours).

[581] Assumed rated life of incandescent/halogen is 1000 hours, CFL is 10,000 and decorative LED is 15,000 hours. Values provided are an average based on 80% incandescent/halogen, 10% CFL and 10% LED (blended average of 3300 hours).

[582] Values provided are an average of directional and decorative (blended average of 3800 hours).

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.5.3 LED Lamp - Standard

DESCRIPTION

This characterization provides savings assumptions for LED Screw Based Omnidirectional (e.g., A-Type) lamps. This characterization provides assumptions for LEDs installed in a known location (i.e., residential and in-unit interior or exterior) or, if the implementation strategy does not allow for the installation location to be known (e.g., an upstream retail program or efficiency kit), an unknown residential location assumption is provided. For upstream programs, utilities should develop an assumption of the Residential v Nonresidential split and apply the relevant assumptions to each portion.

Federal legislation stemming from the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (EISA) requires all general-purpose light bulbs between 40W and 100W to be approximately 30% more energy efficient than standard incandescent bulbs. Production of 100W, standard efficacy incandescent lamps ended in 2012, followed by restrictions on 75W lamps in 2013 and 60W and 40W lamps in 2014. The baseline for this measure has therefore become bulbs (improved incandescent or halogen) that meet the new standard. Furthermore, the Technical Advisory Committee approved assuming a blended baseline condition of EISA qualified incandescent/halogen, CFL and LED lamps. This assumption should be reviewed during each update cycle and when the net to gross impacts for this measure are determined.

A provision in the EISA regulations requires that by January 1, 2020, all lamps meet efficiency criteria of at least 45 lumens per watt, in essence making the baseline equivalent to a current day CFL. Therefore the measure life (number of years that savings should be claimed) should be reduced once the assumed lifetime of the bulb exceeds 2020.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

In order for this characterization to apply, new lamps must be ENERGY STAR labeled based upon the v1.1 ENERGY STAR specification for lamps (http://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Lamps%20V1%201_Specification.pdf). Note a new ENERGY STAR specification v2.0 will become effective on 1/2/2017 (https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Lamps%20V2%20Revised%20Spec.pdf). Qualification could also be based on the Design Light Consortium's qualified product list[583].

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition for this measure is assumed to be a blend of 70% EISA qualified halogen or incandescent and 20% CFL and 5% LED[584]. From 2020 the baseline becomes a CFL[585] and therefore a midlife adjustment is provided.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The rated life of omnidirectional LED lamps is assumed to be 20,000[586]. This would imply a lifetime of 22 years for Residential interior and 8 years for Residential exterior; however, all installations are capped at 10 years[587] so interior

[583] https://www.designlights.org/QPL

[584] As proposed and discussed by Iowa TRM Oversight Committee and Technical Advisory Committee.

[585] A provision in the EISA regulations requires that by January 1, 2020, all lamps meet efficiency criteria of at least 45 lumens per watt, in essence making the baseline equivalent to a current day CFL.

[586] Version 1.1 of the ENERGY STAR specification required omnidirectional bulbs have a rated life of 25,000 hours or more. Version 2.0 of the specification now only requires 15,000 hours. While the V2.0 is not effective until 1/2/2017, lamps may today be qualified with this updated rated life specification. In the absence of data suggesting an average – an assumed average rated life of 20,000 hours is used.

[587] Based on recommendation in the Dunsky Energy Consulting, Livingston Energy Innovations and Opinion Dynamics Corporation; NEEP Emerging Technology Research Report, p 6-18. Particularly in residential applications, lamps are susceptible to persistence issues such as removal, new occupants etc.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

bulbs should assume a 10 year measure life.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

Wherever possible, actual incremental costs should be used. If unavailable, assume $5.70 for <15W LED lamps (baseline cost of $2.17[588] and efficient cost of $7.87) and $11.95 for ≥ 15W LED lamps (baseline cost of $3.44[589] and efficient cost of $15.39) [590].

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE03 - Residential Indoor Lighting

Loadshape RE08 - Residential Outdoor Lighting

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \frac{Watts_{Base} - Watts_{EE}}{1{,}000} * ISR * Hours * (WHFeHeat + (WHFeCool - 1))$$

Where:

$Watts_{Base}$ = Based on lumens of LED bulb installed and includes blend of incandescent/halogen[591], CFL and LED by weightings provided in table below[592]. Note that when an IA net-to-gross (NTG) factor is determined for this measure, this blended baseline should be replaced with the Incandescent/Halogen baseline only.

$Watts_{EE}$ = Actual wattage of LED purchased / installed - If unknown, use default provided below[593]:

Lower Lumen Range	Upper Lumen Range	Inc/Halogen	CFL	$Watts_{EE}$ LED	$Watts_{Base}$	Delta Watts
		70%	25%	5%		
250	309	25	5.1	4.0	19.0	15.0
310	749	29	9.4	6.7	23.0	16.3
750	1,049	43	13.4	10.1	33.9	23.8

[588] Based on 70% Incandescent ($1.40), 25% CFL ($3.20) and 5% LED ($7.87)

[589] Based on 70% Incandescent ($1.60), 25% CFL ($6.20) and 5% LED ($15.39)

[590] Incandescen/halogen and CFL costs based on "2010-2012 WA017 Ex Ante Measure Cost Study Draft Report", Itron, February 28, 2014. LED lamp costs are based on a 2014/2015 VEIC review of a year's worth of LED sales through VEIC implemented programs. The retail cost was averaged and then DOE price projection trends (from Department of Energy, 2012; "Energy Savings Potential of Solid-State Lighting in General Illumination Applications", Table A.1) used to decrease the cost for a 2017 TRM assumption (see 2015 LED Sales Review.xls). LED costs are falling rapidly and should be reviewed in each update cycle.

[591] Incandescent/Halogen wattage is based upon the post first phase of EISA wattage and wattage bins consistent with ENERGY STAR, v1.1; http://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Lamps%20V1%201_Specification.pdf.

[592] Weightings were determined through discussions with the Technical Advisory Committee. These are based upon review of Itron socket saturation and inventory data, in addition to review of multiple other data sources on the lighting market in other jurisdictions.

[593] $Watts_{EE}$ defaults are based upon the average available ENERGY STAR product, accessed 06/18/2015. For any lumen range where there is no ENERGY STAR product currently available, $Watts_{EE}$ is based upon the ENERGY STAR minimum luminous efficacy (55Lm/W for lamps with rated wattages less than 15W and 65 Lm/W for lamps with rated wattages ≥ 15 watts) for the mid-point of the lumen range. See calculation at "cerified-light-bulbs-2015-06-18.xlsx". These assumptions should be reviewed regularly to ensure they represent the available product.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Lower Lumen Range	Upper Lumen Range	Inc/Halogen	CFL	$Watts_{EE}$ LED	$Watts_{Base}$	Delta Watts
		70%	25%	5%		
1,050	1,489	53	18.9	12.8	42.5	29.7
1,490	2,600	72	24.8	17.4	57.5	40.1
2,601	3,000	150	41.1	43.1	117.4	74.3
3,001	3,999	200	53.8	53.8	156.2	102.3
4,000	6,000	300	65.0	76.9	230.1	153.2

ISR = In Service Rate, the percentage of units rebated that are actually in service

Program		Discounted In Service Rate (ISR)[594]
Retail (Time of Sale)[595]		98%
Direct Install[596]		97%
Efficiency Kits	School Kits[597]	83%
	EnergyWise (Low Income)[598]	75%

Hours = Average hours of use per year

Installation Location	Hours
Residential Interior and in-unit Multifamily	894[599]
Exterior	2,475[600]
Unknown (e.g., Retail, Upstream, and Efficiency Kits)	973[601]

$WHFe_{Heat}$ = Waste Heat Factor for energy to account for electric heating increase from reducing waste heat from efficient lighting (if fossil fuel heating – see calculation of heating penalty in that section).

= 1 - ((HF / ηHeat) * %ElecHeat)

If unknown assume 0.94[602]

Where:

[594] All Programs except for Direct Install assume that some lamps are not installed in the first year but are later installed in years 2 and 3. To ease implementation, these future installs are discounted using the statewide real discount rate (7.71%), see "Res Lighting ISR calculation.xlsx" for more information.

[595] 1st year in service rate is based upon analysis of ComEd PY7 intercept data. The Lifetime ISR assumption is assumed to be 98% based upon review of two evaluations: 'Nexus Market Research, RLW Analytics and GDS Associates study, "New England Residential Lighting Markdown Impact Evaluation, January 20, 2009'; and 'KEMA Inc, Feb 2010, Final Evaluation Report, Upstream Lighting Program, Volume 1.'

[596] Based upon review of the Illinois PY2 and PY3 ComEd Direct Install program surveys. http://www.ilsag.info/evaluation-documents.html

[597] In Service Rates provided are for the CFL bulb within a kit only. Kits provided free to students through school, with education program. Based on 'Impact and Process Evaluation of 2013 (PY6) Ameren Illinois Company Residential Efficiency Kits Program', table 10.

[598] Based on Cadmus, "Final Report: Iowa 2015 Energy Wise Program", January 29, 2016, p16.

[599] Average of four Midwest metering studies: 2011 Ameren Missouri Lighting and Appliance Evaluation – PY 2; 2012 Consumers Energy - Technical Memo; 2012 DTE - Technical Memo; and PY5/PY6 ComEd, Illinois Residential Lighting Program evaluation.

[600] Based on secondary research conducted as part of the Illinois PY5/PY6 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation.

[601] Assumes 5% exterior lighting, based on PYPY5/PY6 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation.

[602] Calculated using defaults; 1-((0.53/1.38) * 0.15) = 0.94.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

HF = Heating Factor or percentage of light savings that must now be heated

= 53%[603] for interior or unknown location

= 0% for exterior or unheated location

$\eta Heat_{Electric}$ = Efficiency in COP of Heating equipment

= Actual - If not available, use[604]:

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	ηHeat (COP Estimate)
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	2.00
	2006-2014	7.7	2.26
	2015 and after	8.2	2.40
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00
Unknown	N/A	N/A	1.38[605]

%ElecHeat = Percentage of home with electric heat

Heating fuel	%ElecHeat
Electric	100%
Fossil Fuel	0%
Unknown	15%[606]

$WHFe_{Cool}$ = Waste Heat Factor for energy to account for cooling savings from reducing waste heat from efficient lighting.

Bulb Location	$WHFe_{Cool}$
Building with cooling	1.12[607]
Building without cooling or exterior	1.0
Unknown	1.08[608]

Mid-Life Baseline Adjustment

During the lifetime of a standard Omnidirectional LED, the baseline incandescent/halogen bulb would need to be replaced multiple times. Since the baseline bulb changes to a CFL equivalent in 2020 due to the EISA backdrop

[603] This means that heating loads increase by 53% of the lighting savings. This is based on the average result from REMRate modeling of several different building configurations in Des Moines, Mason City, and Burlington, IA.

[604] These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 and 2015 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

[605] Calculation assumes 33% Heat Pump and 67% Resistance, which is based upon data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls". Average efficiency of heat pump is based on assumption 50% are units from before 2006 and 50% 2006-2014.

[606] Based on data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls".

[607] The value is estimated at 1.12 (calculated as 1 + (0.34 / 2.8)). Based on cooling loads decreasing by 34% of the lighting savings (average result from REMRate modeling of several different building configurations in Des Moines, Mason City, and Burlington), assuming typical cooling system operating efficiency of 2.8 COP (starting from standard assumption of SEER 10.5 central AC unit, converted to 9.5 EER using algorithm $(-0.02 * SEER^2) + (1.12 * SEER)$ (from Wassmer, M. (2003); A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder), converted to COP = EER/3.412 = 2.8COP).

[608] The value is estimated at 1.09 (calculated as 1 + (0.64*(0.34 / 2.8)). Based on assumption that 64% of homes have central cooling (based on 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Midwest Region.xls").

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

provision (except for <310 and 2600+ lumen lamps), the annual savings claim must be reduced within the life of the measure to account for this baseline shift. This reduced annual savings will need to be incorporated in to cost effectiveness screening calculations. The baseline adjustment also impacts the O&M schedule.

For example, for 43W equivalent LED lamp installed in 2016, the full savings (as calculated above in the Algorithm) should be claimed for the first four years, but a reduced annual savings (calculated energy savings above multiplied by the adjustment factor in the table below) claimed for the remainder of the measure life.

Lower Lumen Range	Upper Lumen Range	Mid Lumen Range	WattsEE	WattsBase before EISA 2020	Delta Watts before EISA 2020	WattsBase after EISA 2020[609]	Delta Watts after EISA 2020	Mid Life adjustment (in 2020) to first year savings
250	309	280	4.0	19.0	15.0	19.0	15.0	100.0%
310	749	530	6.7	23.0	16.3	9.3	2.6	16.1%
750	1049	900	10.1	33.9	23.8	13.2	3.1	12.9%
1050	1489	1270	12.8	42.5	29.7	18.6	5.8	19.7%
1490	2600	2045	17.4	57.5	40.1	24.4	7.0	17.6%
2,601	3,000	2,775	43.1	117.4	74.3	117.4	74.3	100.0%
3,001	3,999	3,500	53.8	156.2	102.3	156.2	102.3	100.0%
4,000	6,000	5,000	76.9	230.1	153.2	230.1	153.2	100.0%

For example, a 11W LED lamp, 900 lumens, is purchased through retail in 2015:

ΔkWh = ((33.9 – 11) /1000) * 0.98 * 973 * (0.94 + (1.08 – 1))

= 22.3 kWh

This value should be claimed for five years, but from 2020 until the end of the measure life for that same lamp, savings should be reduced to (22.3 * 0.129 =) 2.9 kWh for the remainder of the measure life. Note these adjustments should be applied to kW and fuel impacts as well.

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{Watts_{Base} - Watts_{EE}}{1{,}000} * ISR * WHFdCool * CF$$

Where:

WHFdCool = Waste Heat Factor for demand to account for cooling savings from efficient lighting.

Bulb Location	WHFdCool
Building with cooling	1.22[610]
Building without cooling or exterior	1.0
Unknown (e.g. Retail, Upstream and Efficiency Kits)	1.14[611]

CF = Summer peak Coincidence Factor for measure.

[609] Calculated with EISA requirement of 45lumens/watt.

[610] The value is estimated at 1.22 (calculated as 1 + (0.61 / 2.8)). See footnote relating to WHFe for details. Note the 61% factor represents the Residential cooling coincidence factor calculated using the average load during the peak period (as opposed to the peak hour) consistent with the lighting peak hours.

[611] The value is estimated at 1.14 (calculated as 1 + (0.64 * 0.61 / 2.8)).

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Bulb Location	CF
Residential Interior and in-unit Multifamily [612]	13.1%
Exterior [613]	1.8%
Unknown (e.g., Retail, Upstream, and Efficiency Kits)[614]	12.5%

Other factors as defined above

For example, for a 11W LED lamp, 900 lumens, purchased through retail in 2015:

ΔkW = ((33.9 – 11) /1000) * 0.98 * 1.14 * 0.125

= 0.0032 kW

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Heating Penalty for Natural Gas heated homes[615]:

$$\Delta Therms = -\frac{\frac{Watts_{Base} - Watts_{EE}}{1{,}000} * ISR * Hours * HF * 0.03412}{\eta\text{Heat}} * \%\text{GasHeat}$$

Where:

HF = Heating Factor or percentage of light savings that must now be heated

= 53%[616] for interior or unknown location

= 0% for exterior or unheated location

0.03412 =Converts kWh to Therms

$\eta Heat_{Gas}$ = Efficiency of heating system

=74%[617]

%GasHeat = Percentage of homes with gas heat

Heating fuel	%GasHeat
Electric	0%
Gas	100%
Unknown	85%[618]

[612] Based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.
[613] Based on Itron eShapes lighting loadprofiles.
[614] Assumes 5% exterior lighting, based on PYPY5/PY6 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation.
[615] Negative value because this is an increase in heating consumption due to the efficient lighting.
[616] This means that heating loads increase by 53% of the lighting savings. This is based on the average result from REMRate modeling of several different building configurations in Des Moines, Mason City, and Burlington, IA.
[617] This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey)). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows: ((0.60*0.92) + (0.40*0.8)) * (1-0.15) = 0.74.
[618] Based on data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls".

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

For example, for a 11W LED lamp, 900 lumens, purchased through retail in 2015:

ΔTherms = - ((((33.9 - 11) / 1000) * 0.98 * 973 * 0.53 * 0.03412) / 0.74) * 0.85

= - 0.45 Therms

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

For ease of application, savings for this measure is assumed to be evenly spread across the year. The Peak Gas Savings is therefore assumed to be:

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \frac{\Delta Therms}{HeatDays}$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above

HeatDays = Heat season days per year

= 217[619]

For example, for a 15W LED lamp, 900 lumens, purchased through retail in 2015:

ΔPeakTherms = - 0.45 /217

= -0.0021 therms

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

In order to account for the shift in baseline due to the backstop provision of the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, requiring all standard bulbs (except for <310 and 2600+ lumen lamps) to have an efficacy equivalent to today's CFL, an annual levelized baseline replacement cost over the lifetime of the LED bulb is calculated. Bulb replacement costs assumed in the O&M calculations are provided below[620].

Lumen Range	70% EISA Compliant Incandescent / Halogen, 25% CFL, 5% LED	CFL	LED A-Lamp
<2,000	$2.17	$3.20	$7.87
≥2,000	$3.44	$6.20	$15.39

The present value of replacement lamps and annual levelized replacement costs using the statewide real discount rate of 7.71% are presented below[621]:

[619] Number of days where HDD 60 >0.

[620] Incandescen/halogen and CFL costs based on "2010-2012 WA017 Ex Ante Measure Cost Study Draft Report", Itron, February 28, 2014. LED lamp costs are based on a 2014/2015 VEIC review of a year's worth of LED sales through VEIC implemented programs. The retail cost was averaged and then DOE price projection trends (from Department of Energy, 2012; "Energy Savings Potential of Solid-State Lighting in General Illumination Applications", Table A.1) used to decrease the cost for a 2017 TRM assumption (see 2015 LED Sales Review.xls). LED costs are falling rapidly and should be reviewed in each update cycle.

[621] See "Proposed LED Assumptions_03222016.xls" for more information.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Lumen Range	Location	PV of replacement costs for period			Levelized annual replacement cost savings		
		2016 - 2017	2017 - 2018	2018 - 2019	2016 - 2017	2017 - 2018	2018 - 2019
310-2000	Residential and in-unit Multifamily	$2.26	$2.12	$1.97	$0.33	$0.31	$0.29
	Exterior	$5.43	$5.04	$4.62	$0.93	$0.87	$0.79
	Unknown	$2.46	$2.31	$2.15	$0.36	$0.34	$0.32
2000-2600	Residential and in-unit Multifamily	$3.97	$3.82	$3.67	$0.58	$0.56	$0.54
	Exterior	$9.35	$8.95	$8.53	$1.61	$1.54	$1.47
	Unknown	$4.32	$4.16	$3.99	$0.63	$0.61	$0.59

Note: incandescent lamps in lumen range <310 and >2600 are exempt from EISA. For these bulb types, an O&M cost should be applied as follows:

Installation Location	Replacement Period (years)[622]	Replacement Cost[623]
Residential Interior and in-unit Multifamily	4.7	$2.17for bulbs <2000 lumens; $3.44 for bulbs ≥2000 lumens
Exterior	1.7	
Unknown (e.g., Retail, Upstream, and Efficiency Kits)	4.3	

MEASURE CODE: RS-LTG-LEDA-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2018

[622] Calculated by dividing assumed rated life of baseline bulb by hours of use. Assumed lifetime of EISA qualified Halogen/ Incandescents is 1000 hours. The manufacturers are simply using a regular incandescent lamp with halogen fill gas rather than Halogen Infrared to meet the standard (as provided by G. Arnold, NEEP and confirmed by N. Horowitz at NRDC). Assumed lifetime of CFL is 10,000 and of LED is 20,000 hours. Values provided are an average based on 70% incandescent/halogen, 25% CFL and 5% LED (blended average of 4200 hours).

[623] Incandescen/halogen and CFL costs based on "2010-2012 WA017 Ex Ante Measure Cost Study Draft Report", Itron, February 28, 2014. LED lamp costs are based on a 2014/2015 VEIC review of a year's worth of LED sales through VEIC implemented programs. The retail cost was averaged and then DOE price projection trends (from Department of Energy, 2012; "Energy Savings Potential of Solid-State Lighting in General Illumination Applications", Table A.1) used to decrease the cost for a 2017 TRM assumption (see 2015 LED Sales Review.xls). LED costs are falling rapidly and should be reviewed in each update cycle. Baseline based on 70% Incandescent/halogen, 25% CFL and 5% LED.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.5.4 LED Lamp - Specialty

DESCRIPTION

This characterization provides savings assumptions for LED Directional, Decorative, and Globe lamps. This characterization provides assumptions for when the LED is installed in a known location (i.e., residential and in-unit interior or exterior) or, if the implementation strategy does not allow for the installation location to be known (e.g., an upstream retail program or efficiency kit), an unknown residential location assumption is provided. For upstream programs, utilities should develop an assumption of the Residential v Nonresidential split and apply the relevant assumptions to each portion.

The Technical Advisory Committee approved assuming a blended baseline condition of EISA qualified incandescent/halogen, CFL and LED lamps. This assumption should be reviewed during each update cycle and when the net to gross impacts for this measure are determined.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

In order for this characterization to apply, new lamps must be ENERGY STAR labeled based upon the v1.1 ENERGY STAR specification for lamps (http://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Lamps%20V1%201_Specification.pdf). Note a new ENERGY STAR specification v2.0 will become effective on 1/2/2017 (https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Lamps%20V2%20Revised%20Spec.pdf). Qualification could also be based on the Design Light Consortium's qualified product list[624].

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition for this measure is assumed to be a blend of 80% EISA qualified halogen or incandescent and 10% CFL and 10% LED[625]. Lamp types include those exempt of the EISA 2007 standard: three-way, plant light, daylight bulb, bug light, post light, globes G40 (≤40W equivalent (We)), candelabra base (≤60We), vibration service bulb, decorative candle with medium or intermediate base (≤40We), shatter resistant, and reflector bulbs, and standard bulbs greater than 2601 lumens, and those non-exempt from EISA 2007: dimmable, globes (less than 5" diameter and >40We), candle (shapes B, BA, CA >40We), candelabra base lamps (>60We), and intermediate base lamps (>40We).

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The ENERGY STAR rated life requirement for directional bulbs is 25,000 and for decorative bulbs is 15,000 hours[626]. This would imply a lifetime of 25 years for Residential interior directional and 14 years for Residential interior decorative; however, all installations are capped at 10 years[627].

DEEMED MEASURE COST

Wherever possible, actual incremental costs should be used. If unavailable assume the following incremental costs[628]:

---

[624] https://www.designlights.org/QPL

[625] As proposed and discussed by Iowa TRM Oversight Committee and Technical Advisory Committee.

[626] ENERGY STAR, v1.1; http://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Lamps%20V1%201_Specification.pdf.

[627] Based on recommendation in the Dunsky Energy Consulting, Livingston Energy Innovations, and Opinion Dynamics Corporation; NEEP Emerging Technology Research Report, p 6-18. Particularly in residential applications, lamps are susceptible to persistence issues such as removal, new occupants, etc.

[628] Incandescen/halogen and CFL costs based on "2010-2012 WA017 Ex Ante Measure Cost Study Draft Report", Itron, February

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Bulb Type	LED Wattage	LED	Incandescent	CFL	Blended Baseline[629]	Incremental Cost
Directional	< 20W	$14.52	$6.31	$7.84	$7.28	$7.24
	≥20W	$45.85		$9.31	$10.56	$35.29
Decorative	<15W	$8.09	$3.92	$7.80	$4.73	$3.37
	15 to <25W	$15.86		$8.15	$5.54	$10.32
	≥25W	$15.86		$8.15	$5.54	$10.32

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE03 - Residential Indoor Lighting

Loadshape RE08 - Residential Outdoor Lighting

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \frac{Watts_{Base} - Watts_{EE}}{1{,}000} * ISR * Hours * (WHFeHeat + (WHFeCool - 1))$$

Where:

$Watts_{Base}$ = Based on lumens of LED bulb installed and includes blend of incandescent/halogen[630], CFL and LED by weightings provided in table below[631]. Note that when an IA net-to-gross (NTG) factor is determined for this measure, this blended baseline should be replaced with the Incandescent/Halogen baseline only.

$Watts_{EE}$ = Actual wattage of LED purchased / installed. If unknown, use default provided below[632]:

28, 2014. LED lamp costs are based on a 2014/2015 VEIC review of a year's worth of LED sales through VEIC implemented programs. The retail cost was averaged and then DOE price projection trends (from Department of Energy, 2012; "Energy Savings Potential of Solid-State Lighting in General Illumination Applications", Table A.1) used to decrease the cost for a 2017 TRM assumption (see 2015 LED Sales Review.xls). LED costs are falling rapidly and should be reviewed in each update cycle.

[629] Assumes 80% Incandescent/halogen, 10% CFL and 10% LED.

[630] Incandescent/Halogen wattage is based upon the ENERGY STAR specification for lamps (http://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Lamps%20V1%201_Specification.pdf) and the Energy Policy and Conservation Act of 2012.

[631] Weightings were determined through discussions with the Technical Advisory Committee. These are based upon review of Itron socket saturation and inventory data, in addition to review of multiple other data sources on the lighting market in other jurisdictions.

[632] $Watts_{EE}$ defaults are based upon the average available ENERGY STAR product, accessed 06/18/2015. For any lumen range where there is no ENERGY STAR product currently available, $Watts_{EE}$ is based upon the ENERGY STAR minimum luminous efficacy (Directional; 40Lm/W for lamps with rated wattages less than 20Wand 50 Lm/W for lamps with rated wattages ≥ 20 watts. Decorative and Globe; 45Lm/W for lamps with rated wattages less than 15W, 50lm/W for lamps ≥15 and <25W, 60 Lm/W for lamps with rated wattages ≥ 25 watts.) for the mid-point of the lumen range. See calculation at "cerified-light-bulbs-2015-06-18.xlsx" . These assumptions should be reviewed regularly to ensure they represent the available product.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

EISA exempt bulb types:

Bulb Type		Lower Lumen Range	Upper Lumen Range	Inc/Halogen	$Watts_{EE}$ CFL	$Watts_{EE}$ LED	$Watts_{Base}$	Delta Watts LED
				80%	10%	10%		
EISA Exempt	3-Way	250	449	25	6.4	6.4	21.3	14.9
		450	799	40	11.4	11.4	34.3	22.9
		800	1,099	60	13.0	10.0	50.3	40.3
		1,100	1,599	75	20.8	13.1	63.4	50.3
		1,600	1,999	100	26.0	19.4	84.5	65.1
		2,000	2,549	125	32.2	35.0	106.7	71.7
		2,550	2,999	150	40.0	42.7	128.3	85.6
	Globe (medium and intermediate bases less than 750 lumens)	90	179	10	3.0	3.0	8.6	5.6
		180	249	15	4.8	4.8	13.0	8.2
		250	349	25	6.7	4.1	21.1	16.9
		350	749	40	9.9	6.5	33.6	27.1
	Decorative (Shapes B, BA, C, CA, DC, F, G, medium and intermediate bases less than 750 lumens)	70	89	10	1.8	1.8	8.4	6.6
		90	149	15	2.7	2.7	12.5	9.9
		150	299	25	5.0	3.7	20.9	17.2
		300	749	40	7.5	5.3	33.3	28.0
	Globe (candelabra bases less than 1050 lumens)	90	179	10	3.0	3.0	8.6	5.6
		180	249	15	4.8	4.8	13.0	8.2
		250	349	25	6.7	4.1	21.1	16.9
		350	499	40	9.4	4.8	33.4	28.6
		500	1,049	60	15.5	7.0	50.2	43.2
	Decorative (Shapes B, BA, C, CA, DC, F, G, candelabra bases less than 1050 lumens)	70	89	10	1.8	1.8	8.4	6.6
		90	149	15	2.7	2.7	12.5	9.9
		150	299	25	5.0	3.0	20.8	17.8
		300	499	40	7.7	4.7	33.2	28.6
		500	1,049	60	15.5	6.9	50.2	43.3

Directional Lamps - For Directional R, BR, and ER lamp types[633]:

Bulb Type		Lower Lumen Range	Upper Lumen Range	Inc/Halogen	$Watts_{EE}$ CFL	$Watts_{EE}$ LED	$Watts_{Base}$	Delta Watts LED
				80%	10%	10%		
Directional	R, ER, BR with medium screw bases w/ diameter >2.25" (*see exceptions below)	420	472	40	11.0	7.5	33.9	26.3
		473	524	45	12.5	7.9	38.0	30.1
		525	714	50	14.9	9.1	42.4	33.3
		715	937	65	15.6	12.6	54.8	42.2
		938	1,259	75	21.1	16.1	63.7	47.6
		1,260	1,399	90	23.0	17.8	76.1	58.3
		1,400	1,739	100	31.4	19.2	85.1	65.9
		1,740	2,174	120	39.1	25.6	102.5	76.9
		2,175	2,624	150	48.0	28.8	127.7	98.9
		2,625	2,999	175	56.2	56.2	151.2	95.0
		3,000	4,500	200	75.0	75.0	175.0	100.0
		400	449	40	10.6	6.3	33.7	27.4
		450	499	45	11.9	6.8	37.9	31.1

[633] From pg 11 of the Energy Star Specification for lamps v1.1.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Bulb Type		Lower Lumen Range	Upper Lumen Range	Inc/Halogen	$Watts_{EE}$ CFL	$Watts_{EE}$ LED	$Watts_{Base}$	Delta Watts LED
				80%	10%	10%		
	*R, BR, and ER with medium screw bases w/ diameter ≤2.25"	500	649	50	14.4	7.3	42.2	34.8
		650	1,199	65	18.5	13.3	55.2	41.8
	*ER30, BR30, BR40, or ER40	400	449	40	10.6	10.6	34.1	23.5
		450	499	45	11.9	11.9	38.4	26.5
		500	649	50	14.4	12.0	42.6	30.6
	*BR30, BR40, or ER40	650	1,419	65	18.0	12.4	55.0	42.7
	*R20	400	449	40	10.6	10.6	34.1	23.5
		450	719	45	12.5	7.7	38.0	30.3
	*All reflector lamps below lumen ranges specified above	200	299	20	6.2	4.0	17.0	13.0
		300	399	30	8.7	6.2	25.5	19.3

Directional lamps are exempt from EISA regulations.

EISA non-exempt bulb types:

Bulb Type		Lower Lumen Range	Upper Lumen Range	Inc/Halogen	CFL	$Watts_{EE}$ LED	$Watts_{Base}$	Delta Watts LED
				80%	10%	10%		
EISA Non-Exempt	Dimmable Twist, Globe (less than 5" in diameter and > 749 lumens), candle (shapes B, BA, CA > 749 lumens), Candelabra Base Lamps (>1049 lumens), Intermediate Base Lamps (>749 lumens)	250	309	25	5.1	4.1	20.9	16.8
		310	749	29	9.5	6.6	24.8	18.2
		750	1049	43	13.5	10.1	36.8	26.6
		1050	1489	53	18.9	12.8	45.6	32.8
		1490	2600	72	24.8	17.4	61.8	44.4

ISR = In Service Rate, the percentage of units rebated that are actually in service

Program		Discounted In Service Rate (ISR)[634]
Retail (Time of Sale)[635]		98%
Direct Install[636]		97%
Efficiency Kits	School Kits[637]	83%
	EnergyWise (Low Income)[638]	75%

[634] All Programs except for Direct Install assume that some lamps are not installed in the first year but are later installed in years 2 and 3. To ease implementation, these future installs are discounted using the statewide real discount rate (7.71%), see "Res Lighting ISR calculation.xlsx" for more information.

[635] 1st year in service rate is based upon analysis of ComEd PY7 intercept data. The Lifetime ISR assumption is assumed to be 98% based upon review of two evaluations: 'Nexus Market Research, RLW Analytics and GDS Associates study; "New England Residential Lighting Markdown Impact Evaluation, January 20, 2009'; and 'KEMA Inc, Feb 2010, Final Evaluation Report:, Upstream Lighting Program, Volume 1.'

[636] Based upon review of the Illinois PY2 and PY3 ComEd Direct Install program surveys. http://www.ilsag.info/evaluation-documents.html

[637] In Service Rates provided are for the CFL bulb within a kit only. Kits provided free to students through school, with education program. Based on 'Impact and Process Evaluation of 2013 (PY6) Ameren Illinois Company Residential Efficiency Kits Program', table 10.

[638] Based on Cadmus, "Final Report: Iowa 2015 Energy Wise Program", January 29, 2016, p16.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Hours = Average hours of use per year

Installation Location	Hours
Residential Interior and in-unit Multifamily	894[639]
Exterior	2,475 [640]
Unknown (e.g., Retail, Upstream, and Efficiency Kits)	973[641]

$WHFe_{Heat}$ = Waste Heat Factor for energy to account for electric heating increase from reducing waste heat from efficient lighting (if fossil fuel heating – see calculation of heating penalty in that section).

= 1 - ((HF / ηHeat) * %ElecHeat)

If unknown assume 0.94[642]

Where:

HF = Heating Factor or percentage of light savings that must now be heated

= 53%[643] for interior or unknown location

= 0% for exterior or unheated location

$\eta Heat_{Electric}$ = Efficiency in COP of Heating equipment

= Actual - if not available, use[644]:

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	ηHeat (COP Estimate)
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	2.00
	2006-2014	7.7	2.26
	2015 and after	8.2	2.40
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00
Unknown	N/A	N/A	1.38[645]

%ElecHeat = Percentage of home with electric heat

Heating fuel	%ElecHeat
Electric	100%
Fossil Fuel	0%
Unknown	15%[646]

[639] Average of four Midwest metering studies: 2011 Ameren Missouri Lighting and Appliance Evaluation – PY 2; 2012 Consumers Energy - Technical Memo; 2012 DTE - Technical Memo; and PY5/PY6 ComEd, Illinois Residential Lighting Program evaluation.
[640] Based on secondary research conducted as part of the Illinois PY5/PY6 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation.
[641] Assumes 5% exterior lighting, based on PYPY5/PY6 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation.
[642] Calculated using defaults: 1-((0.53/1.38) * 0.15) = 0.94
[643] This means that heating loads increase by 53% of the lighting savings. This is based on the average result from REMRate modeling of several different building configurations in Des Moines, Mason City, and Burlington, IA.
[644] These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 and 2015 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.
[645] Calculation assumes 33% Heat Pump and 67% Resistance, which is based upon data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls". Average efficiency of heat pump is based on assumption 50% are units from before 2006 and 50% 2006-2014.
[646] Based on data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

$WHFe_{Cool}$ = Waste Heat Factor for energy to account for cooling savings from reducing waste heat from efficient lighting.

Bulb Location	$WHFe_{Cool}$
Building with cooling	1.12[647]
Building without cooling or exterior	1.0
Unknown	1.08[648]

For example, for a 5W LED lamp, 200 lumens, decorative LED bulb purchased through retail in 2015:

ΔkWh = ((20.8 – 5) /1000) * 0.98 * 973 * (0.94 + (1.08 – 1))

= 15.4 kWh

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{Watts_{Base} - Watts_{EE}}{1{,}000} * ISR * WHFdCool * CF$$

Where:

WHFdCool = Waste Heat Factor for demand to account for cooling savings from efficient lighting.

Bulb Location	WHFdCool
Building with cooling	1.22[649]
Building without cooling or exterior	1.0
Unknown (e.g., Retail, Upstream, and Efficiency Kits)	1.14[650]

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure.

Bulb Location	CF
Residential Interior and in-unit Multifamily [651]	13.1%
Exterior [652]	1.8%
Unknown (e.g., Retail, Upstream, and Efficiency Kits)[653]	12.5%

Heating in Midwest Region.xls".

[647] The value is estimated at 1.12 (calculated as 1 + (0.34 / 2.8)). Based on cooling loads decreasing by 34% of the lighting savings (average result from REMRate modeling of several different building configurations in Des Moines, Mason City, and Burlington), assuming typical cooling system operating efficiency of 2.8 COP (starting from standard assumption of SEER 10.5 central AC unit, converted to 9.5 EER using algorithm (-0.02 * $SEER^2$) + (1.12 * SEER) (from Wassmer, M. (2003); A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder), converted to COP = EER/3.412 = 2.8COP).

[648] The value is estimated at 1.09 (calculated as 1 + (0.64*(0.34 / 2.8)). Based on assumption that 64% of homes have central cooling (based on 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Midwest Region.xls").

[649] The value is estimated at 1.22 (calculated as 1 + (0.61 / 2.8)). See footnote relating to WHFe for details. Note the 61% factor represents the Residential cooling coincidence factor calculated using the average load during the peak period (as opposed to the peak hour) consistent with the lighting peak hours.

[650] The value is estimated at 1.14 (calculated as 1 + (0.64 * 0.61 / 2.8)).

[651] Based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.

[652] Based on Itron eShapes lighting loadprofiles.

[653] Assumes 5% exterior lighting, based on PYPY5/PY6 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Other factors as defined above

For example, for a 5W LED lamp, 200 lumens, decorative LED bulb purchased through retail in 2015:

ΔkW = ((20.8 – 5) /1000) * 0.98 * 1.14 * 0.125

= 0.0022 kW

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Heating Penalty for Natural Gas heated homes[654]:

$$\Delta Therms = -\frac{\frac{Watts_{Base} - Watts_{EE}}{1{,}000} * ISR * Hours * HF * 0.03412}{\eta\text{Heat}} * \%\text{GasHeat}$$

Where:

HF = Heating Factor or percentage of light savings that must be heated

= 53%[655] for interior or unknown location

= 0% for exterior or unheated location

0.03412 =Converts kWh to Therms

$\eta Heat_{Gas}$ = Efficiency of heating system

=74%[656]

%GasHeat = Percentage of homes with gas heat

Heating fuel	%GasHeat
Electric	0%
Gas	100%
Unknown	85%[657]

For example, for a 5W LED lamp, 200 lumens, decorative LED bulb purchased through retail in 2015:

ΔTherms = - ((((20.8 - 5) / 1000) * 0.98 * 973 * 0.53 * 0.03412) / 0.74) * 0.85

= - 0.31 Therms

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

For ease of application, savings for this measure is assumed to be evenly spread across the year. The Peak Gas Savings is therefore assumed to be:

[654] Negative value because this is an increase in heating consumption due to the efficient lighting.

[655] This means that heating loads increase by 53% of the lighting savings. This is based on the average result from REMRate modeling of several different building configurations in Des Moines, Mason City, and Burlington, IA.

[656] This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey)). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows: ((0.60*0.92) + (0.40*0.8)) * (1-0.15) = 0.74.

[657] Based on data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls".

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \frac{\Delta Therms}{HeatDays}$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above

HeatDays = Heat season days per year

= 217[658]

For example, for a 5W LED lamp, 200 lumens, decorative LED bulb purchased through retail in 2015:

ΔPeakTherms = - 0.31 /217

= -0.0014 therms

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

For these bulb types, an O&M cost should be applied as follows:

Bulb Type	Installation Location	Replacement Period (years)	Replacement Cost[659]
Directional	Residential Interior and in-unit Multifamily	4.8	$7.28 for < 20W, $10.56 for ≥20W
	Exterior	1.7	
	Unknown (e.g., Retail, Upstream, and Efficiency Kits)	4.4	
Decorative/Globe	Residential Interior and in-unit Multifamily	3.7	$4.73 for <15W, $5.54 for ≥15W
	Exterior	1.3	
	Unknown (e.g., Retail, Upstream, and Efficiency Kits)	3.4	

[658] Number of days where HDD 60 >0.

[659] Incandescen/halogen and CFL costs based on "2010-2012 WA017 Ex Ante Measure Cost Study Draft Report", Itron, February 28, 2014. LED lamp costs are based on a 2014/2015 VEIC review of a year's worth of LED sales through VEIC implemented programs. The retail cost was averaged and then DOE price projection trends (from Department of Energy, 2012; "Energy Savings Potential of Solid-State Lighting in General Illumination Applications", Table A.1) used to decrease the cost for a 2017 TRM assumption (see 2015 LED Sales Review.xls). LED costs are falling rapidly and should be reviewed in each update cycle. Baseline based on 80% Incandescent/halogen, 10% CFL and 10% LED.

[660] Calculated by dividing assumed rated life of baseline bulb by hours of use. Assumed lifetime of EISA qualified Halogen/ Incandescents is 1000 hours. The manufacturers are simply using a regular incandescent lamp with halogen fill gas rather than Halogen Infrared to meet the standard (as provided by G. Arnold, NEEP and confirmed by N. Horowitz at NRDC). Assumed lifetime of CFL is 10,000 and of LED is 25,000 hours. Values provided are an average based on 80% incandescent/halogen, 10% CFL and 10% LED (blended average of 4300 hours).

[661] Assumed rated life of incandescent/halogen is 1000 hours, CFL is 10,000 and decorative LED is 15,000 hours. Values provided are an average based on 80% incandescent/halogen, 10% CFL and 10% LED (blended average of 3300 hours).

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

MEASURE CODE: RS-LTG-LEDS-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2018

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.5.5 LED Exit Signs

This measure characterizes the savings associated with installing a Light Emitting Diode (LED) exit sign in place of a fluorescent/compact fluorescent (CFL) or incandescent exit sign in a MultiFamily building. LED exit signs use a lower wattage of power (≤ 5 Watts) and have a significantly longer life compared to standard signs that can use up to 40 watts[662]. This in addition to reduced maintenance needs, and characteristic low-temperature light quality makes LED exit signs a superior option compared to other exit sign technologies available today.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, RF, DI.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient equipment is assumed to be an exit sign illuminated by LEDs with an input power demand of 5 watts or less per face.[663]

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

For TOS the baseline equipment is assumed to be a compact fluorescent unit (CFL)[664]. For RI/DI the baseline is the existing system (either a CFL or incandescent unit)

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life is assumed to be 13 years[665].

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for this measure is assumed as follows[666].

For TOS when considering the capital incremental cost of a CFL unit to LED unit assume $0.[667]

For DI and RF it is assumed at $49[668]

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape E01 - Flat

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor for this measure is assumed to be 100%[669].

---

[662] ENERGY STAR "*Save Energy, Money and Prevent Pollution with LED Exit Signs*"

[663] ENERGY STAR "*Program Requirements for Exit Signs – Eligibility Criteria*" Version.3. While the EPA suspended the ENERGY STAR Exit Sign specification effective May 1, 2008, Federal requirements specify minimum efficiency standards for electrically-powered, single-faced exit signs with integral lighting sources that are equivalent to ENERGY STAR levels for input power demand of 5 watts or less per face.

[664] Incandescent exit sign units are no longer available for purchase in the market per the ENERGY STAR Exit Sign Calculator assumptions.

[665] GDA Associates Inc. "Measure Life Report: Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures", June 2007.

[666] EPA ENERGY STAR Exit Sign Calculator estimates LED cost/unit is $39 and assuming IA labor cost of 15 minutes @ $40/hr.

[667] CFL exit sign units on average cost more than LED exit sign units. Inform Inc. "Purchasing for Pollution Prevention Program Fact Sheet", Nov 2003.

[668] Price includes new exit sign/fixture and installation. EPA ENERGY STAR Exit Sign Calculator estimates LED cost/unit is $39 and assuming IA labor cost of 15 minutes @ $40/hr.

[669] Assuming continuous operation of an LED exit sign, the Summer Peak Coincidence Factor is assumed to equal 1.0.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS [670]

$$\Delta kWh = \left(\frac{Watts_{\text{bBase}} - Watts_{\text{EE}}}{1000}\right) * Hours * (WHFeHeat + (WHFeCool - 1)$$

Where:

$Watts_{Base}$ = Actual wattage if known, if unknown assume the following:

Project Type	Baseline Type	$Watts_{Base}$
Retrofit/Direct Install[671]	Incandescent (dual sided)	40W[672]
	Incandescent (single sided)	20W
	CFL (dual sided)	14W[673]
	CFL (single sided)	7W
Time of Sale	CFL (dual sided)	14W
	CFL (single sided)	7W

$Watts_{EE}$ = Actual wattage if known, if unknown assume singled sided 2W and dual sided 4W[674]

Hours = Annual operating hours

= 8766

$WHFe_{Heat}$ = Waste Heat Factor for energy to account for electric heating increase from reducing waste heat from efficient lighting (if fossil fuel heating – see calculation of heating penalty in that section).

= 1 - ((HF / ηHeat) * %ElecHeat)

If unknown assume 0.94[675]

HF = Heating Factor or percentage of light savings that must be heated

= 53%[676] for interior or unknown location

= 0% for exterior or unheated location

ηHeat = Efficiency in COP of Heating equipment

[670] There is no ISR calculation. Exit signs and emergency lighting are required by federal regulations to be installed and functional in all public buildings as outlined by the U.S. Occupational Safety and Health Standards (USOSHA 1993).

[671] If program type does not know baseline assume the ratio of present incandescent to fluorescent exit sign units to be a deemed a weighted baseline of 70% incandescent to 30% CFL = 32.2W. This ratio has been used by ComEd and is reflective of program experience. In lieu of IA specific market research, we consider this evaluation to be reasonable.

[672]. Average incandescent watts are assumed at 40W as listed by the U.S. Department of Energy, ENERGY STARY Life Cycle Cost Exit-Sign Calculator available at https://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=exit_signs.pr_exit_signs.

[673] Average CFL single sided (5W, 7W, 9W) from Appendix B 2013-14 Table of Standard Fixture Wattages. Available at: http://www.aesc-inc.com/download/spc/2013SPCDocs/PGE/App%20B%20Standard%20Fixture%20Watts.pdf

[674] Average Exit LED watts are assumed as a 2W as listed in Appendix B 2013-14 Table of Standard Fixture Wattages. Available at: http://www.aesc-inc.com/download/spc/2013SPCDocs/PGE/App%20B%20Standard%20Fixture%20Watts.pdf

[674] Average LED single sided (2W) from Appendix B 2013-14 Table of Standard Fixture Wattages. Available at: http://www.aesc-inc.com/download/spc/2013SPCDocs/PGE/App%20B%20Standard%20Fixture%20Watts.pdf

[675] Calculated using defaults; 1-((0.53/1.38) * 0.15) = 0.94

[676] This means that heating loads increase by 53% of the lighting savings. This is based on the average result from REMRate modeling of several different building configurations in Des Moines, and Mason City and Burlington.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

= actual. If not available use[677]:

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	ηHeat (COP Estimate)
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	2.00
	2006-2014	7.7	2.26
	2015 on	8.2	2.40
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00
Unknown	N/A	N/A	1.38[678]

%ElecHeat = Percentage of home with electric heat

Heating fuel	%ElecHeat
Electric	100%
Fossil Fuel	0%
Unknown	15%[679]

$WHFe_{Cool}$ = Waste Heat Factor for energy to account for cooling savings from reducing waste heat from efficient lighting.

Bulb Location	$WHFe_{Cool}$
Building with cooling	1.12[680]
Building without cooling or exterior	1.0
Unknown	1.08[681]

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS[682]

$$\Delta kW = \frac{Watts_{Base} - Watts_{EE}}{1{,}000} * WHFdCool * CF$$

Where:

$WHFd_{Cool}$ = Waste Heat Factor for demand to account for cooling savings from efficient lighting

Bulb Location	WHFdCool
Building with cooling	1.22[683]

[677] These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 and 2015 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

[678] Calculation assumes 33% Heat Pump and 67% Resistance which is based upon data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls". Average efficiency of heat pump is based on assumption 50% are units from before 2006 and 50% 2006-2014.

[679] Based on data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls".

[680] The value is estimated at 1.12 (calculated as 1 + (0.34 / 2.8)). Based on cooling loads decreasing by 34% of the lighting savings (average result from REMRate modeling of several different building configurations in Des Moines, and Mason City and Burlington), assuming typical cooling system operating efficiency of 2.8 COP (starting from standard assumption of SEER 10.5 central AC unit, converted to 9.5 EER using algorithm (-0.02 * SEER2) + (1.12 * SEER) (from Wassmer, M. (2003); A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder), converted to COP = EER/3.412 = 2.8COP).

[681] The value is estimated at 1.09 (calculated as 1 + (0.64*(0.34 / 2.8)). Based on assumption that 64% of homes have central cooling (based on 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Midwest Region.xls").

[682] There is no ISR calculation. Exit signs and emergency lighting are required by federal regulations to be installed and functional in all public buildings as outlined by the U.S. Occupational Safety and Health Standards (USOSHA 1993).

[683] The value is estimated at 1.22 (calculated as 1 + (0.61 / 2.8)). See footnote relating to WHFe for details. Note the 61% factor

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Bulb Location	WHFdCool
Building without cooling or exterior	1.0
Unknown (e.g., Retail, Upstream, and Efficiency Kits)	1.14[684]

CF = Summer peak Coincidence Factor for this measure

= 1.0[685]

NATURAL GAS ENERGY SAVINGS

Heating Penalty for Natural Gas heated homes[686]:

$$\Delta Therms = -\frac{\frac{Watts_{Base} - Watts_{EE}}{1{,}000} * Hours * HF * 0.03412}{\eta\text{HeatGas}} * \%\text{GasHeat}$$

Where:

HF = Heating factor, or percentage of lighting savings that must be replaced by heating system.

= 53%[687] for interior or unknown location

= 0% for exterior or unheated location

0.03412 = Converts kWh to Therms

$\eta Heat_{Gas}$ = Efficiency of heating system

= 74%[688]

%GasHeat = Percentage of homes with gas heat

Heating fuel	%GasHeat
Electric	0%
Gas	100%
Unknown	85%[689]

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

For ease of application, savings for this measure is assumed to be evenly spread across the year. The Peak Gas Savings is therefore assumed to be:

represents the Residential cooling coincidence factor calculated using the average load during the peak period (as opposed to the peak hour) consistent with the lighting peak hours.

[684] The value is estimated at 1.14 (calculated as 1 + (0.64 * 0.61 / 2.8)).

[685] [685] Assuming continuous operation of an LED exit sign, the Summer Peak Coincidence Factor is assumed to equal 1.0.

[686] Results in a negative value because this is an increase in heating consumption due to the efficient lighting.

[687] This means that heating loads increase by 53% of the lighting savings. This is based on the average result from REMRate modeling of several different building configurations in Des Moines, Mason City, and Burlington, IA.

[688] This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey)). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows: ((0.60*0.92) + (0.40*0.8)) * (1-0.15) = 0.74.

[689] Based on data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls".

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \frac{\Delta Therms}{HeatDays}$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above

HeatDays = Heat season days per year

= 217[690]

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

The annual O&M Cost Adjustment savings should be calculated using the following component costs and lifetimes.

Program Type	Component	Baseline Measure	
		Cost	Life (yrs)
Retrofit/Direct Install[691]	CFL lamp	$13.00[692]	0.57 years[693]
	Incandescent lamp	$11.27[694]	0.17 years[695]
Time of Sale	CFL lamp	$13.00	0.57 years

MEASURE CODE: RS-LTG-EXIT-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2023

[690] Number of days where HDD 60 >0.

[691] If program component is unknown use 70/30 split for costs and life = $11.87 and 0.29 yrs

[692] Consistent with assumption as listed by the U.S. Department of Energy, ENERGY STARY Life Cycle Cost Exit-Sign Calculator available at https://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=exit_signs.pr_exit_signs for estimated labor cost of $10 (assuming $40/hour and a task time of 15 minutes). Replacement of a CFL bulb is assumed to be $3 as noted by regional IA program details (IPL Business Assessment).

[693] ENERGY STAR "*Save Energy, Money and Prevent Pollution with LED Exit Signs*" specifies that CFL bulbs for Exit Signs typically have an average rated life of 5000-6000 hours. Given 24/7 run time assume Exit Light replacement requirements as 5,500/8760.

[694] Assume incandescent A-lamp 45W is $1.27 per Itron, Ex Ante Measure cost Study, 2014 "WA017_MCS Results Matrix - Volume I (1).xlsx"

[695] ENERGY STAR "*Save Energy, Money and Prevent Pollution with LED Exit Signs*" specifies that a typical incandescent exit sign bulb will be approx. 40W and will have a rated life of 500-2000 hours. Given 24/7 run time of the Exit Sign the replacement requirements would be an average of 1500/8766.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.6 Shell

2.6.1 Infiltration Control

DESCRIPTION

Thermal shell air leaks are sealed through strategic use and location of air-tight materials. An estimate of savings is provided in two ways. It is highly recommended that leaks be detected and pre- and post-sealing leakage rates measured with the assistance of a blower-door by qualified/certified inspectors[696]. Where this occurs, an algorithm is provided to estimate the site specific savings. Where test in/test out has not occurred, a conservative deemed assumption is provided.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

Air sealing materials and diagnostic testing should meet all eligibility program qualification criteria. The initial and final tested leakage rates should be assessed in such a manner that the identified reductions can be properly discerned, particularly in situations wherein multiple building envelope measures may be implemented simultaneously.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The existing air leakage should be determined through approved and appropriate test methods using a blower door. The baseline condition of a building upon first inspection significantly affects the opportunity for cost-effective energy savings through air sealing.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 15 years.[697]

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual capital cost for this measure should be used.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE11 - Residential Single Family Cooling

Loadshape RE10 - Residential Single Family Central Heat

Loadshape RE12 - Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Loadshape RG01 – Residential Boiler

Loadshape RG04 – Residential Other Heating

[696] Refer to the Energy Conservatory Blower Door Manual for more information on testing methodologies.
[697] Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, 2007.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Test In / Test Out Approach

$$\Delta kWh = \Delta kWh_cooling + \Delta kWh_heating$$

Where:

ΔkWh_cooling = If central cooling, reduction in annual cooling requirement due to air sealing

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{CFM50_{Pre} - CFM50_{Post}}{N_{cool}}\right) * 60 * 24 * CDD * DUA * 0.018 * LM}{(1000 * \eta Cool)}$$

$CFM50_{Pre}$ = Infiltration at 50 Pascals as measured by blower door before air sealing

= Actual[698]

$CFM50_{Post}$ = Infiltration at 50 Pascals as measured by blower door after air sealing

= Actual

N_{cool} = Conversion factor from leakage at 50 Pascal to leakage at natural conditions

=Dependent on location and number of stories:[699]

Climate Zone (City based upon)	N_cool (by # of stories)			
	1	1.5	2	3
Zone 5 (Burlington)	37.0	32.8	30.1	26.6
Zone 6 (Mason City)	32.5	28.8	26.4	23.4
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	34.3	30.4	27.9	24.7

60 * 24 = Converts Cubic Feet per Minute to Cubic Feet per Day

CDD = Cooling Degree Days

= Dependent on location[700]:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	CDD 65
Zone 5 (Burlington)	1,209
Zone 6 (Mason City)	616

[698] Because the pre- and post-sealing blower door test will occur on different days, there is a potential for the wind and temperature conditions on the two days to affect the readings. There are methodologies to account for these effects. For wind - first if possible, avoid testing in high wind, place blower door on downwind side, take a pre-test baseline house pressure reading and adjust your house pressure readings by subtracting the baseline reading, and use the time averaging feature on the digital gauge, etc. Corrections for air density due to temperature swings can be accounted for with Air Density Correction Factors. Refer to the Energy Conservatory Blower Door Manual for more information.

[699] N-factor is used to convert 50-pascal blower door air flows to natural air flows and is dependent on geographic location and # of stories. These were developed by applying the LBNL infiltration model (see LBNL paper 21040, *Exegisis of Proposed ASHRAE Standard 119: Air Leakage Performance for Detached Single-Family Residential Buildings*; Sherman, 1986; page v-vi, Appendix page 7-9) to the reported wind speeds and outdoor temperatures provided by the NRDC 30 year climate normals. For more information see Bruce Harley, CLEAResult "Infiltration Factor Calculations Methodology.doc" and calculation worksheets on the Sharepoint site.

[700] National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temperature of 65°F.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Climate Zone (City based upon)	CDD 65
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	1,068

DUA = Discretionary Use Adjustment (reflects the fact that people do not always operate their AC when conditions may call for it)

= 0.75 [701]

0.018 = Specific Heat Capacity of Air (Btu/ft^3*°F)

1000 = Converts Btu to kBtu

ηCool = Efficiency (SEER) of Air Conditioning equipment (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual (where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate) - If unknown, assume the following[702]:

Age of Equipment	SEER Estimate
Before 2006	10
2006 - 2014	13
Central AC After 1/1/2015	13
Heat Pump After 1/1/2015	14

LM = Latent multiplier to account for latent cooling demand

= dependent on location: [703]

Climate Zone (City based upon)	LM
Zone 5 (Burlington)	4.1
Zone 6 (Mason City)	4.2
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	4.2

ΔkWh_heating = If electric heat (resistance or heat pump), reduction in annual electric heating due to air sealing

$$= \frac{\frac{(CFM50_{Pre} - CFM50_{Post})}{N_heat} * 60 * 24 * HDD * 0.018}{(\eta Heat * 3{,}412)}$$

N_heat = Conversion factor from leakage at 50 Pascal to leakage at natural conditions

= Based on location and building height:[704]

[701] This factor's source: Energy Center of Wisconsin, May 2008 metering study; "Central Air Conditioning in Wisconsin, A Compilation of Recent Field Research", p31.

[702] These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

[703] The Latent Multiplier is used to convert the sensible cooling savings calculated to a value representing sensible and latent cooling loads. The values are derived from the methodology outlined in Infiltration Factor Calculation Methodology by Bruce Harley, Senior Manager, Applied Building Science, CLEAResult 11/18/2015 and is based upon an 8760 analysis of sensible and total heat loads using hourly climate data.

[704] N-factor is used to convert 50-pascal blower door air flows to natural air flows and is dependent on geographic location and # of stories. These were developed by applying the LBNL infiltration model (see LBNL paper 21040, *Exegisis of Proposed ASHRAE Standard 119: Air Leakage Performance for Detached Single-Family Residential Buildings*; Sherman, 1986; page v-vi, Appendix page 7-9) to the reported wind speeds and outdoor temperatures provided by the NRDC 30 year climate normals. For more information see Bruce Harley, CLEAResult "Infiltration Factor Calculations Methodology.doc" and calculation worksheets on the

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Climate Zone (City based upon)	N_heat (by # of stories)			
	1	1.5	2	3
Zone 5 (Burlington)	23.5	20.8	19.1	16.9
Zone 6 (Mason City)	21.0	18.6	17.0	15.1
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	22.2	19.7	18.0	16.0

HDD = Heating Degree Days

= Dependent on location:[705]

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 60
Zone 5 (Burlington)	4,496
Zone 6 (Mason City)	6,391
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	5,052

ηHeat = Efficiency of heating system

= Actual - If not available refer to default table below[706]:

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	ηHeat (Effective COP Estimate) (HSPF/3.412)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	2006 - 2014	7.7	1.92
	2015 and after	8.2	2.04
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1

3412 = Converts Btu to kWh

For example, for a 2 story single family home in Des Moines with 10.5 SEER central cooling and a heat pump with COP of 2 (1.92 including distribution losses), with pre- and post-sealing blower door test results of 3,400 and 2,250:

ΔkWh = ΔkWh_cooling + ΔkWh_heating

= [(((3,400 – 2,250) / 27.9) * 60 * 24 * 1068 * 0.75 * 0.018 * 6.2) / (1000 * 10.5)] +

[(((3,400 – 2,250) / 18.0) * 60 * 24 * 5092 * 0.018) / (1.92 * 3,412)]

= 505.3 + 1287.2

= 1,792.5 kWh

Sharepoint site.

[705] National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in "Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends," 2004.

[706] These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Conservative Deemed Approach

$$\Delta kWh = SavingsPerUnit * SqFt$$

Where:

SavingsPerUnit = Annual savings per square foot, dependent on heating / cooling equipment[707]

Building Type	HVAC System	SavingsPerUnit (kWh/ft)
Manufactured	Central Air Conditioner	0.062
Multifamily	Central Air Conditioner	0.043
Single Family	Central Air Conditioner	0.050
Manufactured	Electric Furnace/Resistance Space Heat	0.413
Multifamily	Electric Furnace/Resistance Space Heat	0.285
Single Family	Electric Furnace/Resistance Space Heat	0.308
Manufactured	Air Source Heat Pump	0.391
Multifamily	Air Source Heat Pump	0.251
Single Family	Air Source Heat Pump	0.308
Manufactured	Air Source Heat Pump - Cooling	0.062
Multifamily	Air Source Heat Pump - Cooling	0.043
Single Family	Air Source Heat Pump - Cooling	0.050
Manufactured	Air Source Heat Pump - Heating	0.329
Multifamily	Air Source Heat Pump - Heating	0.208
Single Family	Air Source Heat Pump - Heating	0.257

SqFt = Building conditioned square footage

= Actual

Additional Fan savings

ΔkWh_heating = If gas *furnace* heat, kWh savings for reduction in fan run time

= ΔTherms * F_e * 29.3

F_e = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption

= 3.14%[708]

29.3 = kWh per therm

[707] The values in the table represent estimates of savings from a 15% improvement in air leakage. The values are half those provided by Cadmus for the Joint Assessment, based on building simulations performed. While 30% savings are certainly achievable, this represents a thorough job in both the attic and basements and could not be verified without testing. The conservative 15% estimate is more appropriate for a deemed estimate. These values should be re-evaluated if EM&V values provide support for a higher deemed estimate.

[708] F_e is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy (Ef in MMBtu/yr) and Eae (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the ENERGY STAR version 3 criteria for 2% F_e. See "Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx" for reference.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

For example, for a 2 story single family home in Des Moines with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 70%, with pre- and post-sealing blower door test results of 3,400 and 2,250 (see therm calculation in Natural Gas Savings section):

ΔkWh = 114 * 0.0314 * 29.3

= 105 kWh

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh_cooling}{FLH_cooling} * CF$$

Where:

FLH_cooling = Full load hours of air conditioning

= Dependent on location[709]:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Single Family	Multifamily	Manufactured
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	811	650	764

CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling

= 97%[710]

For example, for a 2 story single family home in Des Moines with 10.5 SEER central cooling and a heat pump with COP of 2.0, with pre- and post-sealing blower door test results of 3,400 and 2,250:

ΔkW = 505.3 / 811 * 0.97

= 0.60 kW

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Test In / Test Out Approach

If Natural Gas heating:

$$\Delta Therms = \frac{\frac{(CFM50_{Pre} - CFM50_{Post})}{N_heat} * 60 * 24 * HDD * 0.018}{(\eta Heat * 100{,}000)}$$

Where:

N_heat = Conversion factor from leakage at 50 Pascal to leakage at natural conditions

= Based on location and building height:[711]

[709] Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

[710] Based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.

[711] N-factor is used to convert 50-pascal blower door air flows to natural air flows and is dependent on geographic location and # of stories. These were developed by applying the LBNL infiltration model (see LBNL paper 21040, *Exegisis of Proposed ASHRAE Standard 119: Air Leakage Performance for Detached Single-Family Residential Buildings*; Sherman, 1986; page v-vi, Appendix page 7-9) to the reported wind speeds and outdoor temperatures provided by the NRDC 30 year climate normals. For more information see Bruce Harley, CLEAResult "Infiltration Factor Calculations Methodology.doc" and calculation worksheets on the

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Climate Zone (City based upon)	N_heat (by # of stories)			
	1	1.5	2	3
Zone 5 (Burlington)	23.5	20.8	19.1	16.9
Zone 6 (Mason City)	21.0	18.6	17.0	15.1
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	22.2	19.7	18.0	16.0

HDD = Heating Degree Days

= Dependent on location:[712]

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 60
Zone 5 (Burlington)	4,496
Zone 6 (Mason City)	6,391
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	5,052

ηHeat = Efficiency of heating system

= Equipment efficiency * distribution efficiency

= Actual[713] - If not available, use 74%[714]

Other factors as defined above

For example, for 2 story single family home in Des Moines with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 70%, with pre- and post-sealing blower door test results of 3,400 and 2,250:

ΔTherms = (((3,400 – 2,250)/18.0) * 60 * 24 * 5052 * 0.018) / (0.74 * 100,000)

= 113.1 therms

Conservative Deemed Approach

$$\Delta kWh = SavingsPerUnit * SqFt$$

Where:

SavingsPerUnit = Annual savings per square foot, dependent on heating / cooling equipment[715]

Sharepoint site.

[712] National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in "Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends," 2004.

[713] Ideally, the System Efficiency should be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit, or performing a steady state efficiency test. The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute: (http://www.bpi.org/files/pdf/DistributionEfficiencyTable-BlueSheet.pdf or by performing duct blaster testing.

[714] This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows: ((0.60*0.92) + (0.40*0.8)) * (1-0.15) = 0.74.

[715] The values in the table represent estimates of savings from a 15% improvement in air leakage. The values are half those provided by Cadmus for the Joint Assessment, based on building simulations performed. While 30% savings are certainly achievable, this represents a thorough job in both the attic and basements and could not be verified without testing. The

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Building Type	HVAC System	SavingsPerUnit (Therms/ft)
Manufactured	Gas Boiler	0.022
Multifamily	Gas Boiler	0.018
Single Family	Gas Boiler	0.016
Manufactured	Gas Furnace	0.017
Multifamily	Gas Furnace	0.012
Single Family	Gas Furnace	0.013

SqFt = Building square footage

= Actual

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \Delta Therms * GCF$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above

GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating[716]

= 0.014378 for Residential Boiler

= 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

For example, for a 2 story single family home in Chicago with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 70%, with pre- and post-sealing blower door test results of 3,400 and 2,250:

ΔPeakTherms = 113.1 * 0.016525

= 1.87 therms

Conservative Deemed Approach

Building Type	HVAC System	SavingsPerUnit (PeakTherms/ft)
Manufactured	Gas Boiler	0.000313
Multifamily	Gas Boiler	0.000259
Single Family	Gas Boiler	0.000237
Manufactured	Gas Furnace	0.000281
Multifamily	Gas Furnace	0.000191
Single Family	Gas Furnace	0.000220

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

conservative 15% estimate is more appropriate for a deemed estimate. These values should be re-evaluated if EM&V values provide support for a higher deemed estimate.

[716] Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

MEASURE CODE: RS-SHL-AIRS-V01-150601

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2022

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.6.2 Attic/Ceiling Insulation

DESCRIPTION

This measure describes savings from adding insulation to the attic/ceiling. This measure requires a member of the implementation staff evaluating the pre- and post-project R-values and to measure surface areas. The efficiency of the heating and cooling equipment in the home should also be evaluated if possible.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The requirements for participation in the program will be defined by the utilities.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The existing condition will be evaluated by implementation staff or a participating contractor.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 25 years.[717]

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual installed cost for this measure should be used in screening.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE11 - Residential Single Family Cooling

Loadshape RE10 - Residential Single Family Central Heat

Loadshape RE12 - Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Loadshape RG01 – Residential Boiler

Loadshape RG04 – Residential Other Heating

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = (\Delta kWh_cooling + \Delta kWh_heating)$$

Where

ΔkWh_cooling = If central cooling, reduction in annual cooling requirement due to insulation

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{Old}} - \frac{1}{R_{Attic}}\right) * A_{attic} * (1 - FramingFactor_{Attic}) * CDD * 24 * DUA}{(1000 * \eta Cool)}$$

R_{Attic} = R-value of new attic assembly including all layers between inside air and outside air (ft^2.°F.h/Btu)

[717] Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, 2007

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

R_{Old} = R-value value of existing assembly and any existing insulation

(Minimum of R-5 for uninsulated assemblies[718])

A_{Attic} = Total area of insulated ceiling/attic (ft^2)

$FramingFactor_{Attic}$ = Adjustment to account for area of framing

= 7%[719]

CDD = Cooling Degree Days

= Dependent on location[720]:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	CDD 65
Zone 5 (Burlington)	1,209
Zone 6 (Mason City)	616
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	1,068

24 = Converts days to hours

DUA = Discretionary Use Adjustment (reflects the fact that people do not always operate their AC when conditions may call for it)

= 0.75 [721]

1000 = Converts Btu to kBtu

ηCool = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of cooling system (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual (where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate) - If unknown, assume the following:[722]

Age of Equipment	ηCool Estimate
Before 2006	10
2006 - 2014	13
Central AC after 1/1/2015	13
Heat Pump after 1/1/2015	14

kWh_heating = If electric heat (resistance or heat pump), reduction in annual electric heating due to insulation

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{Old}} - \frac{1}{R_{Attic}}\right) * A_{Attic} * (1 - FramingFactor_{Attic}) * HDD * 24 * ADJAttic}{(\eta Heat * 3412)}$$

HDD = Heating Degree Days

= Dependent on location:[723]

[718] An estimate based on review of Madison Gas and Electric, Exterior Wall Insulation, R-value for no insulation in walls, and NREL's Building Energy Simulation Test for Existing Homes (BESTEST-EX).
[719] ASHRAE, 2001, "Characterization of Framing Factors for New Low-Rise Residential Building Envelopes (904-RP)," Table 7.1
[720] National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 65°F.
[721] This factor's source: Energy Center of Wisconsin, May 2008 metering study; "Central Air Conditioning in Wisconsin, A Compilation of Recent Field Research", p31.
[722] These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.
[723] National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 60
Zone 5 (Burlington)	4,496
Zone 6 (Mason City)	6,391
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	5,052

ηHeat = Efficiency of heating system

= Actual - If not available, refer to default table below:[724]

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	ηHeat (Effective COP Estimate) (HSPF/3.412)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	2006 - 2014	7.7	1.9
	2015 and after	8.2	2.0
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.0

3412 = Converts Btu to kWh

ADJ_{Attic} = Adjustment for attic insulation to account for prescriptive engineering algorithms consistently overclaiming savings.

= 74%[725]

For example, for a single family home in Mason City with 700 ft^2 of R-5 attic insulated to R-49, 10.5 SEER Central AC, and 2.26 (1.92 including distribution losses) COP Heat Pump:

ΔkWh = (ΔkWh_cooling + ΔkWh_heating)

= (((1/5 - 1/49) * 700 * (1-0.07) * 616 * 24 * 0.75)/ (1000 * 10.5)) + (((1/5 - 1/49) * 700 * (1-0.07) * 6391 * 24 * 0.74) / (1.92 * 3412))

= 123 + 2026

= 2149 kWh

ΔkWh_heating = If gas *furnace* heat, kWh savings for reduction in fan run time

= ΔTherms * F_e * 29.3

Where:

F_e = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption

= 3.14%[726]

findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in "Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends," 2004.

[724] These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

[725] Based upon comparing algorithm derived savings estimate and evaluated bill analysis estimate in the following 2012 Massachusetts report: "Home Energy Services Impact Evaluation", August 2012. See "Insulation ADJ calculations.xls" for details or calculation.

[726] F_e is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

29.3 = kWh per therm

For example, for a single family home in Mason City with 700 ft^2 of R-5 attic insulated to R-49, with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74% (for therm calculation see Natural Gas Savings section):

ΔkWh = 179.2 * 0.0314 * 29.3

= 165 kWh

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh_cooling}{FLH_cooling} * CF$$

Where:

FLH_cooling = Full load hours of air conditioning

= Dependent on location[727]:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Single Family	Multifamily	Manufactured
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	811	650	764

CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling

= 97%[728]

For example, for a single family home in Mason City with 700 ft^2 of R-5 attic insulated to R-49, 10.5 SEER Central AC, and 2.26 (1.92 including distribution losses) COP Heat Pump:

ΔkW = 123 / 468 * 0.97

= 0.25 kW

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

ΔTherms (if Natural Gas heating)

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{old}} - \frac{1}{R_{attic}}\right) * A_{Attic} * (1 - FramingFactor_{Attic}) * HDD * 24 * ADJAttic}{(\eta Heat * 100{,}000)}$$

Where:

HDD = Heating Degree Days

= Dependent on location:[729]

calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy (Ef in MMBtu/yr) and Eae (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the Energy Star version 3 criteria for 2% F_e. See "Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx" for reference.

[727] Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

[728] Based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.

[729] National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in "Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends," 2004.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 60
Zone 5 (Burlington)	4,496
Zone 6 (Mason City)	6,391
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	5,052

ηHeat = Efficiency of heating system

= Equipment efficiency * distribution efficiency

= Actual.[730] If unknown assume 74%[731].

100,000 = Converts Btu to Therms

Other factors as defined above

For example, for a single family home in Mason City with 700 ft^2 of R-5 attic insulated to R-49, with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74%:

ΔTherms = ((1/5 - 1/49) * 700 * (1-0.07) * 6391 * 24 * 0.74) / (0.74 * 100,000)

= 179.3 therms

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \Delta Therms * GCF$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above

GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating[732]

= 0.014378 for Residential Boiler

= 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

For example, for a single family home in Mason City with 700 ft^2 of R-5 attic insulated to R-49, with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74%:

ΔPeakTherms = 179.3 * 0.016525

= 2.963 therms

[730] Ideally, the System Efficiency should be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit, or performing a steady state efficiency test. The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute: (http://www.bpi.org/files/pdf/DistributionEfficiencyTable-BlueSheet.pdf) or by performing duct blaster testing.

[731] This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows: ((0.60*0.92) + (0.40*0.8)) * (1-0.15) = 0.74.

[732] Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-SHL-AINS-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2021

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.6.3 Rim/Band Joist Insulation

DESCRIPTION

This measure describes savings from adding insulation (either rigid or spray foam) to rim/band joist cavities. This measure requires a member of the implementation staff evaluating the pre- and post-project R-values and to measure surface areas. The efficiency of the heating and cooling equipment in the home should also be evaluated if possible.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The requirements for participation in the program will be defined by the utilities.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The existing condition will be evaluated by implementation staff or a participating contractor and is likely to be an uninsulated rim/band joist.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 25 years.[733]

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual installed cost for this measure should be used in screening.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE11 - Residential Single Family Cooling

Loadshape RE10 - Residential Single Family Central Heat

Loadshape RE12 - Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Loadshape RG01 – Residential Boiler

Loadshape RG04 – Residential Other Heating

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = (\Delta kWh_cooling + \Delta kWh_heating)$$

Where

ΔkWh_cooling = If central cooling, reduction in annual cooling requirement due to insulation

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{Old}} - \frac{1}{R_{Rim}}\right) * A_{Rim} * (1 - FramingFactor_{Rim}) * CDD * 24 * DUA}{(1000 * \eta Cool)}$$

[733] Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, 2007

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

R_{Rim} = R-value of new rim/band joist assembly including all layers between inside air and outside air (ft^2.°F.h/Btu)

R_{old} = R-value value of existing assembly and any existing insulation (ft^2.°F.h/Btu).

(Minimum of R-5 for uninsulated assemblies[734])

A_{Rim} = Net area of insulated rim/band joist (ft^2)

$FramingFactor_{Rim}$ = Adjustment to account for area of framing

= 25%[735]

CDD = Cooling Degree Days

= Dependent on location and whether in conditioned or unconditioned space:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Conditioned Space	Unconditioned Space
	CDD 65 [736]	CDD 75 [737]
Zone 5 (Burlington)	1,209	411
Zone 6 (Mason City)	616	264
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	1,068	474

24 = Converts days to hours

DUA = Discretionary Use Adjustment (reflects the fact that people do not always operate their AC when conditions may call for it)

= 0.75 [738]

1000 = Converts Btu to kBtu

ηCool = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of cooling system (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual (where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate) - If unknown, assume the following:[739]

Age of Equipment	ηCool Estimate
Before 2006	10
2006 - 2014	13
Central AC after 1/1/2015	13
Heat Pump after 1/1/2015	14

[734] An estimate based on review of Madison Gas and Electric, Exterior Wall Insulation, R-value for no insulation in walls, and NREL's Building Energy Simulation Test for Existing Homes (BESTEST-EX).
[735] Consistent with Wall framing factor assumption; ASHRAE, 2001, "Characterization of Framing Factors for New Low-Rise Residential Building Envelopes (904-RP)," Table 7.1.
[736] National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 65°F.
[737] The base temperature should be the outdoor temperature at which the desired indoor temperature stays constant, in balance with heat loss or gain to the outside and internal gains. Since unconditioned basements are allowed to swing in temperature, are ground coupled, and are usually cool, they have a bigger delta between the two (heating and cooling) base temperatures. 75F for cooling and 50F for heating are used based on professional judgment. Five year average cooling degree days with 75F base temp are provided from DegreeDays.net because the 30 year climate normals from NCDC are not available at base temps above 72F.
[738] This factor's source: Energy Center of Wisconsin, May 2008 metering study; "Central Air Conditioning in Wisconsin, A Compilation of Recent Field Research", p31.
[739] These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

kWh_heating = If electric heat (resistance or heat pump), reduction in annual electric heating due to insulation

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{old}} - \frac{1}{R_{Rim}}\right) * A_{Rim} * (1 - FramingFactor_{Rim}) * HDD * 24 * ADJRim}{(\eta Heat * 3412)}$$

HDD = Heating Degree Days

= Dependent on location and whether in conditioned or unconditioned space:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Conditioned Space	Unconditioned Space
	HDD 60 [740]	HDD 50 [741]
Zone 5 (Burlington)	4,496	2,678
Zone 6 (Mason City)	6,391	4,222
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	5,052	3,126

ηHeat = Efficiency of heating system

= Actual - If not available, refer to default table below:[742]

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	ηHeat (Effective COP Estimate) (HSPF/3.412)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	2006 - 2014	7.7	1.9
	2015 on	8.2	2.0
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.0

3412 = Converts Btu to kWh

ADJ_{Rim} = Adjustment for rim/band joist insulation to account for prescriptive engineering algorithms consistently overclaiming savings.

=63%[743]

[740] National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in "Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends," 2004.

[741] The base temperature should be the outdoor temperature at which the desired indoor temperature stays constant, in balance with heat loss or gain to the outside and internal gains. Since unconditioned basements are allowed to swing in temperature, are ground coupled, and are usually cool, they have a bigger delta between the two (heating and cooling) base temperatures. 75F for cooling and 50F for heating are used based on professional judgment. National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals.

[742] These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

[743] Consistent with ADJWall; Based upon comparing algorithm derived savings estimate and evaluated bill analysis estimate in the following 2012 Massachusetts report: "Home Energy Services Impact Evaluation", August 2012. See "Insulation ADJ calculations.xls" for details or calculation.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

For example, for a single family home in Mason City with 100 ft^2 of uninsulated (assume R-5) rim/band joist cavities in an unconditioned basement that is insulated to R-13. The home has 10.5 SEER Central AC and 2.26 (1.92 including distribution losses) COP Heat Pump:

ΔkWh = (ΔkWh_cooling + ΔkWh_heating)

= (((1/5 - 1/13) * 100 * (1-0.25) * 264 * 24 * 0.75) / (1000 * 10.5)) + (((1/5 - 1/13) * 100 * (1-0.25) * 4222 * 24 * 0.63) / (1.92 * 3412))

= 4.2 + 89.9

= 94.1 kWh

ΔkWh_heating = If gas *furnace* heat, kWh savings for reduction in fan run time

= ΔTherms * F_e * 29.3

Where:

F_e = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption

= 3.14%[744]

29.3 = kWh per therm

For example, for a single family home in Mason City with 100 ft^2 of uninsulated (assume R-5) rim/band joist cavities in an unconditioned basement that is insulated to R-13. The home has a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74% (for therm calculation see Natural Gas Savings section):

ΔkWh = 8.0 * 0.0314 * 29.3

= 7.4 kWh

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh_cooling}{FLH_cooling} * CF$$

Where:

FLH_cooling = Full load hours of air conditioning

= Dependent on location[745]:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Single Family	Multifamily	Manufactured
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	811	650	764

CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling

[744] F_e is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy (Ef in MMBtu/yr) and Eae (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the Energy Star version 3 criteria for 2% F_e. See "Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx" for reference.

[745] Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

= 97%[746]

For example, for a single family home in Mason City with 100 ft^2 of uninsulated (assume R-5) rim/band joist cavities in an unconditioned basement that is insulated to R-13. The home has 10.5 SEER Central AC and 2.26 (1.92 including distribution losses) COP Heat Pump:

ΔkW = 4.2 / 468 * 0.97

= 0.009 kW

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

ΔTherms (if Natural Gas heating)

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{old}} - \frac{1}{R_{Rim}}\right) * A_{Rim} * (1 - FramingFactor_{Rim}) * HDD * 24 * ADJRim}{(\eta Heat * 100{,}000)}$$

Where:

HDD = Heating Degree Days

= Dependent on location and whether in conditioned or unconditioned space:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Conditioned Space	Unconditioned Space
	HDD 60 [747]	HDD 50 [748]
Zone 5 (Burlington)	4,496	2,678
Zone 6 (Mason City)	6,391	4,222
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	5,052	3,126

ηHeat = Efficiency of heating system

= Equipment efficiency * distribution efficiency

= Actual.[749] If unknown assume 74%[750]

[746] Based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.

[747] National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in "Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends," 2004.

[748] The base temperature should be the outdoor temperature at which the desired indoor temperature stays constant, in balance with heat loss or gain to the outside and internal gains. Since unconditioned basements are allowed to swing in temperature, are ground coupled, and are usually cool, they have a bigger delta between the two (heating and cooling) base temperatures. 75F for cooling and 50F for heating are used based on professional judgment. National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals.

[749] Ideally, the System Efficiency should be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit, or performing a steady state efficiency test. The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute: (http://www.bpi.org/files/pdf/DistributionEfficiencyTable-BlueSheet.pdf) or by performing duct blaster testing.

[750] This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey)). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows: ((0.60*0.92) + (0.40*0.8)) * (1-0.15) = 0.74.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

100,000 = Converts Btu to Therms

Other factors as defined above

For example, for a single family home in Mason City with 100 ft^2 of uninsulated (assume R-5) rim/band joist cavities in an unconditioned basement that is insulated to R-13. The home has a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74%:

ΔTherms = ((1/5 - 1/13) * 100 * (1-0.25) * 4222 * 24 * 0.63) / (0.74 * 100,000)

= 8.0 therms

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \Delta Therms * GCF$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above

GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating[751]

= 0.014378 for Residential Boiler

= 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

For example, for a single family home in Mason City with 100 ft^2 of uninsulated (assume R-5) rim/band joist cavities in an unconditioned basement that is insulated to R-13. The home has a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74%:

ΔPeakTherms = 8.0 * 0.016525

= 0.13 therms

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-SHL-RINS-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2021

[751] Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.6.4 Wall Insulation

DESCRIPTION

This measure describes savings from adding insulation (for example, blown cellulose, spray foam) to wall cavities. This measure requires a member of the implementation staff evaluating the pre- and post-project R-values and to measure surface areas. The efficiency of the heating and cooling equipment in the home should also be evaluated if possible.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The requirements for participation in the program will be defined by the utilities.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The existing condition will be evaluated by implementation staff or a participating contractor and is likely to be empty wall cavities.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 25 years.[752]

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual installed cost for this measure should be used in screening.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE11 - Residential Single Family Cooling

Loadshape RE10 - Residential Single Family Central Heat

Loadshape RE12 - Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Loadshape RG01 – Residential Boiler

Loadshape RG04 – Residential Other Heating

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = (\Delta kWh_cooling + \Delta kWh_heating)$$

Where

ΔkWh_cooling = If central cooling, reduction in annual cooling requirement due to insulation

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{Old}} - \frac{1}{R_{Wall}}\right) * A_{Wall} * (1 - FramingFactor_{Wall}) * CDD * 24 * DUA}{(1000 * \eta Cool)}$$

[752] Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, 2007

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

R_{Wall} = R-value of new wall assembly including all layers between inside air and outside air (ft^2.°F.h/Btu)

R_{Old} = R-value value of existing assembly and any existing insulation (ft^2.°F.h/Btu)

(Minimum of R-5 for uninsulated assemblies[753])

A_{Wall} = Net area of insulated wall (ft^2)

$FramingFactor_{Wall}$ = Adjustment to account for area of framing

= 25%[754]

CDD = Cooling Degree Days

= Dependent on location[755]:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	CDD 65
Zone 5 (Burlington)	1,209
Zone 6 (Mason City)	616
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	1,068

24 = Converts days to hours

DUA = Discretionary Use Adjustment (reflects the fact that people do not always operate their AC when conditions may call for it)

= 0.75 [756]

1000 = Converts Btu to kBtu

ηCool = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of cooling system (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual (where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate) - If unknown, assume the following:[757]

Age of Equipment	ηCool Estimate
Before 2006	10
2006 - 2014	13
Central AC after 1/1/2015	13
Heat Pump after 1/1/2015	14

kWh_heating = If electric heat (resistance or heat pump), reduction in annual electric heating due to insulation

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{Old}} - \frac{1}{R_{Wall}}\right) * A_{wall} * (1 - FramingFactor_{Wall}) * HDD * 24 * ADJWall}{(\eta Heat * 3412)}$$

HDD = Heating Degree Days

[753] An estimate based on review of Madison Gas and Electric, Exterior Wall Insulation, R-value for no insulation in walls, and NREL's Building Energy Simulation Test for Existing Homes (BESTEST-EX).
[754] ASHRAE, 2001, "Characterization of Framing Factors for New Low-Rise Residential Building Envelopes (904-RP)," Table 7.1.
[755] National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 65°F.
[756] This factor's source is: Energy Center of Wisconsin, May 2008 metering study; "Central Air Conditioning in Wisconsin, A Compilation of Recent Field Research", p31.
[757] These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

= Dependent on location:[758]

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 60
Zone 5 (Burlington)	4,496
Zone 6 (Mason City)	6,391
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	5,052

ηHeat = Efficiency of heating system

= Actual - If not available, refer to default table below:[759]

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	ηHeat (Effective COP Estimate) (HSPF/3.412)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	2006 - 2014	7.7	1.9
	2015 and after	8.2	2.0
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.0

3412 = Converts Btu to kWh

ADJ_{Wall} = Adjustment for wall insulation to account for prescriptive engineering algorithms consistently overclaiming savings

= 63%[760]

For example, for a single family home in Mason City with 990 ft^2 of R-5 walls insulated to R-13, 10.5 SEER Central AC, and 2.26 (1.92 including distribution losses) COP Heat Pump:

ΔkWh = (ΔkWh_cooling + ΔkWh_heating)

= (((1/5 - 1/13) * 990 * (1-0.25) * 616 * 24 * 0.75) / (1000 * 10.5)) + (((1/5 - 1/13) * 990 * (1-0.25) * 6391 * 24 * 0.63) / (1.92 * 3412))

= 97 + 1348

= 1445 kWh

ΔkWh_heating = If gas *furnace* heat, kWh savings for reduction in fan run time

= ΔTherms * F_e * 29.3

Where:

F_e = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel

[758] National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in "Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends," 2004.

[759] These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

[760] Based upon comparing algorithm derived savings estimate and evaluated bill analysis estimate in the following 2012 Massachusetts report: "Home Energy Services Impact Evaluation", August 2012. See "Insulation ADJ calculations.xls" for details or calculation.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

consumption

= 3.14%[761]

29.3 = kWh per therm

For example, for a single family home in Mason City with 990 ft^2 of R-5 walls insulated to R-13, with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74% (for therm calculation see Natural Gas Savings section):

ΔkWh = 119.3 * 0.0314 * 29.3

= 110 kWh

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh_cooling}{FLH_cooling} * CF$$

Where:

FLH_cooling = Full load hours of air conditioning

= Dependent on location[762]:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Single Family	Multifamily	Manufactured
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	811	650	764

CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling

= 97%[763]

For example, for a single family home in Mason City with 990 ft^2 of R-5 walls insulated to R-13, 10.5 SEER Central AC, and 2.26 (1.92 including distribution losses) COP Heat Pump:

ΔkW = 97 / 468 * 0.97

= 0.2010 kW

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

ΔTherms (if Natural Gas heating)

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{old}} - \frac{1}{R_{wall}}\right) * A_{wall} * (1 - FramingFactor_{Wall}) * HDD * 24 * ADJWall}{(\eta Heat * 100{,}000)}$$

Where:

[761] F_e is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy (Ef in MMBtu/yr) and Eae (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the Energy Star version 3 criteria for 2% F_e. See "Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx" for reference.

[762] Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

[763] Based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

HDD = Heating Degree Days

= Dependent on location:[764]

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 60
Zone 5 (Burlington)	4,496
Zone 6 (Mason City)	6,391
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	5,052

ηHeat = Efficiency of heating system

= Equipment efficiency * distribution efficiency

= Actual[765] - If unknown, assume 74%[766]

100,000 = Converts Btu to Therms

Other factors as defined above

For example, for a single family home in Mason City with 990 ft^2 of R-5 walls insulated to R-13, with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74%:

ΔTherms = ((1/5 - 1/13) * 990 * (1-0.25) * 6391 * 24 * 0.63) / (0.74 * 100,000)

= 119.3 therms

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \Delta Therms * GCF$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above

GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating[767]

= 0.014378 for Residential Boiler

= 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

[764] National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in "Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends," 2004.

[765] Ideally, the System Efficiency should be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit, or performing a steady state efficiency test. The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute: (http://www.bpi.org/files/pdf/DistributionEfficiencyTable-BlueSheet.pdf) or by performing duct blaster testing.

[766] This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey)). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows: ((0.60*0.92) + (0.40*0.8)) * (1-0.15) = 0.74.

[767] Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

For example, for a single family home in Mason City with 990 ft^2 of R-5 walls insulated to R-13, with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74%:

ΔPeakTherms = 119.3 * 0.016525

= 2.0 therms

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-SHL-WINS-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2021

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.6.5 Insulated Doors

DESCRIPTION

Energy and demand saving are realized through reductions in the building cooling and heating loads. This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient condition is insulation levels that exceed code requirements and should be determined by the program.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The retrofit baseline condition is the existing condition and requires assessment of the existing insulation. It should be based on the entire door assembly.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure expected useful life (EUL) is assumed to be 25 years.[768]

DEEMED MEASURE COST

For retrofit projects, full installation costs should be used.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE11 - Residential Single Family Cooling

Loadshape RE10 - Residential Single Family Central Heat

Loadshape RE12 - Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Loadshape RG01 – Residential Boiler

Loadshape RG04 – Residential Other Heating

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Electric energy savings is calculated as the sum of energy saved when cooling the building and energy saved when heating the building

$$\Delta kWh = \Delta kWhcooling + \Delta kWhheating$$

If central cooling, the electric energy saved in annual cooling due to the added insulation is

$$\Delta \mathrm{kWh}_{\mathrm{cooling}} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{existing}} - \frac{1}{R_{new}}\right) * Area * CDD * 24 * DUA}{(1{,}000 * \eta_{cooling})}$$

Where:

[768] FannieMae Estimated useful life tables for multifamily properties.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

$R_{existing}$ = Existing door heat loss coefficient [(hr-°F-ft²)/Btu]. If unknown, assume 3.125[769]

R_{new} = New door heat loss coefficient [(hr-°F-ft²)/Btu]

Area = Area of the door surface in square feet.

CDD = Cooling Degree Days

= Dependent on location[770]:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	CDD 65
Zone 5 (Burlington)	1,209
Zone 6 (Mason City)	616
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	1,068

24 = Converts days to hours

DUA = Discretionary Use Adjustment (reflects the fact that people do not always operate their AC when conditions may call for it)

= 0.75 [771]

1,000 = Conversion from Btu to kBtu

$\eta_{cooling}$ = Seasonal energy efficiency ratio (SEER) of cooling system (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual (where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate) - If unknown, assume the following:[772]

Age of Equipment	ηCool Estimate
Before 2006	10
2006 - 2014	13
Central AC after 1/1/2015	13
Heat Pump after 1/1/2015	14

If the building is heated with electric heat (resistance or heat pump), the electric energy saved in annual heating due to the added insulation is:

$$\Delta kWh_{heating} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{existing}} - \frac{1}{R_{new}}\right) * Area * HDD * 24}{(3{,}412 * \eta_{heating})}$$

Where:

HDD = Heating Degree Days

= Dependent on location:[773]

[769] IECC 2012 and 2015 requirements

[770] National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 65°F.

[771] This factor's source is: Energy Center of Wisconsin, May 2008 metering study; "Central Air Conditioning in Wisconsin, A Compilation of Recent Field Research", p31.

[772] These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

[773] National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in "Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends," 2004.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 60
Zone 5 (Burlington)	4,496
Zone 6 (Mason City)	6,391
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	5,052

$\eta_{heating}$ = Efficiency of heating system

= Actual - If not available, refer to default table below:[774]

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	ηHeat (Effective COP Estimate) (HSPF/3.412)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	2006 - 2014	7.7	1.9
	2015 and after	8.2	2.0
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.0

3,142 = Conversion from Btu to kWh.

If the building is heated with a gas furnace, there will be some electric savings in heating the building attributed to extra insulation since the furnace fans will run less.

$$\Delta kWh_{heating} = \Delta Therms * F_e * 29.3$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Gas savings calculated with equation below.

F_e = Percentage of heating energy consumed by fans, assume 3.14%[775]

29.3 = Conversion from therms to kWh

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = (\Delta kWh_{cooling} / FLH_{cooling}) * CF$$

Where:

$FLH_{cooling}$ = Full load hours of air conditioning

= Dependent on location[776]:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Single Family	Multifamily	Manufactured
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865

[774] These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

[775] F_e is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy (Ef in MMBtu/yr) and Eae (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the Energy Star version 3 criteria for 2% F_e. See "Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx" for reference.

[776] Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Single Family	Multifamily	Manufactured
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	811	650	764

CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling

= 97%[777]

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

If building uses a gas heating system, the savings resulting from the insulation is calculated with the following formula.

$$\Delta\text{Therms} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{existing}} - \frac{1}{R_{new}}\right) * Area * HDD * 24}{(100{,}000 * \eta_{heat})}$$

Where:

$R_{existing}$ = Existing door heat loss [(hr-°F-ft^2)/Btu]

R_{new} = New door heat loss coefficient [(hr-°F-ft^2)/Btu]

Area = Area of the door surface in square feet.

HDD = Heating Degree Days

= Dependent on location:[778]

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 60
Zone 5 (Burlington)	4,496
Zone 6 (Mason City)	6,391
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	5,052

100,000 = Conversion from BTUs to Therms

η_{heat} = Efficiency of heating system

= Equipment efficiency * distribution efficiency

= Actual[779] - If unknown, assume 74%[780]

[777] Based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.

[778] National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in "Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends," 2004.

[779] Ideally, the System Efficiency should be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit, or performing a steady state efficiency test. The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute: (http://www.bpi.org/files/pdf/DistributionEfficiencyTable-BlueSheet.pdf) or by performing duct blaster testing.

[780] This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey)). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses,

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \Delta Therms * GCF$$

Where:

ΔTherms	= Therm impact calculated above
GCF	= Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating[781]
	= 0.014378 for Residential Boiler
	= 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-SHL-DOOR-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2021

the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows: ((0.60*0.92) + (0.40*0.8)) * (1-0.15) = 0.74.

[781] Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.6.6 Floor Insulation Above Crawlspace

DESCRIPTION

Insulation is added to the floor above a vented crawl space that does not contain pipes or HVAC equipment. If there are pipes, HVAC, or a basement, it is desirable to keep them within the conditioned space by insulating the crawl space walls and ground. Insulating the floor separates the conditioned space above from the space below the floor, and is only acceptable when there is nothing underneath that could freeze or would operate less efficiently in an environment resembling the outdoors. Even in the case of an empty, unvented crawl space, it is still considered best practice to seal and insulate the crawl space perimeter rather than the floor. Not only is there generally less area to insulate this way, but there are also moisture control benefits. There is a "Basement Insulation" measure for perimeter sealing and insulation. This measure assumes the insulation is installed above an unvented crawl space and should not be used in other situations.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The requirements for participation in the program will be defined by the utilities.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The existing condition will be evaluated by implementation staff or a participating contractor and is likely to be no insulation on any surface surrounding a crawl space.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 25 years.[782]

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual installed cost for this measure should be used in screening.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

N/A

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE11 - Residential Single Family Cooling

Loadshape RE10 - Residential Single Family Central Heat

Loadshape RE12 - Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Loadshape RG01 – Residential Boiler

Loadshape RG04 – Residential Other Heating

[782] Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, 2007

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Where available savings from shell insulation measures should be determined through a custom analysis. When that is not feasible for the program the following engineering algorithms can be used with the inclusion of an adjustment factor to de-rate the heating savings.

$$\Delta kWh = (\Delta kWh_cooling + \Delta kWh_heating)$$

Where:

ΔkWh_cooling = If central cooling, reduction in annual cooling requirement due to insulation

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{Old}} - \frac{1}{(R_{Added} + R_{Old})}\right) * Area * (1 - Framing\ Factor) * CDD * 24 * DUA}{(1000 * \eta Cool)}$$

R_{Old} = R-value value of floor before insulation, assuming 3/4" plywood subfloor and carpet with pad

= Actual. If unknown assume 3.96 [783]

R_{Added} = R-value of additional spray foam, rigid foam, or cavity insulation.

Area = Total floor area to be insulated

Framing Factor = Adjustment to account for area of framing

= 12% [784]

24 = Converts hours to days

CDD = Cooling Degree Days

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Unconditioned Space CDD 75 [785]
Zone 5 (Burlington)	411
Zone 6 (Mason City)	264
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	474

DUA = Discretionary Use Adjustment (reflects the fact that people do not always operate their AC when conditions may call for it).

= 0.75 [786]

[783] Based on 2005 ASHRAE Handbook – Fundamentals: assuming 2x8 joists, 16" OC, ¾" subfloor, ½" carpet with rubber pad, and accounting for a still air film above and below: 1/ [(0.85 cavity share of area / (0.68 + 0.94 + 1.23 + 0.68)) + (0.15 framing share / (0.68 + 7.5" * 1.25 R/in + 0.94 + 1.23 + 0.68))] = 3.96

[784] ASHRAE, 2001, "Characterization of Framing Factors for New Low-Rise Residential Building Envelopes (904-RP)," Table 7.1

[785] The base temperature should be the outdoor temperature at which the desired indoor temperature stays constant, in balance with heat loss or gain to the outside and internal gains. Since unconditioned basements are allowed to swing in temperature, are ground coupled, and are usually cool, they have a bigger delta between the two (heating and cooling) base temperatures. 75F for cooling and 50F for heating are used based on professional judgment. Five year average cooling degree days with 75F base temp are provided from DegreeDays.net because the 30 year climate normals from NCDC are not available at base temps above 72F.

[786] Energy Center of Wisconsin, May 2008 metering study; "Central Air Conditioning in Wisconsin, A Compilation of Recent Field Research", p31.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

1000 = Converts Btu to kBtu

ηCool = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of cooling system (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual (where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate). If unknown assume the following:[787]

Age of Equipment	ηCool Estimate
Before 2006	10
2006 - 2014	13
Central AC After 1/1/2015	13
Heat Pump After 1/1/2015	14

ΔkWh_heating = If electric heat (resistance or heat pump), reduction in annual electric heating due to insulation

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{Old}} - \frac{1}{(R_{Added} + R_{Old})}\right) * Area * (1 - Framing\ Factor) * HDD * 24 * ADJ_{Floor}}{(\eta Heat * 3412)}$$

HDD = Heating Degree Days:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Unconditioned Space
	HDD 50 [788]
Zone 5 (Burlington)	2,678
Zone 6 (Mason City)	4,222
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	3,126

ηHeat = Efficiency of heating system

= Actual. If not available refer to default table below:[789]

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	ηHeat (Effective COP Estimate) (HSPF/3.412)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	2006 - 2014	7.7	1.9
	2015 and after	8.2	2.0
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.0

[787] These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

[788] The base temperature should be the outdoor temperature at which the desired indoor temperature stays constant, in balance with heat loss or gain to the outside and internal gains. Since unconditioned basements are allowed to swing in temperature, are ground coupled, and are usually cool, they have a bigger delta between the two (heating and cooling) base temperatures. 75F for cooling and 50F for heating are used based on professional judgment. National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals.

[789] These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

ADJ_{Floor} = Adjustment for floor insulation to account for prescriptive engineering algorithms overclaiming savings.

= 88%[790]

Other factors as defined above

For example, a single family home in Mason City with a 20 by 25 footprint, insulated with R-30 spray foam above the crawlspace, a 10.5 SEER Central AC and a newer heat pump:

ΔkWh = (ΔkWh_cooling + ΔkWh_heating)

= (((1/3.96 -1/(30+3.96))*(20*25)*(1-0.12)* 24 * 264*0.75)/(1000*10.5) + (((1/3.96 - 1/(30+3.96))*(20*25)*(1-0.12) * 24 * 4222)/(3412*1.92)) * 0.88)

= (44.4 + 1336.0)

= 1380.4 kWh

ΔkWh_heating = If gas *furnace* heat, kWh savings for reduction in fan run time

= ΔTherms * F_e * 29.3

F_e = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption

= 3.14%[791]

29.3 = kWh per therm

For example, a single family home in Mason City with a 20 by 25 footprint, insulated with R-30 spray foam above the crawlspace, and a 74% efficient furnace (for therm calculation see Natural Gas Savings section):

ΔkWh = 118.3 * 0.0314 * 29.3

= 108.8 kWh

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh_cooling}{FLH_cooling} * CF$$

Where:

FLH_cooling = Full load hours of air conditioning

= Dependent on location[792]:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Single Family	Multifamily	Manufactured
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441

[790] Based upon comparing algorithm derived savings estimate and evaluated bill analysis estimate in the following 2012 Massachusetts report: "Home Energy Services Impact Evaluation", August 2012. See "Insulation ADJ calculations.xls" for details or calculation. Note that basement wall is used as a proxy for crawlspace ceiling.

[791] F_e is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy (Ef in MMBtu/yr) and Eae (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the Energy Star version 3 criteria for 2% F_e. See "Programmable Thermostats Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx" for reference.

[792] Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Single Family	Multifamily	Manufactured
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	811	650	764

CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling

= 97%[793]

For example, a single family home in Mason City with a 20 by 25 footprint, insulated with R-30 spray foam above the crawlspace, a 10.5 SEER Central AC and a newer heat pump:

ΔkW = 44.4 / 468 * 0.97

= 0.092 kW

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

ΔTherms (if Natural Gas heating)

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{Old}} - \frac{1}{(R_{Added} + R_{Old})}\right) * Area * (1 - Framing\ Factor) * HDD * 24 * ADJ_{Floor}}{(\eta Heat * 100{,}000)}$$

Where

ηHeat = Efficiency of heating system

= Equipment efficiency * distribution efficiency

= Actual[794] - If unknown, assume 74%[795]

100,000 = Converts Btu to Therms

Other factors as defined above

For example, a single family home in Mason City with a 20 by 25 footprint, insulated with R-30 spray foam above the crawlspace, and a 74% efficient furnace:

ΔTherms = (((1/3.96-1/(30+3.96))*(20*25)*(1-0.12)*24*4222)/(100000*0.74))*0.88

= 118.3 therms

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \Delta Therms * GCF$$

Where:

[793] Based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.

[794] Ideally, the System Efficiency should be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit, or performing a steady state efficiency test. The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute: (http://www.bpi.org/files/pdf/DistributionEfficiencyTable-BlueSheet.pdf) or by performing duct blaster testing.

[795] This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey)). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows: ((0.60*0.92) + (0.40*0.8)) * (1-0.15) = 0.74.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

ΔTherms	= Therm impact calculated above
GCF	= Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating[796]
	= 0.014378 for Residential Boiler
	= 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

For example, a single family home in Mason City with a 20 by 25 footprint, insulated with R-30 spray foam above the crawlspace, and a 74% efficient furnace:

ΔPeakTherms	= 118.3 therms * 0.016525
	= 1.95 therms

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-SHL-FINS-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2021

[796] Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.6.7 Basement Sidewall Insulation

DESCRIPTION

Insulation is added to a basement or crawl space. Insulation added above ground in conditioned space is modeled the same as wall insulation. Below ground insulation is adjusted with an approximation of the thermal resistance of the ground. Insulation in unconditioned spaces is modeled by reducing the degree days to reflect the smaller but non-zero contribution to heating and cooling load. Cooling savings only consider above grade insulation, as below grade has little temperature difference during the cooling season.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The requirements for participation in the program will be defined by the utilities.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The existing condition will be evaluated by implementation staff or a participating contractor and is likely to be no basement wall or ceiling insulation.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 25 years.[797]

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual installed cost for this measure should be used in screening.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

N/A

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE11 - Residential Single Family Cooling

Loadshape RE10 - Residential Single Family Central Heat

Loadshape RE12 - Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Loadshape RG01 – Residential Boiler

Loadshape RG04 – Residential Other Heating

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Where available savings from shell insulation measures should be determined through a custom analysis. When that is not feasible for the program the following engineering algorithms can be used with the inclusion of an adjustment factor to de-rate the heating savings.

[797] Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, 2007

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

$$\Delta kWh = (\Delta kWh_cooling + \Delta kWh_heating)$$

Where:

ΔkWh_cooling = If central cooling, reduction in annual cooling requirement due to insulation

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{OldAG}} - \frac{1}{(R_{Added} + R_{OldAG})}\right) * L_{BWT} * H_{BWAG} * (1 - FF) * CDD * 24 * DUA}{(1000 * \eta Cool)}$$

R_{Added} = R-value of additional spray foam, rigid foam, or cavity insulation.

R_{OldAG} = R-value value of foundation wall above grade.

= Actual, if unknown assume 1.0[798]

L_{BWT} = Length (Basement Wall Total) of basement wall around the entire insulated perimeter (ft)

H_{BWAG} = Height (Basement Wall Above Grade) of insulated basement wall above grade (ft)

FF = Framing Factor, an adjustment to account for area of framing when cavity insulation is used

= 0% if Spray Foam or External Rigid Foam

= 25% if studs and cavity insulation[799]

24 = Converts hours to days

CDD = Cooling Degree Days

= Dependent on location and whether basement is conditioned:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Conditioned Space	Unconditioned Space
	CDD 65 [800]	CDD 75 [801]
Zone 5 (Burlington)	1,209	411
Zone 6 (Mason City)	616	264
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	1,068	474

DUA = Discretionary Use Adjustment (reflects the fact that people do not always operate their AC when conditions may call for it).

= 0.75 [802]

1000 = Converts Btu to kBtu

[798] ORNL Builders Foundation Handbook, crawl space data from Table 5-5: Initial Effective R-values for Uninsulated Foundation System and Adjacent Soil, 1991, http://www.ornl.gov/sci/roofs+walls/foundation/ORNL_CON-295.pdf
[799] ASHRAE, 2001, "Characterization of Framing Factors for New Low-Rise Residential Building Envelopes (904-RP)," Table 7.1
[800] National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 65°F.
[801] The base temperature should be the outdoor temperature at which the desired indoor temperature stays constant, in balance with heat loss or gain to the outside and internal gains. Since unconditioned basements are allowed to swing in temperature, are ground coupled, and are usually cool, they have a bigger delta between the two (heating and cooling) base temperatures. 75F for cooling and 50F for heating are used based on professional judgment. Five year average cooling degree days with 75F base temp are provided from DegreeDays.net because the 30 year climate normals from NCDC are not available at base temps above 72F.
[802] This factor's source is: Energy Center of Wisconsin, May 2008 metering study; "Central Air Conditioning in Wisconsin, A Compilation of Recent Field Research", p31.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

ηCool = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of cooling system (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual (where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate). If unknown assume the following:[803]

Age of Equipment	ηCool Estimate
Before 2006	10
2006 - 2014	13
Central AC After 1/1/2015	13
Heat Pump After 1/1/2015	14

[803] These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

ΔkWh_heating = If electric heat (resistance or heat pump), reduction in annual electric heating due to insulation

$$= \frac{\left(\left(\left(\frac{1}{R_{OldAG}} - \frac{1}{(R_{Added} + R_{OldAG})}\right) * L_{BWT} * H_{BWAG} * (1 - FF)\right) + \left(\left(\frac{1}{R_{OldBG}} - \frac{1}{(R_{Added} + R_{OldBG})}\right) * L_{BWT} * (H_{BWT} - H_{BWAG}) * (1 - FF)\right)\right) * HDD * 24 * DUA * ADJ_{Basement}}{(3412 * \eta Heat)}$$

Where

R_{OldBG} = R-value value of foundation wall below grade (including thermal resistance of the earth) [804]

= dependent on depth of foundation (H_basement_wall_total – H_basement_wall_AG):

= Actual R-value of wall plus average earth R-value by depth in table below

For example, for an area that extends 5 feet below grade, an R-value of 7.46 would be selected and added to the existing insulation R-value.

Below Grade R-value									
Depth below grade (ft)	**0**	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**	**7**	**8**
Earth R-value (°F-ft^2-h/Btu)	2.44	4.50	6.30	8.40	10.44	12.66	14.49	17.00	20.00
Average Earth R-value (°F-ft2-h/Btu)	2.44	3.47	4.41	5.41	6.42	7.46	8.46	9.53	10.69
Total BG R-value (earth + R-1.0 foundation) default	3.44	4.47	5.41	6.41	7.42	8.46	9.46	10.53	11.69

[804] Adapted from Table 1, page 24.4, of the 1977 ASHRAE Fundamentals Handbook

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

H_{BWT} = Total height of basement wall (ft)

HDD = Heating Degree Days

= dependent on location and whether basement is conditioned:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Conditioned Space	Unconditioned Space
	HDD 60 [805]	HDD 50 [806]
Zone 5 (Burlington)	4,496	2,678
Zone 6 (Mason City)	6,391	4,222
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	5,052	3,126

ηHeat = Efficiency of heating system

= Actual. If not available refer to default table below:[807]

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	ηHeat (Effective COP Estimate) (HSPF/3.412)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	2006 - 2014	7.7	1.9
	2015 and after	8.2	2.0
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.0

$ADJ_{Basement}$= Adjustment for basement wall insulation to account for prescriptive engineering algorithms overclaiming savings.

= 88%[808]

[805] National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in "Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends," 2004.

[806] The base temperature should be the outdoor temperature at which the desired indoor temperature stays constant, in balance with heat loss or gain to the outside and internal gains. Since unconditioned basements are allowed to swing in temperature, are ground coupled, and are usually cool, they have a bigger delta between the two (heating and cooling) base temperatures. 75F for cooling and 50F for heating are used based on professional judgment. National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals.

[807] These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

[808] Based upon comparing algorithm derived savings estimate and evaluated bill analysis estimate in the following 2012 Massachusetts report: "Home Energy Services Impact Evaluation", August 2012. See "Insulation ADJ calculations.xls" for details or calculation.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

For example, a single family home in Mason City with a 20 by 25 by 7 foot R-2.25 unconditioned basement, with 3 feet above grade, insulated with R-13 of interior spray foam, 10.5 SEER Central AC and 2.26 COP Heat Pump:

ΔkWh = (ΔkWh_cooling + ΔkWh_heating)

= [(((1/2.25 - 1/(13 + 2.25))*(20+25+20+25) * 3 * (1 - 0)) * 24 * 264 * 0.75)/(1000 * 10.5)] + [((((1/2.25 - 1/(13 + 2.25)) * (20+25+20+25) * 3 * (1-0)) + ((1 / (2.25 + 6.42) – 1 / (13 + 2.25 + 6.42)) * (20+25+20+25) * 4 * (1-0))) * 24 * 4222) / (3412 * 1.92)) * 0.88]

= (46.3 + 1731.4.0)

= 1777.7 kWh

ΔkWh_heating = If gas *furnace* heat, kWh savings for reduction in fan run time

= ΔTherms * F_e * 29.3

F_e = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption

= 3.14%[809]

29.3 = kWh per therm

For example, a single family home in Mason City with a 20 by 25 by 7 foot unconditioned basement, with 3 feet above grade, insulated with R-13 of interior spray foam, and a 74% efficient furnace (for therm calculation see Natural Gas Savings section :

= 153.3 * 0.0314 * 29.3

= 141 kWh

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh_cooling}{FLH_cooling} * CF$$

Where:

FLH_cooling = Full load hours of air conditioning

= Dependent on location[810]:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Single Family	Multifamily	Manufactured
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	811	650	764

CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling

[809] F_e is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy (Ef in MMBtu/yr) and Eae (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the Energy Star version 3 criteria for 2% F_e. See "Programmable Thermostats Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx" for reference.

[810] Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

= 97%[811]

For example, a single family home in Mason City with a 20 by 25 by 7 foot unconditioned basement, with 3 feet above grade, insulated with R-13 of interior spray foam, 10.5 SEER Central AC and 2.26 COP Heat Pump:

ΔkW = 46.3 / 468 * 0.97

= 0.096 kW

[811] Based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

If Natural Gas heating:

ΔTherms =

$$= \frac{\left(\left(\left(\frac{1}{R_{OldAG}} - \frac{1}{(R_{Added} + R_{OldAG})}\right) * L_{BWT} * H_{BWAG} * (1 - FF)\right) + \left(\left(\frac{1}{R_{OldBG}} - \frac{1}{(R_{Added} + R_{OldBG})}\right) * L_{BWT} * (H_{BWT} - H_{BWAG}) * (1 - FF)\right)\right) * HDD * 24 * ADJ_{Basement}}{(100{,}000 * \eta Heat)}$$

Where

ηHeat	= Efficiency of heating system
	= Equipment efficiency * distribution efficiency
	= Actual[812] - If unknown, assume 74%[813]
100,000	= Converts Btu to Therms
	Other factors as defined above

For example, a single family home in Mason City with a 20 by 25 by 7 foot R-2.25 basement, with 3 feet above grade, insulated with R-13 of interior spray foam, and a 74% efficient furnace:

= ((1/2.25 - 1/(13 + 2.25)) * (20+25+20+25) * 3 * (1-0) + (1/8.67 - 1/(13 + 8.67)) * (20+25+20+25) * 4 * (1 - 0)) * 24 * 4222) / (0.74 * 100,000) * 0.88

= 153.3 therms

[812] Ideally, the System Efficiency should be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit, or performing a steady state efficiency test. The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute: (http://www.bpi.org/files/pdf/DistributionEfficiencyTable-BlueSheet.pdf) or by performing duct blaster testing.

[813] This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey)). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows: ((0.60*0.92) + (0.40*0.8)) * (1-0.15) = 0.74.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \Delta Therms * GCF$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above

GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating[814]

= 0.014378 for Residential Boiler

= 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

For example, a single family home in Mason City with a 20 by 25 by 7 foot R-2.25 basement, with 3 feet above grade, insulated with R-13 of interior spray foam, and a 74% efficient furnace:

ΔPeakTherms = 153.3 therms * 0.016525

= 2.53 therms

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-SHL-BINS-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2021

[814] Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.6.8 Efficient Windows

DESCRIPTION

This measure describes savings realized by the purchase and installation of new windows that have better thermal insulating properties compared to code requirements. Code does not specify solar heat gain coefficient requirements for residential windows and therefore no impacts are quantified or claimed. For a comprehensive estimate of impacts, computer modeling is recommended.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: NC, TOS.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient solution is a window assembly with a U-factor that is better than code.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is a window assembly with a U-factor equal to code requirements.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 20 years.[815]

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for this measure is assumed to be $1.50 per square foot of window area.[816]

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE11 - Residential Single Family Cooling

Loadshape RE10 - Residential Single Family Central Heat

Loadshape RE12 - Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Loadshape RG01 – Residential Boiler

Loadshape RG04 – Residential Other Heating

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

The following calculations apply to a single window assembly.

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating}$$

Where:

$\Delta kWh_{cooling}$ = If central cooling, reduction in annual cooling requirement due to insulation

[815] Consistent with window measure lives specified in the MidAmerican Energy Company Joint Assessment, February 2013.
[816] Alliance to Save Energy Efficiency Windows Collaborative Report, December 2007.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

$$= \frac{(U_{code} - U_{eff}) * A_{window} * CDD * 24 * DUA}{(1000 * \eta_{cool})}$$

U_{code} = U-factor value of code baseline (IECC2012) window assembly (Btu/ft^2.°F.h)

= 0.32 (Btu/ft^2.°F.h) or 0.55 (Btu/ft^2.°F.h) for skylights.

U_{eff} = U-factor value of the efficient window assembly (Btu/ft^2.°F.h)

= Actual.

A_{window} = Area of insulated window (including visible framing and glass) (ft^2)

CDD = Cooling Degree Days

= Dependent on location[817]:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	CDD 65
Zone 5 (Burlington)	1,209
Zone 6 (Mason City)	616
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	1,068

24 = Converts days to hours

DUA = Discretionary Use Adjustment (reflects the fact that people do not always operate their AC when conditions may call for it)

= 0.75 [818]

1000 = Converts Btu to kBtu

η_{cool} = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of cooling system (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual (where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate) - If unknown, assume the following:[819]

Age of Equipment	ηCool Estimate
Before 2006	10
2006 - 2014	13
Central AC after 1/1/2015	13
Heat Pump after 1/1/2015	14

$kWh_{heating}$ = If electric heat (resistance or heat pump), reduction in annual electric heating due to insulation

$$= \frac{(U_{code} - U_{eff}) * A_{window} * HDD * 24 * ADJ_{window}}{(\eta_{heat} * 3412)}$$

HDD = Heating Degree Days

[817] National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 65°F.

[818] This factor's source is: Energy Center of Wisconsin, May 2008 metering study; "Central Air Conditioning in Wisconsin, A Compilation of Recent Field Research", p31.

[819] These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

= Dependent on location:[820]

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 60
Zone 5 (Burlington)	4,496
Zone 6 (Mason City)	6,391
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	5,052

η_{heat} = Efficiency of heating system

= Actual - If not available, refer to default table below:[821]

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	ηHeat (Effective COP Estimate) (HSPF/3.412)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	2006 - 2014	7.7	1.9
	2015 and after	8.2	2.0
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.0

3412 = Converts Btu to kWh

ADJ_{window} = Adjustment for account for prescriptive engineering algorithms consistently overclaiming savings

= 63%[822]

Other factors as defined above.

For example, for a single family home in Mason City installs 15 new identically sized 2' x 4' windows with a 0.25 U-Factor. Savings for a 10.5 SEER Central AC system and a 2.26 (1.92 including distribution losses) COP Heat Pump:

$\Delta kWh = \Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating}$

= ((((0.32 – 0.25) * 8 * 616 * 24 * 0.75) / (1000 * 10.5)) + (((0.32 – 0.25) * 8 * 6391 * 24 * 0.63) / (1.92 * 3412))) * 15

= 9 kWh + 124 kWh

= 133 kWh

$\Delta kWh_{heating}$ = If gas *furnace* heat, kWh savings for reduction in fan run time

$= \Delta Therms * F_e * 29.3$

[820] National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in "Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends," 2004.

[821] These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

[822] Based upon comparing algorithm derived savings estimate and evaluated bill analysis estimate in the following 2012 Massachusetts report: "Home Energy Services Impact Evaluation", August 2012. See "Insulation ADJ calculations.xls" for details or calculation. The adjustment for walls was assumed to be an appropriate adjustment for windows.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Where:

F_e = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption

= 3.14%[823]

29.3 = kWh per therm

For example, for a single family home in Mason City installs 15 new identically sized 2' x 4' windows with a 0.25 U-Factor. Savings with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74%:

ΔkWh = 11 * 0.0314 * 29.3

= 10 kWh

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh_{cooling}}{FLH_{cooling}} * CF$$

Where:

$FLH_{cooling}$ = Full load hours of air conditioning

= Dependent on location[824]:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Single Family	Multifamily	Manufactured
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	811	650	764

CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling

= 97%[825]

For example, for a single family home in Mason City installs 15 new identically sized 2' x 4' windows with a 0.25 U-Factor. Savings for a 10.5 SEER Central AC system and a 2.26 (1.92 including distribution losses) COP Heat Pump:

ΔkW = 9 / 468 * 0.97

= 0.02 kW

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

ΔTherms (if Natural Gas heating)

$$= \frac{(U_{code} - U_{eff}) * A_{window} * HDD * 24 * ADJ_{window}}{(\eta_{heat} * 100{,}000)}$$

[823] F_e is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy (Ef in MMBtu/yr) and Eae (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the Energy Star version 3 criteria for 2% F_e. See "Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx" for reference.

[824] Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

[825] Based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

Where:

η_{heat}	= Efficiency of heating system
	= Equipment efficiency * distribution efficiency
	= Actual[826] - If unknown, assume 74%[827]
100,000	= Converts Btu to Therms

Other factors as defined above.

For example, for a single family home in Mason City installs 15 new identically sized 2' x 4' windows with a 0.25 U-Factor. Savings with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74%:

ΔTherms	= [(0.32 – 0.25) * 8 * 6391 * 24 * 0.63) / (0.74 * 100,000))] * 15
	= 11 therms

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \Delta Therms * GCF$$

Where:

ΔTherms	= Therm impact calculated above
GCF	= Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating[828]
	= 0.014378 for Residential Boiler
	= 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

For example, for a single family home in Mason City installs 15 new identically sized 2' x 4' windows with a 0.25 U-Factor. Savings with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74%:

ΔPeakTherms	= 11 * 0.016525
	= 0.18 therms

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

[826] Ideally, the System Efficiency should be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit, or performing a steady state efficiency test. The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute: (http://www.bpi.org/files/pdf/DistributionEfficiencyTable-BlueSheet.pdf) or by performing duct blaster testing.

[827] This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey)). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows: ((0.60*0.92) + (0.40*0.8)) * (1-0.15) = 0.74.

[828] Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-SHL-WINS-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2021

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

2.6.9 Window Insulation Kits

DESCRIPTION

This measure describes savings from installing seasonal window insulation kits during the heating season. Kits generally include tape and shrink film that is applied to window moldings to create a static air layer between the interior of the home and the window surface. There are three principal mechanisms that constitute heat transfer through windows: Air leakage/infiltration, temperature driven heat transfer, and solar gains. Due to the complexities and uncertainties related to estimating how air leakage/infiltration rates may be affected by retrofit activities, and the potential for double-counting savings claimed through separate air sealing measures, only temperature driven heat transfer is considered. Window insulation kits are considered a seasonal measure during the heating season and thus savings are only heating energy savings are claimed.

It is recommended that a member of the implementation staff evaluate the pre- and post-project R-values, measure surface areas, and evaluate the efficiency of the heating equipment in the home. Additionally, installation quality should be verified, as this measure relies on the creation of a static air layer to be effective.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient solution is one that effectively creates a static air layer in series with the existing window (can be on either side of the window) and the outdoor environment. The requirements for participation in the program will be defined by the utilities.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The existing condition is the pre-retrofit window assembly.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is one year.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual installed cost for this measure should be used in screening.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE10 - Residential Single Family Central Heat

Loadshape RE12 - Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Loadshape RG01 – Residential Boiler

Loadshape RG04 – Residential Other Heating

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

The following calculations apply to a single window assembly.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \Delta kWh_{heating}$$

$kWh_{heating}$ = If electric heat (resistance or heat pump), reduction in annual electric heating due to insulation

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{Old}} - \frac{1}{R_{Old} + R_{New}}\right) * A_{window} * HDD * 24 * ADJ_{window}}{(\eta_{heat} * 3412)}$$

R_{Old} = R-value value of existing window assembly (ft^2.°F.h/Btu)

= Actual. If unknown, assume R-2[829]

R_{New} = R-value of added air layer (ft^2.°F.h/Btu)

= R-2.85[830].

A_{window} = Net area of insulated window (ft^2)

= Actual. If unknown, assume 8 ft^2 (24 inch x 48 inch)

HDD = Heating Degree Days

= Dependent on location:[831]

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 60
Zone 5 (Burlington)	4,496
Zone 6 (Mason City)	6,391
Average/ unknown (Des Moines)	5,052

η_{heat} = Efficiency of heating system

= Actual - If not available, refer to default table below:[832]

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	ηHeat (Effective COP Estimate) (HSPF/3.412)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	2006 - 2014	7.7	1.9
	2015 and after	8.2	2.0
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.0

3412 = Converts Btu to kWh

[829] A typical R-value for a double-pane window and consistent with the assumptions outlined in the MidAmerican Energy Company Joint Assessment (February 2013) for existing windows.

[830] Based on PNNL report 2444-2. Experimental data showed that an air gap greater than 0.5 inches had virtually no impact on insulation properties, and that and R-value of 2.85 is expected for any air gap greater than 0.5 inches.

[831] National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in "Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends," 2004.

[832] These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

ADJ_{window} = Adjustment for wall insulation to account for prescriptive engineering algorithms consistently overclaiming savings

= 63%[833]

For example, for a single family home in Mason City with 15 identically sized 2' x 4' windows installs window insulation film with a 4-inch air layer. Heating savings with a 2.26 (1.92 including distribution losses) COP Heat Pump:

ΔkWh = $\Delta kWh_{heating}$

= [(1/2 - 1/(2+4)) * 8 * 6391 * 24 * 0.63) / (1.92 * 3412))] * 15

= 590 kWh

$\Delta kWh_{heating}$ = If gas *furnace* heat, kWh savings for reduction in fan run time

= ΔTherms * F_e * 29.3

Where:

F_e = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption

= 3.14%[834]

29.3 = kWh per therm

For example, for a single family home in Mason City with 15 identically sized 2' x 4' windows installs window insulation film with a 4-inch air layer. Savings with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74%:

ΔkWh = 52 * 0.0314 * 29.3

= 48 kWh

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

N/A

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

ΔTherms (if Natural Gas heating)

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{Old}} - \frac{1}{R_{Old} + R_{New}}\right) * A_{window} * HDD * 24 * ADJ_{window}}{(\eta_{heat} * 100{,}000)}$$

Where:

ηHeat = Efficiency of heating system

= Equipment efficiency * distribution efficiency

[833] Based upon comparing algorithm derived savings estimate and evaluated bill analysis estimate in the following 2012 Massachusetts report: "Home Energy Services Impact Evaluation", August 2012. See "Insulation ADJ calculations.xls" for details or calculation. The adjustment for walls was assumed to be an appropriate adjustment for windows.

[834] F_e is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy (Ef in MMBtu/yr) and Eae (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the Energy Star version 3 criteria for 2% F_e. See "Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx" for reference.

Filed with the Iowa Utilities Board on September 30, 2016, EEP-2012-0002

= Actual[835] - If unknown, assume 74%[836]

100,000 = Converts Btu to Therms

Other factors as defined above

For example, for a single family home in Mason City with 15 identically sized 2' x 4' windows installs window insulation film with a 4-inch air layer. Savings with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74%:

ΔTherms = [(1/2 - 1/(2+4)) * 8 * 6391 * 24 * 0.63) / (0.74 * 100,000))] * 15

= 52 therms

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \Delta Therms * GCF$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above

GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating[837]

= 0.014378 for Residential Boiler

= 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

For example, for a single family home in Mason City with 15 identically sized 2' x 4' windows installs window insulation film with a 4-inch air layer. Savings with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74%:

ΔPeakTherms = 52 * 0.016525

= 0.86 therms

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-SHL-WINK-V01-170101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2023

[835] Ideally, the System Efficiency should be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit, or performing a steady state efficiency test. The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute: (http://www.bpi.org/files/pdf/DistributionEfficiencyTable-BlueSheet.pdf) or by performing duct blaster testing.

[836] This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey)). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows: ((0.60*0.92) + (0.40*0.8)) * (1-0.15) = 0.74.

[837] Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.